1	Wednesday, 13 May 2009
2	[Open session]
3	[The accused entered court]
4	[The accused Prlic and Coric not present]
5	[The witness takes the stand]
6	Upon commencing at 9.03 a.m.
7	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Registrar, could you please
8	call the case.
9	THE REGISTRAR: Good morning, Your Honours. Good morning,
10	everyone in and around the courtroom.
11	This is case number IT-04-74-T, the Prosecutor versus Prlic
12	et al.
13	Thank you, Your Honours.
14	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you, Registrar.
15	This is Wednesday, May 13th, 2009, and I would like to welcome
16	Mr. Pusic, Mr. Petkovic, and Mr. Stojic. I also greet Mr. Praljak this
17	morning, and I of course would not forget our counsels, Mr. Stringer and
18	all his associates, as well as the people helping us.
19	I'd like to tell Mr. Kovacic that up until now he has used up
20	12 hours, and that's one-third of the time allocated for Mr. Praljak's
21	examination-in-chief.

24

25

1

19

20

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Praljak, I have two

follow-up questions that I thought about during the night, and it's

Page 40011

important for me I believe to think about it.

2 The first one, you told us yesterday, as regards Mr. Tudjman's 3 speech before the Croatian officers, if I'm not mistaken, I believe that 4 your case is that the officers in the Croatian Army - and I'm talking 5 about the Croatian Army now, not the HVO - I think that your case is that these were officers who had joined the Croatian Army without any 6 7 political commitment whatsoever. And as an officer in the Croatian Army, you were not supposed to talk about your political opinions. We know, 8 9 because you told us that; we know that you were the general secretary of 10 a small political party for a while. But this is my question: When you actually joined the Croatian Army, did you put aside your political 11 12 opinions that you may have had at the time, and in the framework of your military career and mission you were only executing the orders received 13 14 from Tudjman through the Ministry of Defence, without taking your political opinions into consideration? 15 16 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Good morning, Your Honours. Good 17 morning to everyone in the courtroom. That's not how it was in its entirety. A good part of the 18

officers and some of the key persons were listed yesterday who had come

in from the ranks of the JNA, joined because of their political

judgement, but now I would like to draw a distinction between politics in a well-ordered state, where you deal with taxes and things like that. I always refer to this as a pre-political era. It's actually morality as politics. So these people came in for political reasons, so to speak, because they no longer wanted to be in the ranks of the Yugoslav People's

Page 40012

army that began serving the interests of a policy advocating Greater

Serbia. So this is, conditionally speaking, political conviction,

although I would like to use a different term for that.

I was a secretary general of a party that was not really all that small. It was the third-largest party in Croatia. And again, as all the other parties at the time, we're not talking about politics as the term is understood today. All the statutes and all the platforms of all the parties in Croatia were alike, like peas in a pod. They all wanted a peaceful solution to the Yugoslav crisis. They all advocated democracy, free elections, a free market economy. So these are the basic tenets which at one point, once the state is in place, would make political life possible. And in this sense, when I was involved in politics before, this had nothing to do, and my desire to get involved in politics, when you use the term "politics," what you mean, when you use the term.

Thirdly, a large number of people who joined the Croatian Army joined for political reasons, conditionally speaking, but we're not again talking about politics in the usual sense of the term. It was their moral obligation to defend yourself if you're under attack, the moral

obligation. If politics is actually striving for democracy, for free-market economy, then, yes, it's politics.

21

22

23

24

25

16

However, in the Croatian Army, political debates were banned, and Croatian soldiers and officers were not allowed to attend political rallies or any other kinds of demonstrations in uniform. As soldiers, they were not allowed to be involved in politics. They were supposed to pursue the Croatian state policy, the conclusions of the Parliament, the

Page 40013

government or the president. That's what they were supposed to follow. 1 2 And then if somebody wanted to be politically active because there were 3 elections and so on, they were free to do so, we followed the German model which says a soldier is a civilian in uniform, and once they take 4 5 off their uniform, they can participate in the political life. But if 6 they are nominated or elected to a position, they have to leave the army. 7 And once again please bear in mind that this term "politics" 8 should not be understood in the same way that it should as it is understood today in France, USA, and so on. It was simply --9 10 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. Thank you for this. 11 A second question, it's a technical question, but I believe it's important. Yesterday, you showed us a number of documents dealing with 12 13 Mr. Tudjman and Croatian politics, and trying to establish that as 14 regards the JCE, Mr. Tudjman had no desire whatsoever to annex any part of Bosnia-Herzegovina. Very well. You showed us a number of documents 15

to this end. Given this, I wonder the following, as far as global

17 control is concerned.

I'm sure you read all judgements rendered by this Tribunal,

Blaskic, Kordic, Aleksovski and others - I'm not going to mention them

all - Tadic also, but I'm sure that your counsel and yourself looked at

this, looked into this. And you know that as far as Croatia and the HVO

are concerned, a number of judgements were rendered already. In Blaskic,

for example, the Trial Chamber recognised the existence of global

control. I'm sure you also know that in the Aleksovski case, the

majority of Judges did not rule on the existence of a global control of

Page 40014

Croatia over the HVO. The Appeals Chamber denied this, however, basing itself on the interpretation of the Tadic appeals judgement. In this interpretation, global control must be assessed according to a number of parameters, and the fact that a state would supply weapons, provide training, and give money is not sufficient. The state must also play a role in the military operations conducted by an armed group or a fighting unit in the other state. Therefore, there needs to be a role played, which is not like the majority of Judges had thought in the Aleksovski case. Giving a specific instruction is not sufficient; it also needs to play a role.

So my question -- you see, my questions are becoming increasingly specific, and here's my question: When you were heading the HVO from July to November - you see it's a very specific period of time, my

question deals with this very specific period of time from July to

November - I would like to know whether at that time, as far as you know, in the framework of the military operation that you personally led, since you were in charge of the military operations as commander of the HVO,

I'd like to know whether, as far as you know, at that time you felt that,
as far as your command was concerned, or maybe as far as the instructions you were obtaining from Mr. Boban, who, let me remind everyone, at the time was the commander of the HVO army, I mean he was the supreme leader of this, did you feel at the time that the Republic of Croatia actually played a role in the military operations that you led?

THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Never, Your Honour. Not even Boban

Page 40015

told me anything at any point. At the time when I was the commander,

I think that I met with Mr. Boban only briefly on two occasions. I came when Bugojno had already fallen and when the Muslim BH army offensive had been launched, and that lasted up until the intensity was reduced a little bit by mid-October and there was this line from Uskoplje, Donji Vakuf, down to Mostar. During that time, I never saw, I never spoke, I never met with Mr. Franjo Tudjman, and I think that I saw Gojko Susak only on one occasion, I think. But I think we only saw each other. It was, I think, the 1st of August, five or six days after I had arrived, when all my lines were broken in Uskoplje, when the troops and the people started pulling out. And automatically this meant that Rama might fall and there was the threat of a general collapse, and I managed to restore the lines. I saw Gojko Susak on that occasion because he had been visiting

with his mother, who lived near Siroki Brijeg. We met very briefly. I told him that we managed to restore the lines, and he never influenced in any way, he never said anything during my tenure in command.

I was a commander who made military decisions, and those military decisions mostly had to do with how to defend ourselves. And this went on day by day, night by night. That's how it is in the war. I think that everybody knows that. Constant fighting on long lines. I would lose some positions. I would then recapture them. When I say "I," I mean "we."

And so I never discussed that with Mate Boban. Well, I didn't have anything to discuss with him. We had to defend ourselves. I never spoke to Franjo Tudjman. And I think that I only met and spoke with Gojko Susak that day when he came to visit his mother.

Page 40016

If you allow me, I will recount an event to show you what it

was -- what it looked like. Here, near Neum [indicates] - well, it's

difficult to see - Bosnia and Herzegovina has its exit onto the sea, and

we had lines here from Stolac to Neum [indicates]. However, the roads

here are either nonexistent or are in a very bad shape. So in order to

defend this Neum area here, we had to rotate our units in such a way that

we had to go to Metkovic. Well, it's very difficult to see. We had to

drive them to Neum from Metkovic and then take them to their positions.

And when a shift was being relieved, the Croatian police in Metkovic

said, pursuant to the complaints that Croatia was taking part in that,

that they would no longer let the troops of the other state pass, and they made them go back from the border.

Now, I, of course, asked the military police to put the police on the BH border in Neum, and then the Croatian Army that was supposed to relieve positions in Dubrovnik came to the border, and the military police officers from the HVO said, No. Then I got a phone call, not from the military part but from Interior Minister Mr. Jaganac [phoen], and he asked me, Well, why won't you let us pass through to Dubrovnik? And I said, well, why don't you want to let us pass through Neum? We are a state, we have our own borders, and you will not pass.

This tells you how complicated the relationship was. Of course, then he wanted us to make some arrangements, and then I said, Okay, I'll let you pass through Dubrovnik, because they had no other way. They had to pass through the territory of Bosnia-Herzegovina. But I also told him, You have to let my army, the army under my command, pass through the

Page 40017

border here at Metkovic to get to Neum [indicates], because I have no other way to get to those positions, because there were no roads, and Serbs held this area here [indicates]. So I quarrelled and I argued that because Croatia was being defended here, that they should take up the defence of this part of the territory so that the Croatian Defence Council should not be defending Croatia from those positions.

At one point, they agreed to it, and then we let the Croatian Army hold the positions here because it was defending Croatian territory.

- But the international community, and I know that it was actually the

 Americans, said that Croatian Army troops could not be on the territory

 of Bosnia-Herzegovina, so we had to pull them out.
- I still don't understand why I was supposed to be defending

 Metkovic and all the other areas, because there was nothing else to be

 defended there. It was no -- there was no point for those units to be

 defending Neum here.

So it was a complex situation, a complicated border-line, and it was not the idea that it was another state intervening in this state, but it was just that the Croatian Army had to defend its positions here in the south, and that's what they had to do.

At one point, they agreed, and then under American pressure,

I think, they bowed to this pressure, and I think there was a UN Security

Council resolution about the Croatian Army pulling back from the BH

borders. I think that it had to do with this, If you don't allow me to

get my troops to here through Metkovic, then I won't let you get your

troops through this area here to your positions. And tensions ran really

Page 40018

1 high.

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well.
- JUDGE TRECHSEL: Mr. Praljak -- excuse me, Mr. Kovacic. This
- 4 refers exactly to what Mr. Praljak has recently said. And maybe there is
- 5 an error in translation. I'm referring to page 8, line 6, and the
- 6 following. It starts by you saying:

- 7 "At one point they agreed to it, and then we let the Croatian
- 8 Army hold the positions here, because it was defending Croatian
- 9 territory."
- 10 Then you speak of reactions of the international community, and
- 11 the conclusion is: "So we had to pull them out," which can only refer to
- 12 the Croatian troops.
- 13 Now, it seems that in the first part of the paragraph, you
- 14 identify with Herceg-Bosna and the HVO, and in the last part you identify
- 15 with Croatia. I wonder how this is to be explained. I'm sure you have
- 16 an explanation.
- 17 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] There's a mistake in using the
- pronouns "we," "they." It may be an interpretation, whatever.
- 19 The HVO had very few soldiers, that was the point, so we, the
- 20 HVO, that is, asked that this area here from Stolac [indicates] -- to the
- 21 right is where we were. There's Stolac [indicates]. So we were up until
- 22 this area here [indicates], and then we asked the Croatian Army defend
- 23 this portion of Croatia [indicates], and to the right of Stolac, since
- 24 Metkovic, which was in Croatia, was being attacked, that it should be
- 25 taken over by the Croatian Army. At one point in time, they agreed to do

- that, and small units of the HVO, TG-2, Tactical Group 2, that is,
- withdrew, and the Croatian army came in to relieve them.
- 3 Then there were complaints and objections, as far as I know, from
- 4 the Americans that the Croatian Army was on the territory of

Bosnia-Herzegovina and that this was in violation of some law or convention or whatever. And then the situation returned to what it had been previously. The Croatian Army withdrew, and we once again took up this position here [indicates], in fact, defending the borders of Croatia.

Now, I spoke about a misunderstanding in that respect, that once again they, that is to say, the Croatian Army, under pressure probably, at one point banned us from going through Metkovic and bringing our troops in to defend this area here around Neum [indicates], because you couldn't reach this area by any other route. Then I banned the Croatian Army from crossing over into Dubrovnik across Bosnian and Herzegovinian territory. And then there was this whole dispute, and we agreed to let them through and they would let us through, because how else could you defend that area? There were no planes. You couldn't take the sea route.

So that was a classical situation and the kind of problems that cropped up. But there was a dispute over this, and as far as I know, and I think my information is very correct, although maybe not 100 percent, that the existence of this area here [indicates] caused the existence of the Croatian Army, which at one time took over this line to the right of Stolac and TG-2, led to the resolution being passed to the effect that

Page 40020

1 the Croatian Army was on the territory of Bosnia-Herzegovina, although

2 it was unable to defend its own territory of this narrow space around

- 3 the south here in any other way from the mouth of the River Neretva
- 4 from that whole area there.
- 5 JUDGE TRECHSEL: Thank you.
- 6 MR. STRINGER: Could I ask the general to specify the time-frame
- of this dispute that he's described with the Croatian Army?
- 8 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, Petkovic. You know dates
- 9 better than me. You know, sometimes dates are a little bit fuzzy. Well,
- 10 I can't know everything. There was so many events, so many events, lots
- and lots of them, that I might be wrong in giving a date. I don't really
- think dates are that important in all that general chaos.
- MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] well, could you start off by
- 14 telling us the year? You must know the year, General.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] What year was it? 1993,
- between July and November? It was between July and November, 1993.
- 17 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] No, no, Petkovic. I don't
- 18 really -- I don't know. Don't make me say it. I know the problem, I
- 19 know the telephones, the conversations, the events, but everything has
- 20 become mixed up. Sometimes I don't what happened from one year to the
- 21 next, so why do you want me say something that I'm not sure of? I'll
- 22 make a mistake.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Praljak, regarding all
- 24 this, you said that the Americans did not want the Croatian Army to enter
- into Bosnia-Herzegovina. The a few days ago, you told us that the 6th

```
1
       Fleet, the US 6th Fleet, was around, so I believe that through their
 2
       monitoring system, they noted and they found out that the Croatian Army
 3
       had actually entered into Bosnia and Herzegovina, which is why they
 4
       actually stepped in or intervened. Do you agree with this, yes or no?
 5
               THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] No. The American officers -- well,
       the military attache and his assistant had an absolute right to go to any
 6
 7
       part of the territory in the theatre of war, and I took them, for
 8
       example, in 1992, when they were researching into whether the factory of
       the Yugoslav People's Army in Bijelo Polje by Mostar could have produced
9
       noxious matter, and they were there with some experts and a Croatian Army
10
11
       general who used to be a general and partisan -- he was a general of the
12
       JNA who had retired and then was reactivated. Binenfeld was one of
13
       those. And then they were very interested in seeing whether or not, in
       that factory in Bijelo Polje - I don't know what iterate or some
14
15
       poisonous noxious matter could have been produced - and they took
16
       examples, and they visited me in Uskoplje, and they went wherever they
17
       wanted to, whenever they wanted to. They didn't need the US 6th Fleet.
18
       And I know that there was some carriers and something controlling the
19
       entry of ships. But they didn't need the fleet, because all the military
20
       attaches could move around Croatia however they wanted to, together with
21
       the US monitors -- UN monitors and UNPROFOR and all the rest of it,
22
       except when there was fighting going on, and then we'd tell them, Don't
23
       go, because we can't guarantee your safety. Something might happen to
       you, and then we'll be blamed for that. So those were the only days
24
25
       when -- it was only when there was fierce fighting going on that, for
```

- their own safety, we asked them not to move around.
- 2 So I claim, I state, Your Honours, as somebody who granted
- 3 permission, that anybody, any journalist, any European Observer or
- 4 Monitor, all the military attaches could move around freely in
- 5 Herzegovina and Bosnia, up to Uskoplje while they were there, whenever
- 6 they wanted to and however much they wanted to, and they had all the
- 7 information provided.
- 8 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Kovacic, please proceed.
- 9 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] Thank you, Your Honour. Good
- 10 afternoon to everybody -- good morning to everybody.
- 11 Examination by Mr. Kovacic: [Continued]
- 12 Q. [Interpretation] Now, General, with respect to the first two
- 13 questions that Judge Antonetti asked you, I have a follow-up question
- 14 which wasn't fully clarified.
- To go back to Judge Antonetti's first question, you didn't
- expressly and specifically answer and say whether you, in formal terms,
- in real terms, ceased to be a member of the HDS before you joined the
- ministry or, rather, the Croatian Army.
- 19 A. Yes. In the spring of 1991, I stepped down from the Croatian
- 20 Democratic Party.
- 21 Q. Thank you. Now to go back to Judge Antonetti's second question.
- 22 When you were discussing relations with the Croatian Army and the
- 23 HVO commander which is what you were so while you were HVO commander

- from July to November, did you ever talk or meet a commander of the
- 25 Main Staff of the HV, General Stipetic?

- 1 A. No, Stipetic wasn't the chief of the Main Staff.
- 2 Q. Well, I might have got the name wrong, but with the chief of the
- 3 Main Staff of the HV?
- 4 A. Never.
- 5 Q. Had you had any military cooperation in the field, by the very
- 6 nature of your business, would you have had to have met and had contacts
- 7 with the chief of the Main Staff of the HV?
- 8 A. Yes, that would have been very necessary.
- 9 Q. Thank you. And perhaps I can help out -- help Your Honours out
- 10 with respect to the explanations that Mr. Praljak spoke about, the
- 11 complicated situation around the border around Metkovic. The 3D03544 map
- 12 that we provided you with, which was the A-3 laminated version, it's a
- map of Bosnia-Herzegovina, if you look at it carefully, and I think that
- 14 you already know this, there's a part over there -- a part of the coast
- 15 which is the territory of Bosnia-Herzegovina. So Croatia hasn't got a
- 16 continuous coastline, continuous territory along the coast, so that, for
- 17 example, you could go from -- if you went from Split to Dubrovnik, taking
- 18 the road -- the coastal road which is parallel to the coastline, you have
- 19 to pass through several miles I think it's nine miles or whatever you
- 20 have to pass through the territory of Bosnia-Herzegovina?
- 21 A. Yes.

MR. KOVACIC: So if you know this, then the situation is quite

clear, the detail that the general spoke about, that at one point in

time, for the Croatian Army to move towards Dubrovnik, the HVO placed

itself at the border with Bosnia-Herzegovina and said, No, to the

- 1 Croatian Army, you can't pass this way. You can't take this route.
- 2 Well, that is just by way of explaining the map to you, if it is
- 3 of any assistance.
- 4 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I understood very well. So
- 5 territorially speaking, there's no continuity; Croatia is cut off at some
- 6 point.
- 7 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] Thank you.
- 8 Q. Now, General --
- 9 MS. ALABURIC: [Interpretation] Your Honour, just another
- 10 explanation.
- 11 Croatia wasn't cut off at that point in time. Croatia is cut off
- in the same way today. Bosnia-Herzegovina always had an outlet to the
- 13 sea at Neum, and which means that Croatia -- there was always a gap in
- 14 Croatia along that area, along that portion of the coast.
- 15 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, yes, it's the state border.
- In simple terms, it's the state border.
- MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] Yes, but it existed during the
- 18 Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia and during these critical years,
- 19 and the situation is the same today. It was never touched, nor did any

- 20 side challenge that. Thank you.
- Q. General, yesterday we reached 3D01998.
- 22 A. No, no, no. We hadn't finished dealing with 996, Mr. Kovacic.
- Q. All right. Go ahead.
- 24 A. No, I hadn't finished with Franjo Tudjman's speech with the
- 25 establishment of the Upper House of the Croatian Parliament. I was

- dealing with page 6 of Hrvatski Vojnik. I hadn't finished discussing
- 2 that article.
- 3 Q. That's 3D31-0028, and in English it is 3D40-0805. Go ahead,
- 4 General, please.
- 5 A. I think I finished saying what I wanted to, where Dr. Tudjman
- 6 said that the Serbs would be guaranteed all civil rights and the right to
- 7 local self-government according to the highest European standards and
- 8 standards of the developing world, and that would be enshrined in the
- 9 law. And here he talks about Croatia and the war in Bosnia and
- 10 Herzegovina. And he says that the causes of the war in Bosnia and
- 11 Herzegovina are quite clear; it's clear why they came about. And he says
- 12 that Croatia -- that it's vitally important to Croatia for the war to end
- 13 as soon as possible so that a political solution to the Bosnian and
- 14 Herzegovinian crisis can be found, and that Croatia must remain vitally
- interested in resolving this problem. So we're not denying that Croatia
- 16 is desirous of this. And then says why; because of the geo-political
- 17 strategical links and interdependence between Croatia and

Bosnia-Herzegovina. And then, B, because of the considerable portion of Croats living in Bosnia-Herzegovina, the number of inhabitants who have been there for centuries, for ages, and that it was a nation enjoying statehood. And, C, because of the effects of the war in Bosnia-Herzegovina on the whole economy and the political circumstances in Croatia to such an extent that normalisation with respect to critical positions in the country could not be achieved until the Bosnian crisis is solved, which is of course logical, because without a solution

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 40026

in -- to the situation in Bosnia-Herzegovina, you can't resolve the UNPA 1 2 zones because it's one army, one state, one battleground. And I, myself, was surprised by this pressure exerted by the Americans, that they wanted 3 to -- well, I'd understand it if they wanted to divide it up, but had 4 5 they positioned the army along our borders and then have someone solve 6 the Bosnia-Herzegovina question. But the Serbs had taken all this 7 [indicates], taken control of all these areas. And I didn't really 8 understand how we were supposed to forget this fact. It was a political 9 and military option. And, of course, the president knew exactly that 10 unless you resolve the problem in Bosnia-Herzegovina, you couldn't resolve anything else. And that's what ultimately happened. It was only 11 12 with the subsequent operations that took place with the international 13 community's -- with Operation Storm, Flash and Storm, that the problem 14 with Croatia was solved, the problem with the south was solved, and the 15 problem of Bosnia-Herzegovina was solved, as it was solved.

16	It goes on to say that the world, unfortunately, was not
17	completely aware of the fact that in Bosnia and Herzegovina, all the
18	problems that had been present in the former Yugoslavia had come to a
19	head.
20	Further on, he says that the time has come for the terrible war to stop,
21	and he goes on saying what problems might arise if the war continues,
22	because the Mujahedin has appeared. There are a lot of volunteers on the
23	Serbian side from Greece, Eastern Orthodox Christians from Ukraine, from
24	Moscow, and he says that the war could soon go beyond the regional borders.
25	And then he speaks about the anti-fascist movement in Croatia for

- 1 a simple reason. The reason being that for 30 or 40 years, propaganda 2 messages had been coming from Belgrade and had been slowly accepted by the world. At the end of the day, Franjo Tudjman was also an Ustasha, he 3 who had been a partisan from 4 years and a Yugoslav general until the 1960s. 5 Bobetko too, who had been a partisan and a general between 1941 and 1945. I was an Ustasha. We were all Ustasha. And there were decades of lies that spread like propaganda, causing the feeling of nausea in all of us. 7 8 We had to fight against those lies, although we were absolutely innocent. 9 JUDGE TRECHSEL: Just for the record -- excuse me, Mr. Kovacic. The page was indicated as the final three letters "805," but it must, 10 11 I think, be 895.
 - MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] 805, Your Honour. In English, in e-court, the last three digits are 805, and the page was also on the

12

13

- 14 screen while it was being discussed.
- Q. General, I believe that yesterday you made a reference to the
- 16 fact that the military prosecutor in Croatia was a Muslim,
- 17 Colonel Baksic. Could you please --
- 18 THE INTERPRETER: There's too much noise in the courtroom. The
- 19 interpreter did not hear the last part of what Mr. Kovacic said.
- 20 MR. STRINGER: The last couple of exchanges between Mr. Kovacic
- 21 and the general have not be picked up by the English translation booth.
- They're saying there's too much background noise.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Praljak, when you turn over
- 24 the pages, try to be more silent, because it gives a lot of background
- 25 noise to the booths.

- 1 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] Very well. Just for my learned
- 2 friend's information, I just asked General Praljak whether he wanted to
- 3 continue talking about the military prosecutor, Colonel Baksic, which
- 4 would be in the following article, and he was mentioned yesterday only in
- 5 passing. The general said, No, and we are skipping that part.
- 6 Q. General, you said -- you said that -- we can also skip 3D1998.
- 7 A. I don't know, maybe we should show two things.
- 8 Q. Then go on.
- 9 A. The first one is the Easter greetings to the supreme commander.
- 10 Can you please produce what I wrote on the 27th of March to the HVO in
- 11 Usora?

- Q. This one has been translated, and in Croatian e-court, it is
 40892, and its translation -- I apologise. It is 3D40-892 in English,
 and in Croatian it is 3D31-0038.
- Go on, General. You have the floor.

22

23

24

25

9

- A. Usora, of course, is in Central Bosnia. I can't find it on the
 map at the moment. However, and for their own reasons, which I don't
 want to address, they wrote to Dr. Tudjman to inform him that they had
 broken off the Czech [as interpreted] offensive. They write that they
 had fired 20.000 different projectiles on them, that they had attacked
 with 34 tanks, and so on and so forth.
 - However, it also says here that they did all that because of their heart and with the Muslim help. The offensive was quashed. They had 19 dead Muslim and Croat soldiers. And together with the civilians, there were a total of 162 wounded.

Page 40029

1 And they also say in this letter that -- a reference is made to 2 the Muslim province. And here you see the Vance-Owen Plan on 3 cantonisation had already been signed and also ended up in the canton, 4 and I'm imploring with everybody because this is being erroneously 5 treated. A reference is made here to the Croatian provinces as the 6 provinces which should only have Croatia population, but this is not 7 right. This is the Vance-Owen Plan, and in order to make things simpler people said -- like here, they say that they remain in the Muslim 8

province. By the same token, Croatian Muslims were also mentioned.

10 There were no Muslim or Croatian provinces. For example, there should 11 have been, allegedly, more Croats in Croat provinces. They were to be 12 called Croatian provinces, but that has nothing to do with the interpretations according to which those provinces were to be pure. 13 Muslim provinces, likewise, did not have to be pure. Muslims and Croats 14 15 in Usora fought together against the Chetniks, as they described it in 16 the letter. And they say president, that's how they address him, 17 although he's not the president, still they address him as President Tudjman. And they are hoping that they would be part of an 18 administrative unity because there were a lot of [indiscernible]. 19 Of course, this is about Bosnia-Herzegovina. They are not 20 talking about seceding, about being defending from -- defended from 21 22 somebody. Mate Boban and Franjo Tudjman signed the Vance-Owen Plan, and this should have been implemented. The Serbs never signed it. 23 24 Mr. Izetbegovic, after having signed it, as was his custom, gave up on

Page 40030

- 1 Q. The next article in the same --
- 2 A. No, no, skip that, forget that.
- 3 Q. So we've skipped it.

it. That would be that.

- 4 A. Give me a moment. Hold on, hold on.
- 5 Q. Seks?

25

- 6 A. No, no, we're not going to do Seks, no. No. No Seks, forget
- 7 Seks, nothing important about Seks.

- 8 Q. And the next "Hrvatski Vojnik" --
- 9 A. No, no, we're moving to "We'll Build Croatia."
- 10 Q. Just a moment, can you give me the number?
- 11 A. It's the same number.
- 12 JUDGE TRECHSEL: I'm sorry. If there is a pause, could we be
- 13 told where Usora is? The Google map does not know a place called Usora.
- 14 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Usora is too small. I beg your
- pardon, begging your pardon? Pardon? I know, I know, I know.
- 16 MR. KARNAVAS: It's right next to Doboj, Your Honour. That's
- where, I believe, the Vice-President Zubak was from, Usora. I believe
- it's now part of the Republika Srpska.
- 19 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] I don't see it. My eyesight is not
- 20 that good.
- JUDGE TRECHSEL: Please continue, Mr. Kovacic.
- THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Mr. Kovacic, "We Will Build
- 23 Croatia," that's --
- MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation]
- Q. Yes. It's in the same one, 3D01998, a long article, an interview

- 1 with --
- 2 A. It's not an interview, no.
- 3 Q. No, it's not an interview. This is a speech delivered by
- 4 President Tudjman. The Croatian e-court is 3D31-0044, and in English it
- 5 is 3D40-0895 through to 0903.

- General, as you go through the subtitles, could you please stop and give me time to refer everybody to the Croatian and English pages in e-court.
- A. Of course, I'll do that.

This is what, in Croatian, is called the state of the union address. That's what it would be in America. Of course, we have a different name in Croatia for that. This is a most fundamental address by the president to the overall population of Croatia about the basic principles of the state policy in all the spheres of life, including wartimes and what is being attempted; culture, economy, and other things. So this is an equivalent of the state of the union address.

I will start with the international circumstances in which we create an independent state. I'm doing this now, Your Honours, to show you how crystal clear it was to Franjo Tudjman, the president of the state, that he would not have been able to create a Croatian state if he had not understood all international historical circumstances that were at play and if he had not adjusted to those such circumstances. He was a historian and a statesman who knew so much. He knew so well what was going on, on the international scene, what kind of policies were in play. And here this is explained very thoroughly and in great detail.

Page 40032

For one to expect that Franjo Tudjman would try to do something

covertly with regard to Bosnia-Herzegovina is completely beyond

comprehension.

4	Let's take things at a time. He says, and I'm going to give you
5	the basic ideas, because it would take me too long to read everything,
6	Your Honours, he says that the international order was against the
7	creation of new independent states, that that order as regards Yugoslavia
8	was based on the results and the outcomes of the First World War, which
9	was the Versailles [Realtime transcript read in error "Warsaw"] agreement
10	on the creation of a new Yugoslavia. He also says here that the
11	establishment of new states were opposed by the states
12	JUDGE PRANDLER: Sorry to interrupt you. I would only like to
13	say that there is a mistake in the translation and the transcript, that
14	when you spoke about the outcomes of the First World War, which, as you
15	say, "which was the Warsaw agreement," of course you said Versailles
16	agreement, so the "Warsaw" should be replaced by "Versailles." Thank
17	you.
18	THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Thank you, Your Honour.
19	He goes on to say that even those who wanted to preserve
20	Yugoslavia were
21	against the establishment of a new independent Croatia. He explains what
22	reasons guided them to support the former Yugoslavia, and he also says
23	that the relationships in the former Yugoslavia were not favourable, that
24	the most important representatives of Croatia in the institutions of

Yugoslavia were also people who were not very much in favour of the

25

that everybody understood that Slovenia should let go if they so wanted, i.e., that Serbia was in favour of that, but that Bosnia and Herzegovina and other republics should remain in Yugoslavia, whereas Croatia would be given some confederal rights. Those were the proposals of asymmetrical federation. Those meetings ended with some preposterous solutions all to -- with aim to please Slobodan Milosevic. And the only thing that could please Slobodan Milosevic was a Greater Serbia.

Then he goes on to say under 5 that Croatia was in a position where it was -- imposed the legacy of the independent state of Croatia, the historical independent state of Croatia, as a reason against the creation of a new independent state, and he says here that all that has to be born in mind because it is all still present, and that in all of that what one has to bear in mind is our overall international policy.

Somebody who would think that they could go against all that would be nothing but a fool. There were some requests for speedy solutions in Croatia; of course there were.

He goes on to say that there is a dissatisfaction in the Croatian people because of the fact that the Croatian administration had not been introduced in all of its areas, that this should have been done through our -- through force. And he also says -- and he's being asked why he's pursuing his policy, as he does, towards the solution of the crisis in Bosnia-Herzegovina. It is obvious that the international community has not been able to resolve that crisis through their pens [as interpreted] because they hesitated to resort to stronger political and military

- 1 means. 2 And now I quote --THE INTERPRETER: Could the counsel please quote the number of 3 4 the English page on e-court. 5 THE WITNESS: [Previous translation continues]... because of the circumstances that prevailed at the time, it --6 7 MR. KARNAVAS: Excuse me, General Praljak. Perhaps there could 8 be some assistance to the translators, because they're trying to keep up 9 with you, General Praljak. You're reading quickly. The translators 10 don't know the number of the page in English. So perhaps counsel could provide that information. I'm not conducting the direct. Otherwise, I 11 would help you out. 12 13 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Thank you, Mr. Karnavas. 14 And I quote: "From the circumstances --" 15 16 MR. STRINGER: Excuse me. Before you --17 JUDGE TRECHSEL: Sorry. Where do you quote from, that was the 18 question, and it is still an unanswered question. 19 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] From the same text. 20 JUDGE TRECHSEL: Yes, yes, but the interpreters would like to 21 know on which page. The pages have three columns. It is small print. 22 Their task is difficult enough anyway. MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] 23
 - Q. You are now in the portion dealing with Croatia and the war in

24

- 1 No, no. "International circumstances in which we created an
- 2 independent state." "International circumstances," that's page 2.
- 3 In English e-court, that's 3D40-0895, and then goes on to 896.
- Most of it is there. 4
- 5 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, you're not
- 6 going to read this entire speech. It's extremely dense. Just please
- 7 read the highlights only that support what you are submitting.
- 8 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, I was hoping I would do that,
- 9 so that's what I'll do.
- 10 From this text, which I hope will be admitted into evidence and
- will become part of the record, it is clear, crystal clear, that 11
- 12 Franjo Tudjman understands all the elements working in the world
- politics, understands them with cold reason, and adapts his policies to 13
- 14 those factors, aware of the fact that anything that might run contrary to
- 15 the policy might lead to a collapse or might prevent the Croatian state
- from coming into being. And then he says that the policy he has pursued 16
- 17 has been very careful, very cautious, clean, and that day after day he
- 18 won over international players, acting in accordance with their demands,
- 19 even though he was not always in accordance with them because those
- 20 demands were often quite irrational and unreasonable.
- He goes on to say in the text that again the policy had to be 21
- 22 prudent and that it led to the gradual understanding on the part of the

- 23 international players and has resulted in the recognition of Croatia's
 24 independence and its admission into the membership of the United Nations.
- 25 And then he says that one should not forget that Croatia on its

- 1 route to independence was not armed; it had been disarmed, and that this
- 2 state, such as it was, was able to set up a stable democracy, and that
- 3 its constitution and other legal acts embodied all the civilizational
- 4 achievements of the modern world, and so on.
- 5 And then he goes on to say that we were recognised by
- 6 103 countries, that 75 countries, including the European community, have
- 7 diplomatic relations with us, that we have good relations with the key
- 8 great power in the world, the United States of America.
- 9 And then he goes on to say that our legal system must guarantee
- 10 all its citizens, in particular ethnic communities and national
- minorities, all their rights that have been achieved in modern humanity,
- 12 that nothing will sway us from our way. And then he goes on to
- 13 say that this has resulted in Croatia being able to sign the Vance Peace
- 14 Plan, and that it is clear from what is going on in Bosnia and
- 15 Herzegovina, what the Serb aggressor was ready to destroy in Croatia.
- 16 And then he goes on to say, speaking about UNPROFOR, that
- 17 UNPROFOR has fulfilled its tasks, and that there are some problems, but
- 18 that they are being dealt with.
- 19 THE INTERPRETER: Microphone for the counsel, please.
- 20 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation]

- Q. General, now you've moved on to the second heading, "Problems with the protected areas and the UN." That would be 3D40-0897. Please go ahead.
- A. Well, he speaks about UNPROFOR and how we managed to -- but
 before the war in BH, let me just remark, he says that he will pursue the

- same policy consistently and that citizens will be guaranteed all their
- 2 civil and ethnic rights, including a right to self-government, in
- 3 accordance with the highest civilizational achievements of Europe and the
- 4 modern developed world.
- 5 He speaks about a normalisation of the relations with Serbs under
- the auspices of the UN peacekeeping force.
- 7 And then he says that Croatia is in favour of having free
- 8 elections for local government in those areas, and proposes that the
- 9 Croatian Parliament should make it possible for the representatives of
- 10 Serbs from the two districts in which they have the majority, to be
- 11 members of the Chamber of Counties in the Parliament, and also that the
- 12 problem of Serb representatives in the Chamber of representatives should
- 13 also be dealt with.
- 14 So in the midst of this war, Franjo Tudjman says that for
- 15 Kotor Glina, for the Glina and Knin districts, he offers the Serb
- 16 representatives to have their own local self-government, their own
- 17 police, as we will see later on, and to have their members in both
- 18 chambers of the Croatian Parliament.

- 19 And when he talks about the war in Bosnia-Herzegovina --
- 20 Q. Just a moment, General. When he speaks about the war in
- 21 Bosnia-Herzegovina in English e-court, that would be 3D40-0898, and it
- spills over to page 0899.
- Thank you. Please go ahead.
- A. I will not repeat the three basic points, why he says that
- 25 Croatia is interested in the actual solution.

- 1 He says that some powers are getting involved in that war and
- 2 that this might lead to an escalation; that the world has condemned the
- 3 Serb aggression, but that there are some international factors that are
- 4 afraid that there would be an Islamic state in the middle of Europe. And
- 5 he says unfortunately those people who are not from the area, from the
- 6 region, do not understand the problem, and he says that the Croatian
- 7 people in Bosnia-Herzegovina organised themselves to defend themselves
- 8 against the aggression and was able to defend most of its area
- 9 successfully, that the whole of Bosnia-Herzegovina was saved in this
- 10 manner, which was true.
- 11 And then he goes on to say that under the auspices of the London,
- 12 Geneva and New York Conferences, that the position taken by him as a
- 13 matter of principle was always that any solution to the problem should be
- such that it would lead to a stable, long-lasting peace.
- 15 And he says that Bosnia and Herzegovina can only survive as a
- state if it is made up of three constituent peoples who have equal

17 rights, where they would have a certain degree of power in the areas 18 where they have the majority because, as we will see further on, 19 otherwise we would have the same thing that Bosnia-Herzegovina has seen 20 for centuries now, that any solution imposed from the outside, the 21 Turkish rule, the Austrian annexation, the Serb aspirations, any solution 22 which would not be based on such principles would lead to a chaos in the 23 country, as we are seeing now because the solution simply is not good, 24 and it is all being postponed and the quantity of mistrust, not to say hatred, grows day by day. Well, I don't want to go into that. 25

Page 40039

- This is, in fact, an address which presents an overview, and I

 have to say that a man who understands and sees politics -- international

 politics in this way and the forces at play, and then to say

 that this man and this politics or the policy is plotting to annex parts

 of Bosnia-Herzegovina, having carved it up, I think it's simply
- 7 Let me just -- I'll tell you what I want --
- 8 Q. Very well. Thank you very much. The next --
- 9 A. Well, we'll skip the next one.

unreasonable. And we can go on.

- 10 Q. That's what I thought.
- 11 A. We'll skip this one, to cut this short.
- 12 Q. 201, 3D0201.

6

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Before moving to this other

document, I have a question coming from this speech which was delivered

in front of the two chambers, a speech made by the president of Croatia, speaking before his citizens and the elected -- fellow citizens and elected officials.

So he is there to talk about the policy that he's about to conduct. Now, given this, Mr. Praljak, I noted one thing. In this speech, President Tudjman addresses the problem of conflicts in Bosnia-Herzegovina. He says, Unfortunately in Bosnia-Herzegovina, there are conflicts between the Croatian and the Muslim troops, and he adds "in some places." So he's not saying it's a widespread conflict. He's very careful and says that it only occurs in some places, and this is quite important. It's a president speaking to his people. He's not allowed to

Page 40040

make any mistake, and what he says must actually reflect the truth or the reality. This is what he says, "in some places." And then it seems that he's going to give the reasons for all this. It seems that he says that it's the consequence of the Serbian aggression, that it also comes from

the intentions and acts of irresponsible Muslim extremists.

The analysis of the reasons of the conflict between Croats and Muslims in Bosnia-Herzegovina that he's actually carrying out, I would like to know whether the entire political class in Croatia at the time shared this opinion or whether here Tudjman is only expressing his personal opinion.

THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, Your Honours, I can't really give you an answer to that question because, of course, there were

were those who blamed it only on Serbs, those who blamed it only on

Muslims, and there were those who blamed only the HVO in Herceg-Bosna,

because as President Tudjman once said, there would not have been any war

in this area had Croatia agreed to remain in Yugoslavia. Well, it's very

simple -- very simple to avoid war if you agree to remain a slave.

And the same thing went for Bosnia and Herzegovina. There were no conflicts between Muslims and Croats here in Bihac [indicates]. There were no conflicts between Croats and Muslims in Posavina. There were no such conflicts here in the whole central area, Tuzla-Zenica,

Zenica-Tuzla, and so on. There were conflicts in Konjic and in Central Bosnia, and then it spread.

Of course, I share the position, and I will try to show what it

Page 40041

was through the documents that I will call up in the course of my evidence, that several factors contributed to the conflict. One is the influx of a great number of refugees into that area, but this is my position, and I reached that position through conducting some analysis on my own. But the international community could not force the Serbs, who had taken large parts of the territory, to agree to any kind of logical peace, and then this part of the territory of Bosnia-Herzegovina that Croats and Muslims were left with [indicates] simply made the negotiators, in particular I'm referring now to Mr. Izetbegovic and people who pursued his policy, because we will see that Mr. Izetbegovic was not really all

that independent in pursuing this policy, to say, Okay, whatever we present to the international community as being under our control in the field, the international community is going to recognise it, because it recognised the Serb conquests, because it is not the purpose of the international community to get a just solution, but just to get peace at any cost. And born out of this kind of thinking, we had this kind of logic, that the BH Army should take Central Bosnia and should take, as it is stated by Sefer Halilovic explicitly, to get to its western borders and to get to Neum and to Ploce, and then to simply say to the international community, Well, we've dealt with the problem. Serbs have their own part; we've managed to capture our part of the territory. And I say to you, Your Honours, that they would have accepted that, because they accepted the division of Bosnia and Herzegovina, as Serbs did in the course of the war; 49 percent of an ethnically-cleansed state. Of course, this runs contrary to what I feel should be the moral fibre of

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

5

politic for you.

Page 40042

1 the international politics, but there you have it. That's the real

and everybody else who looked at things reasonably.

- And this is why this is Franjo Tudjman's position, but this is

 also the way that the entire government thought, including the parliament
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Praljak, if you could
 please answer shortly, if you could give us shorter answers, you know, we
 could have more questions, that would be nice.

9 I have one last question regarding this document, a question that 10 I put through the -- by looking at the possibility that Mr. Tudjman might be playing a double game, which is the case alleged by the Prosecution. 11 On page 3D40-0899 for the English version, the first column, the 12 13 first paragraph of this first column on this page, he says, and I quote: "Croatia recognised Bosnia-Herzegovina, and up until now it is 14 15 the first and the only one who sent an ambassador to this country." 16 Tudjman is thus saying that Croatia recognised Bosnia-Herzegovina first and sent an ambassador to this country. How can you interpret 17 this, coming from Mr. Tudjman? Do you believe that in his mind, he is 18 making a symbolic gesture, or is it a gesture that runs parallel to 19 20 recognising a state, or is there something more, the fact that he wants 21 to be number one -- the first one to send an ambassador, the first one to 22 recognise the state? How can you analyse all this? 23 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, precisely in that way. If 24

you want a country to remain integral, you're going to recognise it first, you're going to send an ambassador first, well, to Sarajevo, and

25

4

5

6

- it was Mr. Sancevic in this particular case. And Mr. Izetbegovic didn't 1 want to take a plane and go to Sarajevo, or him to take a plane, and that 2 3 would prevent some political gains, but not on the Croatian side.
 - So let me repeat. Franjo Tudjman sent a prominent personage to spend a month in Bosnia-Herzegovina lobbying to have a referendum first because, Your Honours, the Croats in Bosnia-Herzegovina were not prone to

go to a referendum for retaining Bosnia-Herzegovina without a precise qualification of what kind of Bosnia-Herzegovina they were going to have, because the essence of the problem is not Bosnia-Herzegovina, as such, but what kind of Bosnia-Herzegovina it would be. I, as a citizen and Croat, what would I gain in that kind of Bosnia-Herzegovina? And it was expected that Mr. Izetbegovic would come out with a crystal clear -- and I had a long conversation with him in Mostar in October, that he would come out with a crystal-clear stand and say, I am offering to the Croats, Muslims, Bosniaks and Serbs, I'm offering this kind of system, internal system for Bosnia-Herzegovina, so let's fight for that social order. And I'm going to talk about this discussion I had with him in due course. So President Tudjman lobbied as much as he could to have this question of the referendum agreed to, and the Croats would not have agreed to that, and it was the Livanjski problem. I wouldn't have agree to that, either, because the crux of the matter was what kind of Bosnia-Herzegovina were we going to have, just as what is the position of France in Europe? What kind of country is Switzerland in Europe? So it wasn't a question of challenging Bosnia-Herzegovina as a country and state, per se, as such, not where the Croatian politics is concerned, but here we have a

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 40044

man who understands the significance of ambassadors and the United

Nations and who is sending his envoy and all Islamic countries, Demirel's

Turkey with whom /inaudible/ had exceptional contacts, they understood

just how much Croatia had done for the Muslim population much better than

- the West understood this, because people came in from Iran, and Mr.
- 6 Demirel, the president, was there several times, he set up a joint
- 7 delegation with Mr. Tudjman to go to Mostar and try and find peace --
- 8 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, just a minute.
- 9 Your answers are very lengthy. Please try to cut it short.

5

- 10 But you just said something that forces me to put a question to you. It's
- 11 something new that I've just discovered. You just said that President
- 12 Tudjman lobbied as much as he could to have the referendum, a referendum
- that could only lead to the existence of the Republic of Bosnia-
- 14 Herzegovina. But logically, if President Tudjman intended to annex
- 15 Herzegovina, shouldn't he then have lobbied with the Croats of Herzegovina
- or acted with them to tell them, you know, Say no in the referendum, vote
- no, because Croatia must be integrated? Wouldn't that be more logical?
- 18 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, Your Honour, what would have
- 19 been more logical? He didn't even have to say, Don't vote. The Croats
- down there, without his influence, wouldn't have voted at all. In other
- 21 words, the Badinter Commission would not be able to say that
- Bosnia-Herzegovina exists as a state, because two ethnic groups would be
- 23 against, and quite simply we could have cut off that part without any
- 24 problems, militarily and otherwise. We were far stronger than the
- 25 BH Army. And in 1992 and when the war was going on down there, I,

- 1 together with the HVO and Petkovic, we were able to take a chunk, just
- 2 like the Serbs did; Uskoplje and Rama and Central Bosnia, and all the

- 3 rest of it. But throughout that time, we assisted the BH Army and
- 4 supplied it with weapons, so where's the logic there? You recognise a
- 5 state and force or try and have the people recognise the state if you
- 6 want to cut off a portion later on, and you send an envoy, you send an
- 7 ambassador, you sign agreements. Well, that's precisely what I'm saying.
- 8 It's quite unreasonable. I can't understand that. Nobody can understand
- 9 that.
- 10 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] We have five minutes before the
- 11 break. Mr. Kovacic.
- MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation]
- 13 Q. Perhaps before we move on to the next document, General, while
- 14 we're on the subject of responding to Judge Antonetti's question, you
- 15 mentioned your personal meeting and conversation with Izetbegovic in
- 16 October, and that was on page 34, line 5, of the transcript. Could you
- tell us what that year was.
- 18 A. 1992.
- 19 Q. Thank you. Now, this brings us to document 3D0201 --
- 20 A. No, only 0200, and I have a small part there that I would like to
- 21 address. 3D02000, so three 0s, 2000, and it was an interviewed by
- 22 today's Prime Minister Sanader. And at the time he was, I think, the
- 23 deputy foreign minister, Dr. Ivo Sanader.
- Q. Just a moment. In Croatian, the page is --
- 25 A. I'll tell you. I'll tell you. It's page 11 of

- "Hrvatski Vojnik," and it just says:
- 2 "Can we, within this context, stand up parallel to Serb diplomacy
- 3 which has for years disseminated lies?"
- 4 Q. That hasn't been translated.
- 5 A. What do you mean, it hasn't been translated?
- 6 Q. Well, on page 12, in the middle column, it says:
- 7 "Dr. Sanader, you presented interesting views and the
- 8 strengthening of cooperation between," et cetera, et cetera.
- 9 A. I'll read it out. Dr. Sanader in that text says what kind of
- 10 propaganda you have during a war, and everybody that has dealt with
- anything like this knows how many lies are disseminated, and I quote:
- 12 "On Easter Monday, the 12th of April, 1993, the world news
- 13 agencies broadcast a Serb lie to the effect that the conflicts between
- 14 the Muslims and Croats in Travnik started by the nationalistic cry to
- 15 genocide, appeal to genocide."
- 16 And then this "fierce appeal" is in quotations:
- 17 " ... none other," than once again in inverted commas, "the well
- 18 known 'extremist,' the Croatian Defence Minister Gojko Susak. Allegedly,
- 19 this piece of news was confirmed by two high-ranking officers of
- 20 UNPROFOR. And on that same day, I reacted to this untruth, because I was
- 21 present together with the other high-ranking officials of the HDZ at the
- great fair, Velesajam, where we held the main board meeting of the party.
- "Minister Susak," and you can see this from the papers, "was
- 24 sitting in the first row at that meeting. Later on, Mr. Susak
- 25 acknowledged that he'd never been to Travnik."

- Now, Your Honours, there you have it. This is one example, and
- 2 this was mentioned for a long time later on, how war propaganda and lies
- 3 were disseminated. And I think that some Prosecution documents mentioned
- 4 that Gojko Susak delivered this speech in Travnik, and on the same day
- 5 there's a photograph in the papers.
- 6 Quite simply, propaganda and lies took on a great importance and
- 7 were disseminated by and large all over, and unfortunately we did not
- 8 manage to set up a television station and to be able to disseminate our
- 9 own information and stand up to this misinformation that was broadcast to
- 10 the world on a daily basis and caused major upsets and deviations of the
- 11 truth. So that's that.
- 12 Q. General, something was lost in translation. Can you just repeat
- your assertion. The sentence on page 37, line 17 and 18, wasn't quite
- 14 clear. You said that Gojko Susak allegedly, according to certain
- 15 sources, was in Travnik, whereas on that same day he was where, and where
- 16 did his photograph appear?
- 17 A. He was at Velesajam.
- 18 Q. Where?
- 19 A. In Zagreb.
- 20 Q. And?
- 21 A. Attending the main board meeting of the Croatian Democratic
- 22 Community, and that on that day the papers published this, they published
- 23 where he was. It came out in the papers.

- 24 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] I think that clarifies matters.
- Now let's take a --

- 1 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] It's time to have a 20-minute
- 2 break.
- 3 --- Recess taken at 10.33 a.m.
- 4 --- On resuming at 10.54 a.m.
- 5 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] The court is back in session.
- 6 Please proceed, Mr. Kovacic.
- 7 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] Thank you, Your Honour. Thank you
- 8 to the interpreters.
- 9 Q. General, this 3D02001 and the next one, 2002, we're going to skip
- 10 that to save a bit of time, and this brings us to 3D02003. And there's a
- long article there about the celebrations of the anti-fascist struggle
- 12 and the 400 years of the battle of Sisak, and President Tudjman is
- 13 speaking at length here about that. And you want to focus on certain
- issues. In Croatian e-court, it is 3D31-0076, and for the English it is
- 15 3D40-0929.
- Go ahead, General.
- A. Well, it was a two-fold meeting. On the 22nd of June, 1941, the
- 18 1st Partisan Detachment was established in Sisak of the anti-fascist
- 19 council, and it was considered to be the first in Europe, setup in
- 20 Europe, and also it was the 400th anniversary of the great battle of
- 21 Sisak between the Croats and the Osmani Empire, the Ottoman Empire. And

in history, it is considered that after that battle, which the Croats won, the burgeoning of the Ottoman Empire towards the West was stopped, and that was the beginning of the fall of the Ottoman Empire. And it is important to mention that all the members of the diplomatic corps were

22

23

24

25

19

Page 40049

invited to attend the rally in Sisak and that there were two prominent 1 2 anti-fascist fighters, partisans, Dr. Franjo Tudjman and 3 Mr. Janko Bobetko, and they both delivered speeches. It's a long article, as I said, and following Judge Antonetti's 4 instructions and guide-lines, I'd just like to emphasise that 5 6 Franjo Tudjman was speaking about how the anti-fascist movement in Croatia was formed and how many partisans there were from the Croatian 7 nation, without, of course, denying the part the Serbs played, the Serbs 8 9 from Croatia. And proportionately, according to the number of inhabitants, he says - he won't omit to say that - there were more of 10 11 them than there were Croats. And he goes on to say that the anti-fascist movement -- that there was a German detachment of the anti-fascist 12 13 movement in Croatia, an Italian battalion, anti-fascist one, there was a 14 Jewish battalion, a Czech brigade, a Muslim brigade. And further on he goes on to say that it was on the basis of this kind of anti-fascist 15 16 movement in Croatia, contrary and opposing the Pavelic regime, that 17 Croatia already at that time at the ZAVNOH and AVNOJ meetings, which were the constituent elements, that Croatia gained the constitutional right to 18

its own republic, and he says that it was no province, it was not a

- 20 province, as was claimed by some European statesmen, and that pursuant to
- 21 the Constitution, the Croatian nation, the Croatian people, had the right
- 22 to self-determination. Further on, he goes on to speak about how,
- 23 through history, Serbian hegemony over the Croats developed.
- Q. Just a moment, General. That is "Learn the lessons of history,"
- is it? 3D40-0930 is the English e-court?

- A. No, no, we're a long way from that. We're dealing with "Never
- 2 Serbian hegemony in Croatia again," that title, and then we have "Croatia
- 3 and the anti-fascist movement during the war."
- 4 THE INTERPRETER: Could the speakers kindly slow down and not
- 5 overlap. It is impossible to translate.
- 6 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation]
- 7 Q. Very well, it is at page 0 -"Croatia on the side of anti-fascism" is 3D40
- 8 -0931 in English and the subtitle "Never again a Serb hegemony in Croatia".
- 9 A. They are not subtitles, they are headings of sections. Please
- 10 don't Mr. Kovacic. "Croatia on the side of anti-fascism during the
- 11 Second World War," that's what I'd like to speak about now.
- 12 Q. In English, it is 0931. That's the page. Go ahead, please.
- 13 A. He reminds us here --
- 14 JUDGE PRANDLER: Excuse me. I wonder if you have listened to the
- interpreters, Mr. Kovacic and Mr. Praljak. They cannot follow you.
- 16 Please, kindly slow down. Thank you.
- 17 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] I apologise. That was my mistake.

- I was trying to help out -- help the interpreters out by giving them the page numbers, so I -- but I apologise.
- 20 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Yes, I apologise to you too,
 21 Judge Prandler, and especially to the interpreters. Unfortunately, one's
 22 tongue and one's mind is linked; they are linked together. And even if I
 23 try to slow down my thoughts, unfortunately they tend to move rapidly,
- 25 Anyway, he's reminding his friends in France here, and those who

beyond my will, and that's where the problem comes.

Page 40051

- were not favorably disposed towards us for whatever reason, that the command of the resistance movement of Southern France was a Croat by the name of Ilic, who was given the rank of a French general and the highest French decoration, the Legion of Honour, and I think the Order of the War Cross as well. I think he was given that decoration too, and that the man afterwards became the husband of Zinka Kunc, who was a famous opera singer. She was an opera singer at the Metropolitan in New York.

 I've said all the rest of it about the constitutional decision and the right given to Croatia as an anti-fascist victor, et cetera.
- 10 I'd like to move on now to another title which says "We want a political solution," and that is to be found on --
- 12 MR. KOVACIC:

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 13 Q. In English, 3D40-0932 is where that part begins.
- 14 A. Here he says what the Serbs want and how they want to achieve
 15 that. He refers again to the Knina Corps in Knin. He also offers a

local self-government as something that they should get. He says that the military option is not what we want. And further on, he says that the normalisation of Croatian and Serb relationship is necessary for both Croatia and Serbia. And then he says that already some 20 years ago, at scientific meetings, he spoke -- he wrote that the time would come for the misfortunate Balkans to have reason prevail and that the Balkans will -- would become a new Scandinavia. This is something that Dr. Franjo Tudjman was dealing with before the war for some 20 years or so. Then he explains how the Finns, the Swedes, the Danes, and the Norwegians found a solution to their problem a long time before that.

Page 40052

He also speaks about the Second World War and what a misfortunate event that was when the partisans waged a war against the Ustasha and the home guard, and the Catholics waged the war against the Orthodox, and that one should learn their lesson from history in order to avoid such happenings in the future. And further on, he speaks at great length how this would be in the best interests of Europe, how the Czechs and Slovaks managed to separate peacefully, as well as they did in the Soviet Union. I was following his political and scientific work, and I've always seen the same political theses. Now there is no Zagreb-Belgrade agreement of any sort, if you please.

- Q. Now we're moving to 0933 in English e-court version.
- 12 A. He again says that both -- that the war in Bosnia-Herzegovina has
 13 come to an end through a political solution that will be satisfactory to

all the three peoples there, because only that can be the foundation for a long-lasting and stable peace. He knew, as a historian, that all the former solutions for Bosnia-Herzegovina, starting with the Berlin Congress and others, were imposed on Bosnia and Herzegovina and always ended in a disaster.

He goes on to provide a historical perspective and explain why things should be done the way they should be done, what happened throughout history if the political solutions were not good.

And then again he speaks about Bosnia and Herzegovina and what happened there. He calls it a conflict, and he says that among the Muslims, as we all know, there appeared a thesis which they presented that they were not ready for the Serbs and that they, however, were ready for the Croats.

Page 40053

1 He says that this is perilous, that this is tantamount to suicide.

2 He also says that we have recognised Bosnia-Herzegovina. He 3 makes a reference and speaks at great length about refugees.

He says that the Croatian population in Central Bosnia came under attack, that all Muslim politicians, soldiers, and all of them together, as we all know only too well and will be able to see from documents, are walking freely across Croatia as if they were in their own country, or even freer than in their own country. However, some of them provided statements to the press calling for the overthrow of the democratic government in Croatia. Finally, he concludes that it prompts one to ask oneself which God and Allah it was where they found such ideas and don't

- 12 they see where it is taking them.
- And again he says that the interests of the three peoples should
- 14 be taken into account, a good solution. Always the same, more of the
- same, and always the same, and more of it.
- 16 Q. Very well. Now we can move on to 3D02 -- I apologise.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] One moment. Mr. Praljak, let
- 18 us deal with this small topic which is, however, very important, the
- issue of the number of refugees.
- 20 Mr. Tudjman says that there were half a million refugees that
- 21 moved over to Croatia, 400.000 from Bosnia and Herzegovina. He says
- 22 400.000. That is a major number of people, and apparently he says that
- 23 272.000 people were Muslims.
- I'm trying to find some logic wherever I am. I try to understand.
- 25 If the Republic of Croatia was in a state of mind that was

- 1 connected with the Herceg-Bosna leaders, with a view to carrying out
- 2 ethnic cleansing, why would it have harboured and welcomed 272.000
- 3 Muslims, whilst it could have been told to the Muslims that they were to
- 4 go to areas that were controlled by the ABiH? Do you have an explanation
- $\,$ for this, Mr. Praljak? How is it that 272.000 Muslims moved -- flowed to
- 6 the Republic of Croatia? Why were they not directed to ABiH-controlled
- 7 areas, rather than to the Republic of Croatia? Is there an explanation
- 8 for this?
- 9 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Yes, there is, Your Honour.

First of all, the number that is mentioned by Dr. Tudjman is probably just the current situation. There were a lot more refugees from Bosnia and Herzegovina, and displaced persons, who either stayed in Croatia for a longer time or passed through it. First they would be received in Croatia, and then they would move on to some third countries that would receive them.

Next, we saw the figure, and we heard it from Dr. Rebic, that the number was higher.

Second of all, 70 percent of the territory of Bosnia and Herzegovina, or maybe somewhat less, maybe 68 percent of the territory of Bosnia-Herzegovina, the Serbs occupied in Bosnia and Herzegovina. The number of refugees from those territories was over a million, and some of the refugees stayed, and that constitutes the impaired ethnic balance or dis-balance. Some part of these people found accommodation in the territory under the control of the BH Army, and the HVO Mostar was swamped, Uskoplje was swamped, Central Bosnia was swamped with them.

Page 40055

However, Your Honours, you can't -- the first excavators that were sent to amend the forest-path that led towards Rama, the well-known road of salvation, Mr. Stojic and I worked in 1992 and managed to convince some private persons who had excavators to give us the excavators and try and make a proper path, because before the vehicles would end up in the ditch. How could you not let people go? People just kept on coming and coming, and people kept on coming. You can't return those people and

tell them, You can't go through. It never occurred to us to do that. We let through hundreds of thousands of people. The first station was in Posusje, where they would be fed and spend the night, and then they would be sent on their way. Transportation had to be arranged. Those vast amounts of people required resources and efforts that we just could not invest because we simply did not have the resources.

For example, we would talk to three private owners of excavators who would then agree to do that, and then a British battalion came. And for their own reasons, they continued improving the road. There were wounded. There was no way one could send people back. You just could not leave them in the forest or on the road. When you have 15.000 people arriving in Rama, what can you do? It never occurred to us to send them back.

These people were en route to Croatia, and Croatia went beyond all of their resources, filled up all the hotels along the Adriatic coast, every single house that could be used, and in doing that they had to make sure that these people should not be within the shelling range.

For example, Sisak, Varazdin, Demistura, everything was full. It

Page 40056

was bursting at the seams. There were Croats, from Bosnia, Muslims.

Nobody was turned down, nobody was turned down ever, neither wounded nor

a refugee. It never occurred to us to dwell upon what to do with them,

where to send them. There was no ethnic cleanses. There was not even a

remote thought to that effect. Those were people who were fleeing to

- 6 save their lives, to save their hides, and they were received, they were
- 7 accepted. And we worked day and night, as it were, under the conditions
- 8 that prevailed at the time. And I believe that everybody knows only too
- 9 well what the conditions were at the time, financial and all the others.
- 10 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Kovacic.
- 11 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] Thank you, Your Honours.
- 12 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] I apologise. I'm sure that you
- 13 know the part where I led one of the operations, which was transferring
- people across the Neretva who had been expelled from Stolac, 15.000
- people and 3.000 cars. I'll go back to that situation.
- We used a raft, a simple makeshift raft, to transport people and
- 17 cars, some heavy lorries as well that we had managed to load, and they
- 18 were all accommodated in Medjugorje, Citluk. Some were transferred on to
- 19 Croatia, in the houses there.
- 20 And now what was insulting at the time and still is insulting
- 21 today, some of them who were not accommodated the first night. It was
- 22 summer; they would remained outdoors; they would be given blankets, and
- then a European Monitor would come by and he would tell us, Look what
- 24 you're doing. It was insulting then, and it is still insulting. If the
- 25 conditions were not the way he thought they should be, as he had just

- 1 arrived from Munich or Paris, or God knows where from, this was
- 2 belittling the efforts that had been invested in all that.
- 3 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation]

- Q. General, could you please look at 3D02004. This is an article
- 5 entitled "Where there's no police, there's no life." This is an
- 6 interview with the then minister of the interior of Croatia. I believe
- 7 that you wanted to single out just one thing.
- 8 A. Yes, "A border without a barbed wire."
- 9 Q. This is on Croatian e-court page 3D31-0091, and in English 0004
- 10 and on to 0005.
- 11 A. Just the first sentence here. He is asked, What state borders
- 12 are you controlling? Answer, and he says, The state borders we are fully
- 13 controlling today are the state borders with Hungary, Slovenia,
- 14 Montenegro, and Italy on the sea, and one part of the state border with
- 15 Bosnia and Herzegovina.
- 16 Thus, the minister of the interior controls the state borders.
- 17 And as for Bosnia and Herzegovina, he could not control the entire border
- because there were Serbs here [indicates], and he cannot control the
- 19 narrow part in the west, because this is the free part of Bosnia and
- 20 Herzegovina. He cannot control this part here [indicates] because the
- 21 Serb forces are across the border. And that would be all about that.
- 22 Q. Thank you very much.
- 23 A. Well, it's obvious that he's speaking about other states; Italy,
- Hungary, Slovenia, Bosnia-Herzegovina. Bosnia-Herzegovina is a different
- 25 state.

1

Page 40058

Q. 3D02005 is what we are skipping, I believe.

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. 3D02006?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. We are skipping?
- 6 A. No, not the last one.
- 7 Q. Just one article there. 006, that's "Hrvatski Vojnik" of the
- 8 10th of September, 1993, and here at page 4 -- or, rather, that's
- 9 3D31-0110. There is an article about a session of the National Security
- 10 Council. It's called "For Herceg-Bosna in a united BiH." That's
- 3D40-0007, spilling over 0008 in the English version in e-court.
- 12 Please go ahead, General. What did you want to say about it?
- 13 A. Well, again, a clear confirmation of the truth in this whole
- 14 story, and that is that on that date the National Defence and
- 15 Security Council in Croatia reiterates that as far as Croatia is
- 16 concerned, that Bosnia and Herzegovina is an internationally-recognised
- 17 member of the United Nations and that the proposal of the international
- 18 community about the internal organisation of BiH as a union of three
- 19 republics is accepted, and that Bosnia and Herzegovina -- that the war
- 20 should be stopped, and that to the surprise of all international factors,
- 21 Izetbegovic first agreed to it and then refused to sign it; that Croatia
- 22 advocates Bosnia and Herzegovina as an internationally-recognised member
- of the United Nations; that it accepts the plan that was tabled; that it
- favours full compliance with the international law. And that's all I
- 25 have to say. That's the end -- that's the end of it.

Q. We will now skip 3D00208. 1 2 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] One moment, General Praljak. Look at the photograph in this document, please. Is this the 3 4 room in which the people who were received at the presidential palace were meeting? We'll soon come to the transcripts. You attended some of 5 the meeting. Is this the room, the meeting room, the usual meeting room? 6 7 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Yes, yes. That was the room where 8 meetings were usually held. 9 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. Second question. We know that everything that was said was being recorded. Where were the 10 11 microphones? Maybe you don't know. 12 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] On the table, that's where the microphones were. Sorry? Well, I don't see it here, but I can see the 13 14 little markers indicating who is to sit where, and it says here that a 15 part of the session of the council, when Geneva Conference was discussed, 16 was attended by Mate Boban, as a guest, and you can see he is third person on the right. There is Bobetko, Susak, Boban, with 17 18 President Tudjman sitting at the head of the table, and to his right-hand 19 side is Mesic. I said initially to the left, it's on the picture, but in 20 real -- in actual fact, he was seated to his right. Then there's Seks, 21 Mate Granic. And the picture is not all that clear, so I can't tell you 22 who the others were. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] A technical question. The 23

National Security and Defence Council, when it came to meetings, was this

24

- 1 you had the inner circle around the president of the republic? Was this
- 2 the type of meetings that would gather the key actors, the key players,
- 3 the closest people to the president?
- 4 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, there was a decision on who
- 5 comprised the National Defence and Security Council, and this decision
- 6 clearly stipulates that. But one could say that this was the part of the
- 7 military, police, the parliament, the speaker of the parliament. So key
- 8 figures in all state institutions; the military, the parliament, the
- 9 government, and the police, and the foreign affairs.
- 10 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] When there were such meetings,
- 11 would everybody agree or were there different opinions that might lead to
- 12 the fact that what was said was being controlled?
- 13 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] No, Your Honour. The meetings were
- 14 very open in nature. There would be a topic on the agenda, and there was
- open debate about all those things. Whoever wanted to take the floor was
- 16 free to do so and to present their opinions. Of course, there would be
- 17 various questions, hypotheticals, If we do this or that, then what would
- happen? So it would be a typical debate of an analysis of the situation.
- 19 So people did not go there to present their opinions. Often, people
- 20 would say, Okay, if you say this, let me say the contrary thing to see
- 21 whether your thesis holds water.
- 22 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] It was the 10th of September,

1993. This document shows that a decision or an opinion is adopted by the people attending the meeting. It said that the Republic of Croatia continued to support the unity of Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Page 40061

1 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Precisely.

23

24

25

20

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] When this was said, could it be 2 3 understood in two ways, a dual language, as it were? 4 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] No, Your Honour, but whom were you to present this double language? The UN recognised Bosnia and 5 Herzegovina. There were ambassadors. Please believe me when I say this 6 7 with utter sincerity. I simply cannot understand this argument, what this would mean. We say one thing, and then we'll do another. We are 8 suppose to butt heads with the United Nations. Croatia recognised Bosnia 9 10 and Herzegovina, sent its ambassador there, helped the refugees, helped arm the people, so I really can't understand. How could we do this in 11 12 such an underhanded manner and take away parts of the country? So I'm 13 asking this, okay, let's assume that we were lying, but please, can 14 somebody tell me, what were we supposed to do in secret? Well, my brain 15 simply ceases to operate there. I simply am not smart enough to understand this argument put forward by the Prosecution. I'm too stupid 16 17 to understand it. I know the truth, because I was part of the whole 18 chain of events right from the beginning, but I simply do not understand a single thing. And I'm saying this with utmost sincerity. There was 19

nothing of the sort in thought or action. And we'll see what actions

- 21 Croatia took to assist Bosnia and Herzegovina, Muslims, and the BH Army,
- 22 and -- well, I simply cannot understand it, Your Honours. That's as much
- 23 as I can tell you.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] One last question.
- 25 This document reaffirms the fact that the Republic of Croatia

- 1 also supports the Republic of Herceg-Bosna in the framework of the union
- of the three republics. It's written in black and white.
- 3 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] It is a proposal of the
- 4 international community, and the international community tabled this
- 5 proposal. This was not a proposal, as you will see from the documents
- 6 that I will show you later, that Alija Izetbegovic's adviser -- well,
- 7 we'll see those documents. Herceg-Bosna was a temporary, interim
- 8 solution set up by a group of municipalities for the purposes of the
- 9 defence. And as soon as the international community tabled its first
- 10 proposal, that very moment, and I think that Mr. Karnavas presented all
- 11 that, the moment they accepted the solution, everybody was ready to
- 12 renounce Herceg-Bosna.
- 13 So this headline here, I think it was the Vance-Stoltenberg --
- or, rather, the Owen-Stoltenberg Plan, they tabled the plan, and
- 15 Franjo Tudjman co-signed it on behalf of Croatia, and Boban immediately
- signed it on behalf of this Herceg-Bosna.
- 17 So let me share a joke with you. There was a saying about
- 18 Franjo Tudjman, Take away his pen, because he keeps signing everything,

- 19 whatever the international community puts before him. There was -- there
- was some graffiti, Take away his pen. So there's really no
- 21 behind-the-scenes games here. Well, he's not a fool. You have to read
- 22 everything that he said.
- 23 103 countries recognised Croatia, and the United Nations, so now
- he's supposed to go and do something without getting the approval of the
- USA and France. Well, I really can't understand it. It must be that I'm

- 1 stupid.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Kovacic.
- 3 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation]
- Q. General, let me rephrase the question. The remaining two issues
- 5 of "Hrvatski Vojnik," 3D224 and 228, do you perhaps want to comment on
- 6 it?
- 7 A. Well, that's not "Hrvatski Vojnik." We'll deal with that later.
- 8 It's a Muslim magazine.
- 9 Q. So we won't be going into those two issues?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] Your Honours, we will need --
- 12 perhaps we can deal with just one minor matter.
- 13 The day before yesterday, Your Honours, there was a discussion
- about the HV booklet and ID card, and General Praljak said that he had it
- in his possession, and he brought it with him. I suggested he should put
- 16 it on the ELMO, and we have photocopies for everyone. So I would kindly

- 17 ask the usher to assist me.
- 18 Q. General, could you please describe in your own words --
- 19 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] It seems that we have a
- 20 problem. We're running out of luck.
- 21 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, could perhaps you just show
- it to the Judges?
- 23 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] I suggest that you look at the
- 24 original --
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Kovacic, you can show this

- 1 another time, when it will be fixed.
- 2 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] Well, we can do that, but we just
- 3 wanted to show that it didn't slip our mind, this whole discussion, and
- 4 we wanted to show.
- 5 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] It's fixed.
- 6 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] Your Honours, you had to provide us
- 7 with the alternative before, and then this whole thing would start
- 8 functioning earlier.
- 9 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, this is what we had, and it
- $\,$ 10 $\,$ was introduced relatively late. This is the military ID card from the
- 11 HVO. And this one, these two are from the Croatian Army. And here, let
- me show you -- sorry. Here, this is when I was a colonel, and this is
- 13 when I became a major general [indicates], and you can see here it shows
- 14 you that it was impossible to establish things properly, because I had to

- sign, myself, as the author, as the official, because there was nobody

 else to sign it in Sunja, when a signature was required.
- 17 And here [indicates] this HVO ID card was issued on the 1st of
 18 May, 1992.
- 19 And here [indicates] it's the military ID card from the BH Army.
- You can see I received it, and it was signed by Mr. Arif Pasalic.
- 21 I think I got it when we went to Rama to try and calm the situation down.
- I think it was in late October 1992. His signature has faded, but you
- 23 can see the stamp. But if you look with the magnifying-glass, you can
- see the signature of Arif Pasalic, the commander of the 4th Corps of the
- 25 BH Army in Mostar. I can show it to the Prosecution. If they look from

- this angle, they will see Arif Pasalic's signature.
- 2 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] Your Honours, the BH Army military
- 3 ID card has an ID number, and we will be tendering it into evidence. We
- 4 sought for that in our motion for late admission on the 65 ter list. And
- 5 as regards those HV and HVO ID cards, we do not intend to tender them
- 6 because it is a notorious fact that he was a member both of the HV and
- 7 the HVO. But since this was a question pertaining to a soldier who was
- $\,$ killed and who is mentioned in the Kordic/Cerkez judgement, so this man
- 9 could be in the possession of only this ID card, and it doesn't contain
- 10 any other details.
- 11 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Yes, that's for sure. These are
- 12 the only ID cards in existence, as -- and as you can see, I obviously

- fought, myself. It was a limited conflict between the HVO and
- 14 the BH Army, or rather this conflict was very fierce, but it was limited
- 15 to a short time-period and a small area, and in the end it died down.
- MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] I think this completes our
- 17 examination into this issue.
- JUDGE TRECHSEL: Almost, almost.
- 19 Mr. Kovacic, if I correctly recall and understood, we spoke not
- only of ID cards, but of a military booklet, and I just want to recall
- 21 this. It appears that this booklet is not available. I am not saying
- that this is an essential piece of evidence at all, but together with
- 23 this, it might have completed the display of the memorabilia of
- 24 Mr. Praljak as a military.
- 25 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honour Judge Trechsel, a

- 1 military booklet was introduced at a very late stage, after the first
- 2 group of people who had been drafted, who actually did their regular
- 3 national service, and this military booklet is never given to soldiers.
- 4 It is kept in the Secretariat of Defence, in its files. It's the same
- 5 thing with the work record booklet in Croatia. Nobody has it on them,
- 6 rather it is kept -- they -- the booklets are not given to soldiers -
- 7 the soldiers did not have them. They are
- 8 kept in the filing cabinets of the secretariats of defence, the relevant
- 9 secretariats of defence that were entitled to do draft people.
- JUDGE TRECHSEL: Thank you. Let me perhaps just explain my

11 question, because my booklet, my military booklet, I have it at home, and 12 all my services are listed and promotions and so on. That's why I thought it might be the same, and, of course, one always learns. Thank you. 13 14 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, yourself, did you have a military booklet? Was a military booklet made for you, kept 15 at the Secretariat of Defence? 16 17 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honour Judge Antonetti, my 18 military booklet -- I received a military booklet two days before I left the Croatian Army in 1995, when I was going to report to the Secretariat 19 in Tresnjevka municipality which is where I was in Zagreb, then they 20 wrote out this booklet; they wrote in the entries, and said I was unfit 21 22 for the army. Because from my JNA files they copied out what it said, 23 then there was a commission decision proclaiming me unfit for military service in the JNA. Well, it made me laugh. I didn't mind what it said. 24

Page 40067

Anyway, the booklet remained there, and that's where it is to this day.

25

1 And until the age of $65\ \mathrm{I}$ am considered as being of military 2 age, I could still be called up, but two days before I left the 3 Croatian Army is when the booklet was made and issued. When I went to report there, that's when I saw it. 4 5 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] But where is this booklet? THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] In the Secretariat for National 6 Defence of Tresnjevka municipality in Zagreb. Tresnjevka is a part of 7 8 Zagreb; it's a municipality, one of the communes.

- 9 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I'm asking this question,
- 10 because I would like to be sure that in this military booklet, there is
- only mention of unfit for military service. I would like to know,
- 12 however, whether there aren't any other mentions of your various
- 13 postings.
- 14 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] The duties are certainly not
- 15 stipulated in that booklet, no assignments, because I leafed through it,
- 16 and I changed 15 or 17 duties during that period of time, not only in the
- army but other duties, and nobody knew about them to be able to record
- them and enter them. And I don't think anybody is interested in doing
- 19 that today, either.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well.
- MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] Your Honour, I don't wish to
- testify, but the general mentioned just one of the Zagreb municipalities.
- I come from a completely different part, and my booklet doesn't exist. I
- 24 went to fetch it, and it's disappeared, because the former Secretariat of
- 25 the SFRY probably didn't do their job properly and it got lost somewhere.

- 1 But I don't think that's important anymore.
- 2 My colleague, Ms. Nika Pinter, would like to carry on with the
- 3 next chapter. We just need two minutes to confer. I don't think we need
- 4 have a break. Thank you.
- 5 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] Good morning, Your Honours, and
- 6 thank you.

- 7 Examination by Ms. Pinter:
- 8 Q. [Interpretation] Once again, good morning to you, General.
- 9 A. Good morning.
- 10 Q. As far as the examination-in-chief is concerned, I think we
- should complete the topic of the presence of the Croatian Army in
- Bosnia-Herzegovina that we were discussing. So I'd like to ask you to
- open document P00153, please. It's a document which is in an exhibit
- 14 already, and I'd like to ask you first --
- JUDGE TRECHSEL: Please, Ms. Pinter, you see behind us that we
- have a mountain of folders. Could you assist us in getting the right
- one, please. I see now that ours looks the same as yours. Thank you.
- 18 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] Yes, it's the same, and I will give
- 19 notice in advance in the future.
- JUDGE TRECHSEL: Thank you very much.
- MS. PINTER: [Interpretation]
- Q. General, I wanted to ask you --
- THE INTERPRETER: Could Ms. Pinter adjust her microphone, please,
- 24 and speak into it.
- MS. PINTER: [Interpretation]

- 1 Q. This is a document issued by Petar Stipetic, and it is
- 2 instructions to a major, Mustafa Porobic, and other officers, P00153.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Go ahead.

What is the truth is -- well, the deputy chief of the Main Staff of the Croatian Army, Major General Petar Stipetic, says that at the request of the chief inspector of defence and general -- the highest-ranking general, should resolve sending part of the officers and soldiers of the Croatian Army from the operative zone of Rijeka, mainly Croats and Muslims, ready to go as volunteers to BiH to help the struggle of the people of Bosnia-Herzegovina, and that this had been accepted by the top military -- top-ranking military authorities. And this meant 300 to 400 soldiers and officers. And I know for sure that there were more than 300 Muslims and that they were led by Major Mustafa Porobic, and that they were going with full military equipment, weaponry and ammunition, and that what we repeated here 100 times is that they would retain their rights of BH soldiers -- the rights of HV soldiers and their monthly salaries. And the important thing is that they cannot wear HV insignia on their uniforms, nor can they hold other documents used by members of the HV, the Croatian Army, because that was prohibited. Now, of course, whether orders are carried out, you have a human being in between the orders that are to be carried out, so there are people who retain their insignia, did not take their HV insignia off, and then somebody sees them there, and then they say, Here we have a member

Page 40070

of the Croatian Army fighting in Bosnia-Herzegovina. That wasn't true.

1 It was exactly as it is set out here.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

Q. So they retained all the rights that soldiers of the HV had; is

- 3 that right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Now look at 3D00 --
- 6 JUDGE TRECHSEL: Excuse me. Mr. Praljak, you also made a
- 7 normative statement. You said that wearing the insignia of HV would have
- 8 been illegal. Can you explain why you say this?
- 9 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] No, I didn't say "illegal." That's
- 10 a wrong interpretation. The order -- a lack of respect for the order.
- 11 He said that the order was clear -- I said that the order was clear, and
- 12 to respect an a order, in well-regulated armies and not national
- 13 uprisings, because this was a popular uprising than anything else at that
- 14 time, and of course some people wouldn't obey orders. And if they didn't
- obey orders, that's what happened.
- 16 JUDGE TRECHSEL: Thank you. You do not write this in every order
- 17 that you give, of course. Nevertheless, you say it is prohibited. Why
- 18 was it prohibited?
- 19 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, because when they went over
- 20 there, they weren't the Croatian Army; they were volunteers going to
- 21 defend their homes. We didn't want the Croatian Army to go there, but we
- 22 couldn't prevent individuals from going to help out their families if
- their parents were being killed over there. So who's going to listen to
- those orders? I would be the first not to listen to them, and I didn't
- 25 listen to them.

- So you can't say, Judge Trechsel, Let them kill you over there
- 2 because we have rules and regulations. If those are the rules and
- 3 regulations, then I, for one, violate them. But Croatia said --
- 4 Croatia's stand was, You're not going there as Croatian soldiers, as
- 5 members of the HV. You can go over there of your own free will, you can
- 6 stand up to the enemy, your salary will be retained, and that's as clear
- 7 as day.
- 8 JUDGE TRECHSEL: Mr. Praljak, this you have told us many times.
- 9 I do not say things. I ask questions. There's a big difference. And I
- 10 asked the question because there could be another interpretation; namely,
- 11 that Croatia did not want it to be known by people from other sides that
- 12 they were sending their troops. You are saying, I suppose, and it may be
- something of a legal question, you are saying that this is completely
- 14 wrong. There is no question of any wish of Croatia to hide the fact that
- 15 soldiers, under the command of a major, whose name is given in the paper,
- 16 are sent into Bosnia and Herzegovina.
- 17 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Correct, Judge Trechsel. Just
- assume that you came to defend Croatia from Switzerland, for example, and
- 19 then somebody happened to attack Switzerland, and then somebody says to
- 20 you, You can't go to Switzerland. It was an attack by the Serbs against
- 21 the non-Serbs. And I say that we never stated publicly that Croatia
- 22 wanted to help the Muslims and Croa -- the defence of
- Bosnia-Herzegovina, but that didn't mean that we were sending our army,
- 24 but that we were allowing volunteers to go and defend their homes and
- 25 thresholds. And I always get a bit upset when this topic is raised, you

1 see. 2 JUDGE TRECHSEL: I know it. Thank you. The comparison with 3 Switzerland, I'm afraid, is not really very befitting for many reasons. THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Ha, you could have come, you might 4 5 have come. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, in this document there is a word, "volunteers." So as far as you know, were 7 8 there Croats or Muslims in the Croatian Army who said, No, I have no 9 intention and no desire to go to Bosnia-Herzegovina? Let's look at things military-wise, and, of course, I'm talking 10 to a specialist here. Did things happen as follows: Say we have a given 11 unit in the morning, when all the troops are collected and brought 12 13 together. The colonel in charge of the unit says -- makes an announcement, says, We need 300 volunteers. Let's step forward, 14 15 everyone. And the group steps forward, and the colonel says, Well, now I 16 have got my 300 volunteers. Did it happen that way, or did the colonel 17 tell the troops, You know what's happening in Bosnia-Herzegovina; we need volunteers; if you want to volunteer, raise your hand? So how did things 18 19 happen? 20 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] None of the two methods described by you. It was done in a third way, and that is that people on 21 television or in the papers saw what was going on, and then they 22

discussed it amongst themselves, for example. They would say, I'm from

23

Doboj, I'm from Tuzla, I'm from some other place. And then they probably

24

25

21

had their families there, their brother, their sister, their houses, and

Page 40073

1 they would gather together and go to see their commander, and they said to him, Listen, this is the situation: I'd like to go and fight down 2 3 there because I'm coming under threat. And then the person would give 4 his permission. He would say, Okay, that's the position that's been 5 adopted. You are discharged, although we'll keep a record of you as if you're still here. You'll be receiving your salary, and you can go down 6 7 there. But you mustn't carry any HV insignia or HV IDs because you're 8 going to a different country, to another state. And that's how I fled, that's how I went, in that same way. Who's going to stop me? 9 JUDGE TRECHSEL: Still on the same issue: These people were 10 clearly put under a command here. How did they travel to 11 Bosnia-Herzegovina, together under the command or individually? And if 12 13 individually, how would they know where to go? 14 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] A broad spectrum there, 15 Your Honours. This group around Porobic probably went in that way, in 16 the group as it was, and then there were individual cases too. 17 But, unfortunately, I didn't finish my answer. There were far 18 more of those people who were from Bosnia-Herzegovina and didn't want to 19 go down there and fight, and I was sorry to see that. They stayed on in Croatia and let whatever happen down there happen. So of the 13.000 who 20

were from Bosnia-Herzegovina in the Croatia Army, well, in the HVO I knew

them all. If there was 600 -- I don't think there was 600. I can cut
off my head if there were more than 600. Sometimes there would be
individual groups. A group would arrive, and they weren't as they should
be. There was a group called Jelen or "deer" in translation, and when I

- 1 saw what they looked like and when I checked them out, I sent them
- 2 packing. I told the military police to deal with them. I called their
- 3 commander and I said, Listen here, mate, unless you leave this territory
- 4 within the space of 12 hours, you'll end up in prison. And the military
- 5 police gave instructions to deal with them.
- 6 And there were people, of course, who came as volunteers, who
- 7 were not in the Croatian Army. I mean, really, there was the chaos, on
- 8 the one side, and then there were guide-lines and instructions that we
- 9 gave, on the other.
- Now, when you have a situation like that, and men will be men,
- 11 then various things happened. And if they were seen by observers, this
- would be wrongly interpreted.
- 13 So they would go as this Porobic team went with him, and that was
- one of the groups.
- JUDGE TRECHSEL: And, Mr. Praljak, would you then consider those,
- 16 that you threatened with arrest if they did not go, also as volunteers?
- 17 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] They were volunteers, but they
- 18 were -- well, our services weren't such that I could check them out
- 19 fully, but I didn't like the look of them, and I had my suspicions.

- 20 Their conduct and behaviour was unacceptable. They sort of bragged
 21 and -- anyway, it was my decision, on the basis of my assessments, that a
 22 group like that couldn't be allowed to remain in the territory.
 - You know, in conditions where you have limited access to information and you have three minutes or half a minute to make your decision, and you do make a decision, I made my decision, and I stand by

- 1 that decision and those decisions, and I stand by them today. And I sent
- 2 this lot packing, because I didn't think that they had come with
- 3 honourable intentions. It was my own personal decision, and I stand by
- 4 it today.

23

24

25

- 5 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General, you mentioned 13.000
- 6 volunteers. This document shows that among the volunteers, there were
- 7 Muslims. Out of the 13.000, approximately, sir, what would be the
- 8 percentage of Muslims and of Croats, or possibly of Serbs? I don't know.
- 9 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] I don't know whether there were any
- 10 Serbs from Bosnia-Herzegovina. But in the Croatian Army there were a lot
- of Serbs in Sunja, where I was. There were 20 or so Serbs. The theatre
- of war there was very small, you know. I don't know how many Muslims and
- 13 how many Croats there were, but I know that recently a list was compiled
- 14 by the Muslim community in Croatia. They also established a memorial
- cemetery probably close to the mosque in Zagreb, and I believe that you
- 16 could learn from them how many Muslims there were. But I'm sure that
- 17 there was many more Croats than Muslims. I'm sure of that.

18	I apologise, but there were also a lot of Muslims in the Croatian
19	Army. The number was very high. I don't know how many there were.
20	However, Your Honour please, please, Your Honour, please,
21	Muslims who were in the Croatian Army from Bosnia-Herzegovina are one
22	thing, and the other thing are Muslims who had resided and were citizens
23	of the Republic of Croatia [Realtime transcript read in error
24	"Bosnia-Herzegovina"] we're talking about two separate groups here.
25	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Let's speak of the Muslims

1 in --

12

13

14

15

MS. ALABURIC: [Interpretation] Your Honours, Your Honours, I 3 apologise for interrupting. I believe that we should correct a mistake in the transcript. On page 66, line 2, the second group of Muslims 4 5 refers to the Muslims who reside and are citizens of the Republic of Croatia and not of Bosnia-Herzegovina. So the first group are Muslims 6 from Bosnia-Herzegovina, and the second group are Muslims who are 8 citizens of the Republic of Croatia. This is what General Praljak said, 9 and it seemed very important to me. 10 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] That's how I'd understood this. 11 It's important, indeed, but I had understood.

Let's speak of the Muslims in the group of Mustafa Porobic. They left in April 1992 or May 1992, they left for Bosnia and Herzegovina. As far as you know, among those volunteers, these are HV volunteers, there were Muslims. Were there among them people who joined later on, who

- later joined the ABiH?
- 17 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Of course, I'm sure of that.
- 18 Immediately upon arriving there, they joined the Army of
- Bosnia-Herzegovina. They had to join an army. Some joined the HVO, and
- the others joined the BiH Army, of course.
- 21 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Please give short answers,
- 22 because then I can follow up with very important topics, because you've
- just said something that is relevant.
- 24 When the volunteers would leave for Bosnia and Herzegovina, would
- 25 they be forced to join the HVO units, or were they free to join an HVO

- 1 unit or an ABiH unit? Did they do whatever pleased them, or were they
- 2 told, You've got to remove the HV insignia, but you have to join an HVO
- 3 unit?
- 4 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] No, Your Honour. When they arrived
- 5 in Bosnia-Herzegovina, they could choose whether they were willing to
- join the BiH Army or the HVO. The group in question, as far as I know,
- 7 and I know it very well, joined the BiH Army.
- 8 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Sir, this group that we have
- 9 here, that one joined the ABiH, and what did the Croats do who were with
- 10 them? Did they also join the ABiH?
- 11 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] I don't know, Your Honour. I don't
- 12 know what happened. I believe -- I know that there were over 300 Muslims
- in this group and that they went to Central Bosnia and joined the BiH

Army there somewhere. I don't know whether all the Croats joined somebody else or whether they were scattered all over the place. I believe that not only -- not even the whole group of Muslims joined one unit of the BiH Army. They actually went to their own villages and joined the army there. They went in the direction of the villages from which they hailed. They did not go there as a unit which placed itself at the disposal of the BiH Army. They scattered all over the territory under the BiH Army and the HVO, depending on their place of birth and depending on what they believed should be defended by them.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] At the level of the command, high-ranking military authorities, as is, by the way, shown by the document, because it says that the departure was accepted by high-ranking

Page 40078

military authorities or top-ranking military authorities, had it been envisioned that the soldiers - these are Muslim soldiers - would go to the ABiH and might possibly return their -- or turn their weapons against the HVO Croats? Was that sort of situation envisioned, or was everybody innocent, candid, and they failed to assess the possible consequences of the action?

THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] At that moment on that date,

Stipetic and Spegelj could not think that the BiH Army would conflict

with the HVO. There was no way for them to think that. I was one of the

rare people who knew about the different interpretations of the internal

organisation of Bosnia and Herzegovina which existed on the part of

12 Alija Izetbegovic, on the one hand, and the Croats, on the other hand, 13 and that this could lead to a conflict. I knew it very early on. I knew 14 that while we were defending ourselves from the Serbs, we would be united. But when that was no longer in place, due to the different 15 16 political positions with regard to the organisation of 17 Bosnia-Herzegovina, there would be political conflicts. But the people 18 that you mentioned certainly didn't know that. They thought that the 19 Serbs were the enemy, the two other peoples were defending themselves, and they could not envisage any problems in the future. 20

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you.

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

MS. TOMASEGOVIC TOMIC: [Interpretation] Your Honour, I apologise.

I would just like to say that on page 64 - maybe my learned friend Pinter could take Mr. Praljak to that - on lines between 6 and 9 there is something that is not clear. When he was talking about the unit called

- Jeleni, I thought that he had called the commander of the Jeleni group and told him that they had 12 hours to leave the territory, but it is not clear whom he called, whether he called the commander of the police or the commander of the Jeleni group. That's one thing.
- And the second thing is that the military police had been given instruction to deal with Jeleni, whereas it was recorded that the military police provided instructions for somebody to deal with the Jeleni.
- 9 I believe that these are major errors in the transcripts which

- 10 can be clarified in two sentences.
- 11 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation]
- 12 Q. General, you heard that?
- 13 A. Yes, I spoke to the commander of the Jeleni unit and told him
- 14 that he had to leave. I asked -- I demanded that
- 15 Valentin Coric, the chief of the military police, to remove the unit
- 16 Jeleni from the territory of Bosnia and Herzegovina, and that was done.
- 17 Q. General, following up on Judge Antonetti's question --
- 18 MR. STRINGER: I apologise for the interruption.
- This last statement by the general about his communication with
- 20 Mr. Coric, could we get the time-frame in which that occurred?
- 21 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] In 1993, August or September,
- thereabouts. There is a document to that effect.
- MS. PINTER: [Interpretation]
- Q. General, we are still talking about P00153. In the first
- 25 paragraph of the document dated April 9, 1992, it says why the volunteers

- 1 could be sent to Bosnia-Herzegovina, the purpose of their sending.
- 2 A. Yes, to help the peoples of Bosnia and Herzegovina in their
- 3 fight.
- 4 Q. Thank you very much. And now let's move on to document 3D00443.
- 5 The date is 8 April, one day prior to the previous document. This
- 6 document was issued by General Major Petar Stipetic. It was sent to the
- 7 Operation Zone Split. The document speaks about the position of the

- 8 Croatian Army, vis-a-vis the departure of the Croatian Army outside the
- 9 territory of the Republic of Croatia.
- 10 A. This is just repetition.
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 A. This is a repetition of what was said previously, that they can't
- 13 bear the insignia. An attack had already been underway in Bosnia and
- 14 Herzegovina. And why is there always a reference here to Rijeka and
- 15 Split? There were a lot of people there from Bosnia-Herzegovina who
- 16 worked in ship-building yards, who were welders and so on and so forth.
- And when the war started, they joined the Croatian Army. And at that
- 18 moment somebody was destroying their homes, and they wanted to go there
- 19 and fight the Croatian political and military authorities, because this
- 20 is not happening without the political decision. Stipetic or I could not
- 21 do it without ever having consulted with Minister Susak and
- 22 Franjo Tudjman, of course.
- 23 Q. All sorts of assistance is approved by this document.
- 24 A. Of course.
- MS. PINTER: With this document and the previous one, I would

- 1 like to draw the Trial Chamber's attention to Exhibit 3D0029. I'm sure
- 2 you will remember the document. This is the Republic of Bosnia and
- 3 Herzegovina or the office of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina,
- 4 rather, in the Republic of Croatia, which sent this to the Ministry of
- 5 Defence of the Republic of Croatia --

10

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7	MS	PINTER.	[Interpretation]	Т	did sav	+ha	number	T+	is	ЗD	
/	MO.	LINIUK.	IIILEIPIELALIOII		ulu Say	LIIE	number.	エし	TS	עכ	

8 JUDGE PRANDLER: I'm sorry, Madam Pinter, to interrupt you, but I

9 would like to ask a question about the previous document, which was

document 3D00443. You submitted it before. My question is the

following, and, of course, I asked you the question from Mr. Praljak.

The very first sentence of this message, or rather, kind of comment, in

my view, it says, and I quote:

"The formation systems of the Croatian Army are not valid outside
of the territory of the Republic of Croatia."

So I believe that it is an important sentence, because the formation systems of the army, meaning that the units, how they were organised, et cetera, are not valid outside, of course, Croatia, but why should it be included, I mean this comment, why should it be included in that very message or comment by the commanding officer, the Petar Stipetic, if those units were anyway volunteers who went to Bosnia-Herzegovina to help? But in that very sentence, I feel that that was a kind of very determined comment that they should not look like the regular units of the Croatian Army.

So my question is if that particular sentence, and the whole

Page 40082

text, as a matter of fact -- because, for example, the third sentence

speaks about the following: That the men cannot carry the HV insignias

or any of the documents which we already talked about before. So I would

like to seek for further clarification on this very matter. Thank you.

4

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

5 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Thank you, Your Honour Judge Prandler. This is really very important, the formation or the 6 7 establishment system of a unit, a company, a battalion. And here 8 General Stipetic says that you can't send an establishment system. You 9 accept the volunteers, you give them what you will, and then they should 10 go there and they should establish their units within the HVO and the 11 BH Army. Namely, let me explain, there were occurrences, especially in Posavina that appeared as a problem, that a lot of people were in the 12 Croatian Army look here from the defence, because they were from 13 villages, they had salaries, and so on and so forth. And those same 14 15 people, and especially those around Slavonski Brod, most of them in the 16 unit, for example, were from Bosnia and Herzegovina, and maybe even their commander was from Bosnia and Herzegovina. And then what happened, which 17 18 we're going to see in the following document, what happened was that the

You will see later on that there is a suit raised, and there is a warning here, you can't send a single establishment unit of the Croatian Army; you can send volunteers who will then join the military forces of

commander would say, I order my men to go to Bosnia and Herzegovina. Of

30 percent were their comrades, and he therefore asked for the whole unit

course, maybe 70 or 80 percent of the unit who hailed from there, and

to go there with or without insignia. This should not have been done.

Page 40083

Bosnia and Herzegovina, but you can't send the whole unit. In the

- 2 following text, I will explain that partially.
- 3 Ms. Nika, maybe you can move on to that.
- 4 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] One moment, General Praljak.
- 5 The question put by my fellow Judge is a relevant one. And you admitted
- 6 yourself that the sentence was important, so that's why I want to revisit
- 7 it.
- 8 It is said that the formation systems of the Croatian Army are
- 9 not valid outside of the territory of the Republic of Croatia. This is
- 10 the initial sentence in the text. Very well. In order to understand my
- 11 question, let us take the example of the NATO forces currently stationed
- in Afghanistan. If you have British units that are incorporated in the
- 13 NATO forces, then the NATO rules will apply. If you have French troops,
- 14 then the NATO rules will apply, in principle. But here, don't we have
- 15 the same principle? Is this not to recall the military in the
- 16 Croatian Army that from then on, because they are volunteers and they're
- 17 going to go somewhere else, the Croatian Army rules do not apply, but
- 18 probably other rules and operation that they have to comply with? Is
- 19 this, to confirm a principle, a principle with consequences; namely, that
- there is no more control? What do you think of this?
- 21 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] I know there is a provision in the
- Constitution, that was passed in a non-military spirit, that a Croatian
- 23 soldier, as a Croatian soldier, as part of the establishment of the
- 24 Croatian Army, must not engage in fighting outside of the borders of the
- 25 Republic of Croatia. It was a provision in the Constitution of the

- 1 Republic of Croatia, and Minister Susak, in his TV show, actually said
- 2 this in public.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 3 However, second thing, at the time and at no point later --
- 4 Izetbegovic, up until 1995, refused to sign a military agreement, because
- 5 NATO goes to places where they have agreements with the army -- with the
- 6 government. In Afghanistan, NATO has an agreement with the government
- 7 there, and then there are units of the Croatian, German, French Army, I
- 8 don't know -- okay, maybe not French, but English Army which are all
- 9 covered by that agreement, and this could not be done here.
 - Some commanders, for some reasons, because his house is on fire there and he wants to take his whole unit there, drafted orders to that effect. One of those was the major general, and then Franjo Tudjman demanded that this whole thing be investigated and that he be prosecuted. It was crystal clear, and you will see from the document where

 Mr. Bozo Budimir, a major general, is being indicted because he issued an order to a unit to move into the territory of Bosnia and Herzegovina. He was not allowed to do that. He could do one thing, go there, join the HVO or the BH Army, as we said, under two bases; first of all, because it is unconstitutional and, secondly, because there was no military agreement. The military agreement that existed later made it possible for the army to operate in the border areas. The Croatian Army was allowed, and that is why I found it strange that the Americans were

complaining and telling the HVO to move out of these areas, because in

accordance with the Tudjman-Izetbegovic agreement, in the border areas

- 1 the order came to pull them out, well, they were pulled out.
- 2 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] Let us wrap up this topic --
- JUDGE TRECHSEL: I'm sorry. This I consider, as my colleagues
- do, a central issue, really. I have two questions still with regard to
- 5 this document.
- 6 The first is: I note that the second sentence is:
- 7 "The departure of volunteers," and I stress, "organised in
- 8 adequate units, is granted."
- 9 So here, at least, we have not a source which would allow
- 10 individuals, on their own volition, to go into Bosnia and Herzegovina and
- 11 look around and see with whom they would like to fight. Is there
- something else, another order that provides for this, or legislation?
- 13 And I'll put the other question afterwards.
- 14 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honours, you always have to
- draw this distinction in our parts between principle and what could be
- implemented and the extent to which things were violated. Here,
- 17 General Stipetic talks about the gathering in the Imotski Sector and
- 18 engagement in accordance with the plan of the group.
- 19 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreters note, could the accused please
- speak into the microphone. The interpreters cannot hear him.
- 21 THE WITNESS: [No interpretation]
- THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters could not hear the accused

- 23 while he was not speaking into the microphone.
- 24 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] At that time in that area, and
- 25 partially in Posavina, one thing does not exclude the other. If groups

- 1 are formed, send them there in accordance with the operational plan.
- 2 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreters note, we really cannot hear the
- 3 speaker.
- 4 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] These are the plans that you saw
- 5 with General Tokic.
- THE INTERPRETER: Microphone please.
- 7 JUDGE TRECHSEL: You cannot be heard, either.
- 8 MR. STRINGER: You're hearing anyone say -- the interpreters say
- 9 that they're not able to hear the general when he's not speaking into the
- 10 microphone. Everything he's been saying at the map has not been recorded
- 11 because they're not hearing it.
- 12 MR. KARNAVAS: Maybe he will need to repeat it. Repeat again.
- MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] No, just a moment, General. Perhaps
- 14 it would be much simpler if we could put the map into e-court, and then
- the general won't have to turn around. He will be facing the microphone.
- So could we please have 3D03545.
- 17 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] While we're waiting for it,
- Judge Trechsel, I can tell you it's not either units or individuals, it's
- 19 both. So there were many more cases involving the individuals going
- 20 there, but right at the beginning --

JUDGE TRECHSEL: I'm sorry, Mr. Praljak, I'm quite aware. You
have said so before. But here we have a document, and the document only
supports one part of it. My question was: Is there any other document
or did not one take the law or the order very strictly? I don't think a
map is needed.

- 1 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, Judge Trechsel, law was 2 complied with most strictly. We tendered documents from Split, from the 3 Muslim organisation there, that sent hundreds of people into Croatia based on the same principle, and this has been explained in detail. This 4 5 document speaks only about one segment, and that is if there are volunteers, they would be mostly from -- well, I don't know, that they 6 7 should be deployed from Imotski to defend Livno and to carry out the 8 breakthrough, to stop the JNA and the Republika Srpska Army at the beginning of the war, in accordance with the maps and the intentions, as 9 10 indicated and as I showed with General Cokic.
- MS. PINTER: [Interpretation]
- 12 Q. Now we have the map here.
- A. Well, these are the axes of the attack, as anticipated, where

 Croatia must not send its troops for the defence. Well, Mostar is

 here [indicates] and Imotski [indicates] is there. So Imotski-Mostar.

 So they say, If you have a unit, send it to Imotski, and accordance with

 the plan --
- 18 Q. General, no, we can't see anything.

- 19 A. Well, then if you can't see it, there's nothing to be done about
- 20 it. But we don't really need the map. The map is not really necessary.
- 21 It just complicates matters.
- 22 At this point, Stipetic is saying that the volunteers from
- 23 Croatia -- well, he probably had somebody. He says, Well, it is expected
- that personnel would come from other units of the HV. So it's personnel,
- 25 not units. People. And then they have to be organised. And in this

- 1 part -- the first part, where the defence had to be set up from Livno and
- 2 that area, they had to be sent there to assist in the defence against
- 3 this first assault. It is quite clear the Croatian Army is not allowed
- 4 to go --
- 5 JUDGE TRECHSEL: Thank you. I have a second question, and it
- 6 refers to the last two words, "they are to be engaged according to the
- 7 plan of the operative group." Could you explain to the Chamber what it
- 8 has to understand? What is this operative group that decides on where
- 9 these volunteers are engaged? It obviously shows that they do not go
- 10 where they think it would be nice, or fit, or where their mother lives,
- or something like that, but they are in the hands of an operative group
- 12 which tells them where to go. That's what the text -- that's how I read
- 13 this text. Maybe I'm wrong.
- 14 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] You are wrong, Your Honour.
- 15 General Stipetic at that time doesn't really know what's going on down
- 16 there. He is not familiar with it. He didn't go down there. And it is

a typical response of a trained officer, who says, Well, probably there is an operative group, and then let's do it in accordance with the plan of the operative group. There was no operative group on the 8th of April. I arrived there on the 10th of April. General Petkovic came on the 14th, and then it was only later that he was appointed the chief of the Main Staff. What operative group? Well, I don't know whether it existed or didn't exist. Of course, there was the Operational Zone Split. But for any operative group to exist -- well, later on, at the time when I came there, and among other things according to -- it was

Page 40089

under my demands for people to go there to prevent the attack that I was
talking about, General Roso came to this area facing Livno, and then he
was followed by General Gotovina. I was replaced by somebody else, and

General Petkovic was down there at all times.

So it's just a military term. He, as a trained soldier, as a trained officer, he said, Well, probably there must be some operative group. But there were no operative groups down there. There were operational zones where everyone -- and I will, in the course of my testimony, show to you what operational zone really was, in actual fact. It was just an uprising. It was just an insurgency on the part of the people, and it takes months and months --

--- Recess taken at 12.33 p.m.

have our second and last break. We'll break for 20 minutes.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] It's now 12.30. We need to

- --- On resuming at 12.53 p.m.
- 16 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] The court is back in session.
- 17 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] Thank you, Your Honour.
- 18 Q. General, could you please look at document 3D00453. This
- 19 document has already been admitted into evidence. It's a document that
- you, yourself, signed, so could you please tell the Judges what this is
- 21 all about and how this document came into being.
- 22 A. Of course I can. This document was engendered by the problems
- 23 that cropped up. At that time, Croatia, so from the 3rd of January --
- Q. It's the 31st of August.
- 25 A. No. I'm saying on the 3rd of January, Croatia signed the

- 1 cease-fire.
- 2 Q. What year?
- 3 A. 1992, but then the war broke out in Bosnia and Herzegovina, and
- 4 the great problem -- the general position taken by the politicians and by
- 5 the army, the command of the Croatian Army, was clear as to who and how
- 6 and in what way can go to Bosnia and Herzegovina. But soldiers are human
- 7 beings, in particular in the kind of army that we had at the time, and
- 8 those human beings act -- well, they have this burning problem. The
- 9 Serbs were attacking fiercely. Just before Bosnian Posavina fell
- 10 completely, there was fierce fighting there with a huge number of
- 11 casualties, so there was fighting involving the HVO, with many Muslims in
- 12 its ranks. It was a mixed army.

- Q. Could you please face the microphone.
- 14 A. Those people who were in this operational zone of the Croatian
- 15 Army [indicates], among those soldiers serving in the Croatian Army there
- 16 were many people who had joined the Croatian Army from Bosnian Posavina.
- 17 I was there in early July 1992, and I know for a fact what it looked
- 18 like.
- 19 For instance, there was the 139th and 157th -- or 137th and 159th
- 20 Brigade of the Croatian Army, with 70 percent of the personnel from
- 21 Bosnian Posavina, and they did not want to cross the Sava River. I
- 22 talked to them. Half of them wanted to cross, half of them didn't want
- 23 to cross. The commanders demanded that they should cross, saying that
- 24 that part -- that area had to be defended because it would render Croatia
- 25 much more difficult to defend. Of course, this was not in accordance

- with the instructions. Of course, in this document they say clearly some
- 2 are -- some members issued orders for the river to be crossed, in
- 3 contrary to all the rules that were in place. And I say that military
- 4 judicial organs would take appropriate action. We'll see what kind of
- 5 action. Of course, I agreed, these are human beings, everything is on
- fire, there is a war going on.
- 7 I can't explain this in simple terms, but I fully agree with the
- 8 position that Croatia has its interests in Bosnian Posavina. It's the
- 9 border area, there are many Croats living there at the time, and in any
- 10 time. And we are aware of the fact that some other operational zones

faced the same problem. But the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina is a foreign country. We do have our vested interests there, but it is a foreign country. And the defence minister confirmed that in a televised interview. So repressive measures should be taken. Of course, they're angry because people do not want to comply, they don't want to cross, some of them don't want to cross, invoking the Constitution and other legal documents. So what should I then propose, but to have a dialogue and to discuss this issue, the fact that Chetniks are going to destroy everything, that we would have a new Vukovar. Everything that we knew would happen, and that really happened, and that this should be resolved through dialogue, through providing explanations that this cannot simply be cut off, just like that.

In the French Army, there would be an order, and the troops would obey. That's as simple as that. But here it wasn't as simple as that, because it was not the kind of army that France or England have. Those

- were soldiers of a different kind. Well, it's simply difficult to
 explain. It was a different kind of a war. People were all mixed
 together from various places, and this was the inevitable result.
 - So I proposed that only volunteers could be sent, that questionnaires should be sent out, and perhaps solutions should be found whereby soldiers would be offered three- or six-month contracts, and then under that contract they would have the status of a professional soldier.
- 8 But this is something that the Personnel Administration should deal with.

So this was a very intense war. There was fighting all over

Croatia, a great number of casualties in Slavonski Brod. More than

500 children were being killed. And a whole series of human interests

were present there, and they were present in the army, and they were

conflicting interests, because people had their families, people serving

in the army. And, unfortunately, it couldn't be dealt with in the way in

which it would have been dealt with in the US Army or in the French Army;

this is how it should be done, and this is how it's going to be done.

Q. General, could we please look at 3D017 --

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, in the last paragraph we see a reference to contracts, to a contract. So is it the three- to six-month contract signed with the Republic of Croatia, or is it concluded with the Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina, HVO, or ABiH? Who is the other party signing the contract? On the one hand, we've got the volunteer soldier. Fine. But who is the other party to the contract?

THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Croatia, Bosnia-Herzegovina -- not Bosnia-Herzegovina, or the HVO, or anybody else had a contract with the

Page 40093

1 soldier; nothing.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] You say Croatia. Fine, but then there's something I don't understand. Let's assume that we have a soldier from the Croatian Army. He's in Zagreb, stationed in a unit somewhere in Zagreb, and he's a volunteer. He gets his pay and says, I want to volunteer. Is this the moment where he will sign a new contract

- 7 with the Republic of Croatia, even though he already is paid, he's
- 8 already on the payroll? How does this happen?
- 9 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, there were contracts between soldiers and the Croatian Army about the professional status, only for 10 11 guards brigades, not for the rest. In other words, while he was there, 12 mobilised or whatever, he would receive a salary. As soon as, for some 13 reason, he stepped down, he forfeited his salary. And here the proposal 14 is to offer up a three-month contract to volunteers, because he could say, I want to go there, but as soon as I go, my family will be left 15 without a livelihood. So I would like to go, but provide me with an 16 agreement or contract of some kind; first of all, if I am killed, that 17 they receive state benefits. So that would be a kind of contract for a 18 19 three-month period or a six-month period, because people had to deal with questions of livelihood. But with Croatia, not with anybody else. They 20 could just sign these contracts with Croatia. 21
- 22 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you, this very clear.
- MS. PINTER: [Interpretation]
- Q. Perhaps this is an opportune moment, General, for us to go
- 25 back --

- 1 JUDGE TRECHSEL: I also have a question. I seem to understand,
- 2 but I always stand to be corrected, that the war you were speaking about
- 3 here was the Muslim and the Croats together against the Serbs. Now,
- 4 there has been an allegation in the indictment of Croatian troops in

- 5 Bosnia-Herzegovina fighting against the Muslims, and there the issue of
- 6 international armed conflict arises. But does it arise in any way -- is
- 7 there any problem if Croatia sends her troops, with the consent of
- 8 Bosnia-Herzegovina, into the territory of Bosnia-Herzegovina to fight the
- 9 common enemy, which then would be an international armed conflict that
- 10 exists anyway between Croatia and Bosnia-Herzegovina, on one side, and
- 11 the Serbs on the other side? If you could explain.
- 12 I seem to sense that Mr. Karnavas would like to jump up and
- explain it all, but I must ask the witness, of course.
- MR. KARNAVAS: I don't want to explain it. I'm a little bit
- 15 puzzled, Your Honour --
- THE INTERPRETER: Microphone, please.
- 17 MR. KARNAVAS: I'm a little bit puzzled by the question,
- 18 Your Honour, only on the sense -- I think it's a very good question.
- 19 Don't get me wrong.
- JUDGE TRECHSEL: You can expect that from me.
- 21 MR. KARNAVAS: Well, I can be surprising at times. But it does
- seem to -- you seem to be requesting a legal opinion at this point in
- 23 time. Based on the question itself, one could interpret that question to
- 24 be eliciting a legal opinion from a layperson.
- Now, I suspect, you know, the question, as you wish to put it, is

- 1 whether it was his understanding, given that he's indicated that he
- 2 studied some law, but otherwise it would appear that you're asking a

- 3 question that is best put to an expert.
- 4 JUDGE TRECHSEL: You certainly have a point there, and it goes
- 5 against my own attitude if I ask a legal question. Maybe I withdraw the
- 6 question.
- 7 But I want to correct the transcript on line 14, on page 84.
- 8 What I was saying a bit jokingly is I was putting it to you that you did
- 9 not expect me to ask a very good question, but that was meant as a joke,
- 10 and it needs no further intervention. Thank you.
- 11 Excuse me, Ms. Pinter.
- 12 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, just a minute.
- 13 My fellow Judge is withdrawing this question, but I'd like to come back
- 14 to it, because he said something very important, something that I had
- 15 neglected to see up until now.
- 16 We see this volunteer system, which you have described, and I'm
- 17 sure that the Prosecutor and during the cross-examination will shed light
- 18 on this and bring his own opinion to this. But my fellow Judge addressed
- 19 a very important issue, I believe.
- There is a conflict going on against the Serbs, so could you tell
- 21 us why it is that the Republic of Croatia and the Republic of
- 22 Bosnia-Herzegovina, in the framework of a partnership or cooperation, did
- 23 not officially start fighting against the Serbs, but in an official
- 24 manner and jointly, then the Croatian Army could have officially crossed
- 25 the border in order to go help out, if I could say so, the ABiH in the

- struggle against the common enemy? Why was this not done?
- THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Because Mr. Alija Izetbegovic
- 3 didn't agree to that. On the Croatian side, a proposal of that kind was
- 4 put forward, it existed, because there was a joint enemy, a common foe
- 5 with a clear-cut strategic goal, and we were to stand up to a common foe
- 6 together, jointly.

1

- 7 However, if, Your Honour, you go back to the facts and look at
- 8 them and I'm going to demonstrate that in due course -
- 9 Alija Izetbegovic tried to reach a historical agreement with the Serbs,
- 10 and by the village of Ravno he said, It's not our war, that a few days
- 11 before Sarajevo and I'm going to show documents to bear that out was
- 12 bombed, he said, There won't be a war because you need two to tango, two
- 13 to enter into a war, and they weren't in favour of a war. So he claimed
- 14 several times, and we saw a document to this effect, that the Yugoslav
- 15 People's Army would ensure peace in Bosnia-Herzegovina, the gentleman
- 16 whom I know, and I respect him on many counts, but quite simply either
- 17 didn't want to understand, or couldn't understand or whatever, what it
- 18 was all about. He thought that the war -- he would be able to avoid a
- 19 war. And he refused to enter into an agreement of that kind, the kind
- 20 that you have just mentioned, a military cooperation, right up until
- 21 1995. When he signed it in Split, it was only then, pursuant to that
- 22 agreement, that it was possible for the Croatian forces, after Knin, to
- 23 move to, say, Bihac and move on towards Banja Luka, and join up with the
- 24 BH Army and the HVO to liberate this entire area. Unfortunately, he
- 25 signed this in the summer of 1992. He only signed an agreement on

1 borders, and we're dealing with borders here. That's what the agreement 2 related to, and that is why all these different interpretations arose as 3 to whether you could issue an order to cross the border for a 20 or 30 belt to refute the Serb artillery that was targeting Croatian towns, 4 Slavonski Brod, and so on. 5 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. What you're saying 7 is important. He did not want the Republic of Croatia to officially 8 enter into Bosnia-Herzegovina to help out. But he knew there were 9 volunteers, so implicitly he accepted the fact that Croatia would help 10 through these volunteers that were sent. 11 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Correct. Then, at that time, during that period, he already realised the mistakes that were made, and 12 13 this is logical. He began taking in people from the Islamic world, because when he was placed in this situation, any aid he could get was of 14 15 fateful importance to his people. Of course, the people who came in, for 16 example, from the Islamic countries, and we refer to them later as the 17 Mujahedin, it didn't say on their forehead what kind of people they were. 18 He was facing a terrible defeat, so he took the hand offered to him. 19 Now, what they did later on, we can't criticise that now, because 20 it was -- he was catching at straws at that particular point in time. 21 And at this time, Alija Izetbegovic from Zagreb, together with his whole entourage, arrived in Slavonski Brod and asked, because it 22

was -- he was already on the brink of destruction, whether he could send

23

an army from Bosnia-Herzegovina, and that, of course, was completely --

25 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Praljak, each time you're

Page 40098

answering, you're opening doors to new important issues. You say that he

brought in the Mujahedins because he had no other way out, given the

situation, which is what you just said.

24

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

4 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] No, no.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] If not, please tell us what you

said, because this is what I thought I understood. I thought you said

that given the situation -- so please tell us what you said.

8 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] He did not accept the Mujahedin,

but volunteers. He took in volunteers. Later on, it transpired that

they came to fight for the Islamic cause and to disseminate Islamism.

But, you see, when somebody is offering you a hand, you grab hold of that

hand, and you don't know who the hand belongs to. It might belong to

Hitler, but at that point in time you just see the hand being offered to

you, the helping hand.

So what I'm saying is that most probably Izetbegovic did not know what the Mujahedins would bring with them. He accepted assistance. He accepted everything from Croatia and from others too, because he was in this catastrophic situation, militarily speaking. The Serbs were about to take Sarajevo, and I don't know how Bosnia and Herzegovina would have

survived had that happened, notwithstanding the international recognition.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well.

- 22 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] Thank you, Your Honour.
- Q. General, I'd like to discuss document 3D00299 now, please. I
- 24 don't think you have it in your binder. It's already an exhibit. But
- 25 when I put the document to you, I'd like to ask you how this was possible

- 1 when I put it to you.
- Now, you can see the document on the screen in front of you. It
- 3 is a letter sent to the Ministry of Defence of the Republic of Croatia.
- 4 The date is the 4th of January, 1993. It is signed by
- 5 Colonel Hasan Efendic, who was the secretary for military affairs,
- 6 attached to the Office of the Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina in the
- 7 Republic of Croatia. And in that letter, Colonel Hasan Efendic asks the
- 8 Ministry of Defence permission for the purposes of the armed forces of
- 9 the Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina to engage officers of the Croatian
- 10 Army; and they were Ismet Avdic, who was a colonel, Ahmet Puskar, who was
- 11 a major and who was in Dubrovnik, engaged in the defence of Dubrovnik,
- 12 and Dr. Sadik Rakanovic, who was also a colonel. Furthermore, in this
- 13 request it says that the status of these officers would be resolved as
- 14 had been the case thus far; that is to say, their status would be put on
- 15 hold. They would enjoy all the benefits as officers of the Croatian
- 16 Army. And once they had completed their assignment, to enable them to
- 17 continue their engagement in the Croatian Army.
- 18 I'd now like to ask you to explain to the Court how that was
- 19 possible.

A. Ms. Pinter, it wasn't -- it wasn't that it was possible. That's how it was. And that's what I'm saying throughout. Bosnia-Herzegovina had its representative offices in Croatia. We see we had this military office in Croatia, and later on you'll be able to see that it had branch offices of the Main Staff of the BH Army for logistics in Zagreb, having been given permission from the Croatian government, of course. So it's

- 1 all quite clear, it's crystal clear.
- If you look at the basic premise, they talked to these people,
- 3 these people said, Yes, we're ready to go and help out, but hold our
- 4 status on hold, keep our status on hold, because when we come back, we'll
- 5 take it up again.
- 6 And two days ago, when I was talking about Jasmin Jaganac [phoen], he was
- 7 in the war, he was in Mostar, and he went back to the Croatian Army.
- 8 That was a rule. Assistants of the Republic of Croatia took that form;
- 9 they said, Volunteers, you can go, your status will be retained. If
- 10 you're not killed, come back and you can take up your status. If you are
- 11 killed, your family will enjoy all the benefits and rights befitting a
- 12 Croatian soldier, just in the same way as if he had been killed in the
- defence of the Republic of Croatia. And that's what the situation was
- 14 like throughout, in all its aspects.
- Q. And those three people were Bosniaks, were they, Muslims?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Very well, thank you. Let's move on now, and I'd like to show a

18 video. 3D03127 is the number.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, of course this document deserves our in-depth scrutiny. Obviously, the Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina is asking for three officers of the Croatian Army to be assigned so that they can actually work within the Army of Bosnia-Herzegovina. They're doing this on January 4th, 1993. We were reading this document, I was listening to the question put to you by your counsel and your answer, and I could only make the connection with what

Page 40101

happened in Prozor in October -- what had happened in Prozor a few weeks
are earlier in October/November.

If the high authority of Bosnia-Herzegovina is sending this demand, even though they knew what had happened in Prozor, what could they infer as to what had happened in Prozor regarding this? It was just a skirmish, or was it an incident that was to be integrated within the plan? What can you say? We know that you were in Prozor.

THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] A local incident -- this was a local incident, and here, when I am going to talk about that, when I went there to calm the situation down there, and then I went on to go to Uskoplje, I had been asked to go there, and I can't really be sure whether -- or, actually, Mr. Izetbegovic was there during one of the requests. Franjo Tudjman was there both times, and they were imploring me, Praljak, go, please. At one of them, the president told me, Praljak, go there. We don't want a war with the Muslims. Please try and calm

- them down. It was a local incident.
- 17 After that, I stayed in Central Bosnia for a long time, and in a month and a half, in the territory of Konjic, Rama, Uskoplje, Travnik, 18 Novi Travnik, in the defence of Travnik from an onslaught of Serbs after 19 the fall of Jajce, I managed to calm the situation down to a large 20 extent. However, the fuel was rekindled. Things went step by step. The 21 22 fire was rekindled. The negotiations went through. It was an organic 23 development, and things went from bad to worse. And all the time up to the 30th of June, and even after Jajce, I'm going to show it crystally 24 clear. In my engagement there was a will -- there was a desire and a 25

- 1 hope that we would avoid a conflict, that we would not be attacked, and
- 2 that was again a limited -- Croatia, not then, you will see later on when
- 3 we come to that, in the summer of 1993, allowed the staff of the BiH Army
- 4 to establish its logistics centres in Zagreb, Rijeka, and Split, to work
- 5 in the territory of Croatia. We gave them everything, although the
- 6 conflict in Central Bosnia and in Konjic had already been underway, there
- 7 had been already initial conflicts with Mostar.
- 8 Q. General, just for record, when you said all that was up to the
- 9 30th June, what year was that?
- 10 A. 1993.
- 11 Q. I apologise. We have to have a clear temporal framework. You
- 12 continued talking about Jajce. That's why --
- 13 A. Thank you, thank you. No, no, no, that's when the conflict was

- 14 already clear. But even after that, the Republic of Croatia did not
- 15 change anything with regard to the assistance to the BiH Army, nothing.
- 16 It became a bit more difficult to implement and transport the weapons
- 17 over there. However, nothing changed in the general approach to the
- 18 whole matter.
- 19 Q. Now I'm going to ask the Court to produce video 3D03127. And,
- 20 General, I'm going to ask you once the video is over, to provide your
- 21 explanation, but let's first look at the video and listen to what it
- 22 says.
- 23 Can you tell us who --
- 24 MR. STRINGER: Is there an exhibit number for this,
- 25 Mr. President?

- MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] Yes. 3D3127. It's already on the
- 2 record.
- 3 We seem to be having a problem with the technical issues.
- 4 There's no sound. Unfortunately, we will not be able to run the video at
- 5 the moment.
- 6 Q. General, while we are waiting to see what happened: You are familiar
- 7 with this. This was a show "U krupnom planu" on the Croatian Television.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Who were the guests on that show?
- 10 A. This is Gojko Susak that we see. Now, there was also
- 11 General Lucic, there was myself, and a few other from the Personnel

- 12 Administration who answered some other questions, and so on and so forth.
- 13 Q. Very well.
- 14 A. And there were people from Social Welfare as well.
- 15 Q. Do you remember what was the topic of your discussion on that
- 16 show?
- 17 A. All sorts of issues were on the table; the rights of the Croatian
- 18 Army, looking after their welfare.
- 19 Q. Do you know that there was also a reference made to the departure
- of the Croatian soldiers to Bosnia-Herzegovina?
- 21 A. Yes.
- MR. STRINGER: [Previous translation continues]... a leading
- 23 question. If we could just -- if we're going to listen to the video,
- 24 that's fine. Otherwise, perhaps, we should just move on and come back to
- it, because it's not very helpful at this point.

- 1 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Stringer seldom intervenes,
- 2 but when he does so, it's always for a good point. Indeed, if you're
- 3 going to run the soundtrack, no use in putting a question before, unless
- 4 you give it up.
- 5 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] It depends on the technical service,
- 6 whether we're going to see it or not. It's not up to us. Unfortunately,
- 7 we still don't have any sound.
- 8 Q. General, while we're waiting for the sound to appear, just one
- 9 question. When this show aired, do you remember, can you remember?

10 A. That aired either in July 1992 or maybe the beginning of August, 11 somewhere around that time. I can't be really sure of the exact time, 12 but I know that it was after my return from Bosnian Posavina. 13 [Video-clip played] THE INTERPRETER: Interpreters note that they don't have the 14 transcript of the video. 15 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] 16 17 Q. There is also an explanation provided by the minister to explain --18 19 [Videotape played] MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] Let's stop there. 20 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] What should be said about this part 21 22 is this: People ask why the Croatian Army does not intervene in Bosnia Posavina, knowing fully well that from Bosnia and Posavina, from the 23 24 occupied part, the Serbs are pounding and killing people in the areas around the Croatian border. And Susak says, We're doing what we can, and 25

- 1 we assist as much as we can.
- The second question was -- or the second part of his answer was

 this: Croatia is not in a war, Croatia has just signed a cease-fire.

 And there was also a question coming from a mother whether a soldier, a

 Croatian soldier who refuses to cross the border and go to Derventa, will

 be punished, and he says, No, and explains. President Franjo Tudjman,

 himself, and a few high-ranking officers spelled it out very clearly.

- 8 Volunteers who hail from the territory of Bosnia-Herzegovina, and also
- 9 others who want to volunteer and go of their own will to fight against
- 10 the enemy, who is common, Croatia had signed a cease-fire, but war did
- 11 not end with that. They could go under the conditions as specified
- 12 herein, and nothing clearer then could be said. This was the state
- policy, and the state policy also dictated the position of the army.
- 14 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] Just for the information for the
- 15 Trial Chamber, the transcript in English of Minister Susak's answer bears
- 16 the following number: 3D41-0682 and 3D41-0683.
- 17 Q. General, I would now like to go on working, and I would like to
- ask you to tell us something about a document that you already mentioned.
- 19 3D00963 is its numbers -- number. And together with this document there
- 20 is also 3D01719. The first document, 3D00963, is a decision by the
- 21 president, Dr. Franjo Tudjman about the appointment of a commission that
- 22 would investigate some of the actions and orders issued by General Major
- 23 Bozo Budimir. Have you got it?
- 24 A. Yes. Here, under item 1 of this request issued by the supreme
- commander, it says as follows: Why did the major general make a decision

- 1 and issue an order to send the 57th Independent Battalion to
- 2 Bosnia-Herzegovina, to Bosnian Posavina? He never had such an authority
- 3 from the Main Staff. There are some other things here, but I believe
- 4 that this is one of the things -- one of the calls for an investigation
- 5 of that case. This person was the commander of the operation zone in

Sisak, and he was my commander when I was in Sunja. He is a wonderful man, a former officer of the JNA, but he was full of desire to fight. He wanted to fight the JNA and the Army of Republika Srpska, and he breached a previous order. And he was not the only one. Let's be clear on that.

There were a lot of such things going on in Bosnia Posavina, and things were dealt with in the way that they would be dealt with. He was called to task and processed, and the others were just called to their senses through a conversation telling them that they shouldn't do such things, but that they should follow a procedure. And this was probably the best way to proceed in such situations, and the principle had to be respected.

However, when people are being killed, when people are dying, for example, when in a big town you have 28 killed children and in its broader surroundings some 500 dead civilians, all the commands, all the laws, assume a different perspective. The perspective changes quite a lot, as a matter of fact. Where there is death, where there is blood, dead children, all that creates in people -- an organised -- well-organised army would deal with those matters differently, but those were their next of kin, their closest family and relatives, and only human reactions could be expected. They did not follow any rules, but

Page 40107

1 they were still human reactions.

And rules did apply. We adhered to the rules, and whenever somebody broke a rule, there would be an investigation, even of an honest

- 4 man like this person in question.
- 5 Q. Can you please look at 3D01719. Now to round off this topic, this
- is a proposal to indict by the prosecutor of the Military Court, and ${\tt I}$
- 7 believe that this was taken even further.
- 8 A. Yes. This person willfully used HVO units, unbeknownst to the
- 9 Main Staff of the HV. Posavina is not specifically mentioned, but I know
- 10 that he did that. And I don't know how that all ended. I know that he
- 11 was punished in one way or another. And I know that he was hurt by all
- 12 the developments, because he also was a volunteer. He was in Sisak when
- I was in Sunja. He was a volunteer. He was a soldier. He knew exactly
- what discipline was, and everything else. But, you know, there's no
- 15 single military where all the commanders obey all the commands. There is
- 16 a misperception that a military is something like a firm mechanical
- 17 mechanism. This is not true in any military.
- 18 In America and France, when a war starts, when people start dying
- 19 and bleeding, every military experiences lots of problems. I already
- 20 shared with you one case that I know from history. General de Gaulle did
- 21 not obey Eisenhower's words when he said that his troops would not enter
- 22 Paris first. De Gaulle did not like that, and he sent the 3rd Army of
- 23 General Leclerc to enter Paris first, out of spite.
- 24 Well, you know, things are not as simple as they seem on the face
- of them. It's not like a laboratory experiment.

1

Page 40108

Q. Thank you very much. Just for the record, on page 97, line 22,

- 2 the number of the document that the general has just referred to is
- 3 3D01719.
- We've wrapped up this topic, as far as our examination-in-chief.
- 5 A. Would you like this 3D1719?
- 6 Q. Yes, we did that.
- 7 A. No, we did not.
- Q. Yes, it is. That's the motion to indict.
- 9 A. Well, that's Stipetic's.
- 10 Q. We've dealt with that through "Hrvatski Vojnik," right at the
- 11 beginning, so we don't want to repeat ourselves.
- 12 Our next topic is the assistance of the Republic of Croatia to
- 13 the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina.
- 14 I am now in the hands of the Trial Chamber. Should we embark on
- 15 this new topic, or should we adjourn now and begin tomorrow morning?
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Well, but for a few minutes,
- 17 the moment has come to adjourn. It might be better to start with a new
- 18 topic tomorrow. If you don't mind, General, we could move on to a new
- 19 topic tomorrow. Is that okay?
- 20 Very well. As you know, we'll be sitting in the morning
- 21 tomorrow. The hearing will start at 9.00.
- Thank you. The hearing stands adjourned. Good afternoon.
- 23 --- Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 1.39 p.m.,
- 24 to be reconvened on Thursday, the 14th day of May,
- 25 2009, at 9.00 a.m.