1	Tuesday, 13 October 2009
2	[Open session]
3	[The accused entered court]
4	[The accused Coric and Pusic not present]
5	[The witness takes the stand]
6	Upon commencing at 8.58 a.m.
7	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Registrar, can you kindly call
8	the case, please.
9	THE REGISTRAR: Good morning, Your Honours. Good morning,
10	everyone in and around the courtroom.
11	This is case number IT-04-74-T, the Prosecutor versus
12	Prlic et al. Thank you, Your Honours.
13	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you, Registrar. Today is
14	Tuesday, the 13th of October, 2009. I would like to greet our witness.
15	General, the Bench greets you.
16	Mr. Prlic, Mr. Stojic, Mr. Petkovic, and
17	MS. TOMANOVIC: [Interpretation] I apologise, but the witness says
18	he's not receiving the interpretation.
19	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Yes, we have a problem.
20	WITNESS: DRAGAN CURCIC [Resumed]
21	[The witness answered through interpreter]
22	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Can you hear now?

- Fine. I was saying that I would like to greet you, first and
- foremost. I would also like to greet the accused Mr. Pusic, that said,
- 25 is absent. I assume he's ill. And I would like him -- and wish him a

- 1 quick recovery Defence counsel, the OTP members who are here in the
- 2 courtroom today, as well as all the people assisting us.
- 3 Mr. Laws' cross-examination will resume, and I shall give him the
- 4 floor.
- 5 MR. LAWS: Thank you, Mr. President.
- 6 Good morning to each of Your Honours and to everyone in and
- 7 around the courtroom, and good morning to you, Mr. Curcic.
- 8 Cross-examination by Mr. Laws [Continued]:
- 9 Q. Can I take you back, please, to a document that we were looking
- 10 at yesterday, P02813, just so that we pick up the thread. P02813. We
- 11 looked at that yesterday, and you agreed with me that
- 12 Brigadier Miljenko Lasic appeared to be giving a similar sort of approval
- 13 to the one that we saw that you gave on the 6th of August. Do you
- 14 remember we looked at that?
- 15 A. Mr. Prosecutor, mine was a request, and this is an approval or
- 16 certificate, confirmation.
- 17 Q. Very well, thank you. Just so that we have the full picture, can
- 18 you turn back three documents to P02240, and I just want to have a look
- 19 at Miljenko Lasic. This is a document that relates to Mostar. And I'm
- 20 going to go on to Mostar in a moment; I don't want to deal with Mostar as
- 21 a topic now. I just want to see Miljenko Lasic, on the

- 9th of May of 1993, issuing orders to your unit and, in paragraph 4,
- 23 holding you responsible for the implementation of an order to deploy to
- 24 Mostar. All right?
- We're going to deal with Mostar as a topic separately, but what I

- 1 want to glean from that document now is this: He was in your chain of
- 2 command, the gentleman who issued the request or approval in P02813, was
- 3 he not?
- 4 A. Mr. Prosecutor, I arrived in Mostar a day earlier, and I was
- subordinated to the operative zone in South-East Herzegovina, and so
- 6 Mr. Miljenko Lasic had the right to be my commanding officer because I
- 7 was already under his command from the 9th onwards.
- 8 Q. Yes. He was the commander of the South-East Herzegovina **ZONE Of**
- 9 operations, and he was a superior officer to you, was he not?
- 10 A. He was my superior officer for the time being because of the
- events that were happening. Before [as interpreted] the 9th, I was
- 12 re-subordinated to Mr. Miljenko Lasic.
- 13 Q. Did you know him? Was he somebody with whom you met and
- 14 conversed?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] I apologise, but for the record, a
- 17 correction to the record. The witness didn't say "before the 9th," that
- 18 he was re-subordinated before the 9th, but he said that he was
- 19 re-subordinated "on the 9th." So I want to put that right in the record.
- 20 And it is page 3, line 7. So on the 9th.

- MR. LAWS: Yes, thank you.
- Q. He was somebody who you met and who you spoke to. Did the two of
- you ever discuss these requests or approvals that you both issued,
- 24 exempting or asking for certain individuals to be treated in a specific
- 25 way?

- 1 A. Mr. Prosecutor, Mr. Lasic issued an approval. I never issued
- 2 approvals. I wrote requests, I wrote a request.
- 3 Q. You know I'm going to suggest to you, which is precisely what I'm
- 4 asking you: Did you and he discuss the approvals, or requests, or
- 5 whatever you want to call them, efforts to exempt certain specific people
- from what was happening in the Stolac and Capljina municipalities? Did
- 7 you and he ever discuss that as a topic?
- 8 A. No, we did not.
- 9 MR. LAWS: Can we turn and look at P04044.
- 10 Q. This is a document dated the 9th of August of 1993. It relates
- 11 to Rama. It's signed by Ilija Franjic, the military police commander,
- and it's called a "Ban on harassment" as its subject. Can you see that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you at any time deployed in this area in the summer of 1993?
- 15 A. Possibly. I'm not quite sure. It is possible because I was in
- the area of Prozor-Rama fairly frequently.
- 17 Q. Yes. You were in Prozor and Rama quite often, including in the
- 18 summer of 1993, were you not?
- 19 A. Mr. Prosecutor, I can't say for sure. It is highly possible. I

- 20 know for sure that I was there in April, for instance.
- Q. All right. Well, let's have look at --
- 22 A. But I can't say for sure.
- Q. Let's have a look at what the document says:
- "Ban on harassment.
- 25 "The consent is given that the family of Mihad Kulaglic must not

- 1 be prosecuted, or detained by no means, nor expelled from the
- 2 house because that gentleman is engaged in the Rama Brigade units ..."
- 3 And:
- 4 "The consent is said to be valid until further notice or its
- 5 revocation."
- 6 Again, I'm going to suggest to you that rather a similar request
- $7\,$   $\,$  is being made to the one that you made and the one that Mr. Lasic made to
- 8 exempt a particular individual for a particular reason. Do you agree
- 9 with me?
- 10 A. Well, I don't know, Mr. Prosecutor, why he was asking for this.
- I don't know. The person who compiled this document is Ilija Franjic.
- 12 Q. It tells us why he's being exempted, because he's in the
- 13 Rama Brigade. It's precisely the same reason that Fatima Klepo was
- 14 exempted because Senad Klepo was in the Ludvig Pavlovic Battalion. It's
- 15 the same thing.
- 16 A. No. This is approval or agreement to the ban on harassment, so
- 17 something that was.
- 18 Q. Well, what can you tell us about the events in Prozor-Rama in the

- summer of 1993 that might lead to somebody needing to be exempted from
- 20 being persecuted, or detained, or expelled from their house? Was that
- 21 something that was going on in that area that you knew about?
- 22 A. Mr. Prosecutor, in Rama, from the beginning of the conflict until
- 23 the truce between the Croats and Bosniaks, there were daily clashes. And
- 24 in those daily clashes, the civilians would flee towards territory where
- 25 they felt safer, and those who stayed on perhaps had some problems. And

- 1 you must know and be aware of the fact that due to killings and
- 2 woundings, some members might take it upon themselves to retaliate. But
- 3 here we have in Rama the Rama Brigade and this agreement on the ban on
- 4 harassment, so I don't actually know what Mr. Franjic wanted.
- 5 Q. Would you turn on, with me, please, in the binder to P06002.
- 6 It's a document from October of 1993, signed by Ivica Lucic. Just take a
- 7 moment to read that, if you would, please, Mr. Curcic.
- 8 A. Yes, I've read it.
- 9 Q. So a request that there be protection for the apartment of
- 10 Mr. Hadziosmanovic in Mostar. Again, you and your battalion spent time
- 11 in Mostar. Were you aware that events in Mostar required that
- 12 individuals had their apartments put under protection?
- 13 A. Mr. Prosecutor, there's no mention here of me or my unit. What
- 14 is requested is that the military police provide security. I see no
- reason -- well, since I was in Mostar at the time, although I don't think
- 16 I was in Mostar at the time.
- 17 Q. The Ludvig Pavlovic Battalion certainly went to Mostar several

- 18 times, didn't it?
- 19 A. Of course, yes.
- 20 Q. And did the events that you saw in Mostar give you any insight
- 21 into why the military police would need to protect a flat of a Muslim
- 22 male who had certain political views? Did you see what was going on in
- 23 Mostar?
- 24 A. Mr. Prosecutor, it says in this document, very specifically, what
- 25 it was about. It says:

- 1 "Because of increased tension in town, we consider that
- 2 protection and security is necessary 'round the clock."
- 3 So this has been established in this document. How should I know
- 4 whether the man actually needed protection or not, regardless of the fact
- 5 that I came into Mostar with my unit?
- Q. Well, I'm not asking you whether you thought he needed
- 7 protection; I'm asking you whether you saw things in Mostar. And I asked
- 8 you the same question about Prozor-Rama and about Stolac-Capljina,
- 9 whether you saw things in Mostar that accorded with this sentiment that
- 10 certain individuals needed to be protected from what was going on. Did
- 11 you see such events?
- 12 A. You're asking me a general question. If I was somewhere, and
- 13 then you asked me about some specific event and quote a date, so it's
- very difficult for me to put together an answer. Let's talk about the
- 15 22nd of October, for instance, when this document was compiled.
- Obviously, the assistant minister here assessed the need for

- 'round-the-clock protection for this man, and most probably there was
  reason for him making that decision. I can't say why an assistant
  minister is writing something or not.
  - Q. Well, I haven't, with respect, Mr. Curcic, asked you to try to say why he should write anything. I'm asking you whether in these locations that we're looking at you saw things going on that accorded with the need to protect individuals, for orders or requests to be made asking for protection to be afforded to certain individuals. Did you see let's take Mostar, for example. Did you see people or hear about

- 1 people having their flats broken into, looted, people being taken away,
- or forced out at gunpoint? Did you see events or hear of events of that
- 3 kind?

20

21

22

23

24

25

15

flats or not.

- 4 A. Now you've got about five questions there, but let me try and 5 answer.
- 6 Flats were broken into as soon as the JNA officers left them, and 7 both Croats and Muslims broke into them. Now, when the conflict began 8 between the Croats and Bosniaks, the first thing that happened as a 9 result was that the civilians fled, because civilians always flee from a 10 site where there's fighting going on. So if people wanted to find safety and security in areas controlled either by the HVO or the BH Army, then, 11 12 once again, flats would be left vacant and people would take up 13 accommodation in them and break into them. So I, personally, wasn't 14 present, what you're asking me about, whether somebody broke into the

Q. I'm going to suggest to you that the picture that emerges from
the documents that we've looked at is a campaign of persecution of the
Muslim population. That's the first thing. What do you say about that?

The Muslim population were being persecuted month after month after
month, were they not?

A. Mr. Prosecutor, I don't know the exact number of Muslims who stayed on in Mostar, but I do know that the figure is a large one. That certain people were persecuted, that is possible, but I do know that lots of Muslims stayed on in the area of Mostar under the control of the HVO.

# MS. NOZICA: [Interpretation] Your Honours, with your permission,

- first of all, let me say good morning to everybody. I let the witness answer. I didn't want to interrupt the examination, but I do have to step in for the transcript because in the document last shown by the Prosecutor, which is P60002, it says, quite clearly, why protection is being sought, political -- or, rather, protection for a rather important political figure, as it says here, and he was the president of the Muslim Democratic Party in Mostar.
- So it's a document which, in peacetime, is also customary. Or,

  rather, it's a step taken in peacetime, because in peacetime, too, flats

  of important political figures are protected. And here in this document,

  it is stated why this protection is being sought, because of a killing

  that had taken place, the murder. And so that's what I wanted to say

  with regard to the last question asked by my learned friend.

15	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Ms. Nozica, there was no need
16	to step in, because the Judges have read the document and we see why this
17	doctor is being protected, the president of the SDA, Hadziosmanovic,
18	because someone has been killed prior to that, a Croat Muslim has been
19	killed.
20	So perhaps the Dr. Hadziosmanovic was also for the Croats. We
21	don't know. So in October, this man is being protected. This is what
22	the document states.
23	The Prosecutor is addressing another topic, so let him take the
24	floor and let him address the topic he wishes to address. He's going to
25	put questions to the witness.
	Page 45892
1	Mr. Kovacic.
2	MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] I apologise for intervening, but
3	I think there should be a correction to the transcript to avoid further
4	misunderstanding.
5	On page 8, line 14, it says here that the witness's answer was:
6	[In English] "Mr. Prosecutor, I don't know the exact number of
7	the Muslims who stayed on in Mostar, but I do know that the figure is a
8	large one."
9	[Interpretation] End of quotation. That is completely incorrect.
10	I'm quite sure could the witness be asked to repeat? The witness
11	said, I don't know the exact number of Muslims who stayed on in
12	West Mostar, but I do know that the number was great.
13	Quite a different qualification. Perhaps it's best for him to

- 14 repeat.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Witness, what did you say,
- 16 exactly?
- 17 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honour, I don't know the exact
- 18 number, but I do know that there were many of them who stayed on in the
- 19 part of town which was under the control of the Croats. And when I say
- that, I mean the Bosniak Muslims I'm referring to.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Laws.
- MR. LAWS: Very well.
- Q. Would you turn on with me, please, to document P09 -- P09847,
- 24 which is a document under seal, Mr. President.
- This document is going to take us back to what was actually

- 1 happening in Capljina and Stolac in the summer of 1993.
- 2 And when you find the document, Mr. Curcic, you'll find that in
- 3 the B/C/S there's a little number in the bottom right-hand corner.
- 4 You're looking for a little "4 of 6." And in the English, it's page 2,
- 5 halfway down the page.
- 6 A. Could you repeat the number of the document, please? What did
- 7 you say, 09 --
- 8 0. 09847.
- 9 MR. LAWS: I don't think the witness is having much luck with the
- 10 binder. Could he perhaps have a hand. Thank you very much.
- 11 Q. In this document, if you look in the bottom right-hand corner of
- 12 the B/C/S, Mr. Curcic, you'll see there are numbers. If you find

- number 4 of 6 and look at the very top of the page, you'll find the
- 14 paragraph that I want to direct your attention to. It starts:
- "On the 13th of July ..."
- 16 Can you see that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. "... women, children, and old people (the men were already
- 19 detained since a week) from seven villages between Stolac and Capljina,
- 20 at least 420 people, were ordered by HVO soldiers to climb six army
- 21 trucks immediately."
- You read the rest of that paragraph to yourself, we'll read it
- 23 too, and then in just a moment I'll ask you to read another short
- 24 paragraph. Tell us when you finish that one.
- 25 A. I've read it.

- 1 Q. Thank you. If you come down three paragraphs from where you are,
- 2 you'll see, on the B/C/S, just next to the black rectangle to the right
- 3 of the page, there's a two-line paragraph:
- 4 "The evictions and rounding up of people, including the women,
- 5 children, and old people, have been violent with threats and shooting
- 6 over their heads."
- 7 Can you see that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Well, what I'm going to suggest to you is that when you wrote
- 10 about Ms. Klepo being exempted from ill treatment and being taken away,
- 11 this was precisely what you had in mind, wasn't it?

- 12 A. I don't understand.
- 13 Q. When you wrote a letter on the 6th of August asking that
- 14 Fatima Klepo from Capljina be exempted from taking away and ill
- treatment, this that we've just looked at, that was actually happening in
- 16 your area, was precisely what you had in mind, wasn't it?
- 17 A. I don't agree with you there. As for Ms. Klepo, I wrote it
- 18 following a request from her son. Now, I know that soldiers did arrest
- 19 people; we've already been through that. And also these expulsions of
- 20 the 13th, I wasn't there, I wasn't present, so I don't know what
- 21 happened, that there was shooting above civilians' heads.
- MS. TOMANOVIC: [Interpretation] Just a moment, please. We have a
- 23 major mistake in the transcript and interpretation.
- On page 12, line 6 -- no, line 5, the witness said, "I know that
- 25 soldiers were arrested." And the interpretation was: "I know that

- soldiers arrested people." Perhaps it would be a good idea if the
- 2 witness were to repeat what he said so that we can see if I'm right or
- 3 not.
- 4 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Yes, Mr. Witness. Can you
- 5 specify what it was exactly you wanted to say?
- 6 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honour, I know that soldiers
- 7 and possible conscripts were arrested. I don't know that women and
- 8 children were arrested or where they would go with them.
- 9 MR. LAWS:
- 10 Q. In August, the internationals as we've just seen in that

- document the United Nations knew what was going on in your
- municipality, and you're saying to us that you didn't. Is that it,
- 13 Mr. Curcic?
- A. Mr. Prosecutor, precisely on the morning of the 13th of July,
- 15 there was a strong diversionary attack throughout the Dubrava Plateau
- 16 conducted by sabotage groups, members of the previous
- 17 Croatian Defence Council who had joined the BH Army, or, rather, previous
- 18 members of the HVO who'd joined the BH Army. And I took part from the
- 19 early morning of the 13th and over the next four or five days until the
- lines had been fully established, the defence lines, I mean. I know that
- 21 for a fact, because on the 13th, in those -- in that fighting that went
- 22 on the whole day and the following day, and over the next few days, three
- of my men were killed, and two exactly on the 13th: Bule, and one man
- 24 called Ivankovic from Visici. So when you're asking me now that some
- people did some shooting, I don't know that. But where we were fighting,

- 1 all the civilians had fled, all the civilians who were both Croats and
- 2 Bosniaks, both.
- 3 MR. LAWS: Thank you.
- 4 JUDGE TRECHSEL: Excuse me. Witness, were you talking about the
- 5 13th of June now?
- 6 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] The 13th of July.
- 7 JUDGE TRECHSEL: Thank you. I just verify because this document
- 8 does not refer to the date of 13 July. It refers to 13 of June, at least
- 9 in the version I have. So --

10	THE INTERPRETER: Microphone, please. Microphone for counsel,
11	please.
12	MS. TOMANOVIC: [Interpretation] Judge Trechsel, if I might be of
13	assistance. The Prosecutor started his question referring to this
14	document, and on the second page of this document, the ERN number being
15	R003-6133, and in paragraph 5 on that page, the paragraph begins with the
16	13th of July. It says "the 13th of July," and that was precisely what
17	the Prosecutor based his question on. Thank you.
18	JUDGE TRECHSEL: Thank you very much. Sorry, I got stuck in the
19	second paragraph of the page. Thank you.
20	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General, I have a follow-up
21	question for you. I have listened carefully to what you told us about
22	what happened on the Dubrava Plateau. You explained to us that you spent
23	several days fighting, and three of your soldiers were killed. Right.
24	In the document we have before us, it says the following:
25	"On 13th of July, 420 people are arrested, and these people are
	Page 45897
1	then taken to the silo building in Capljina, where these people are going
2	to be detained for three days."
3	Since you weren't there, it is practically sure that you did not
4	know that these 420 people were detained. But in the document, at the
5	end of the document, it states that on the 22nd or 23rd of July, this
6	group of people was taken elsewhere, to Doljani and Jablanica. And then,
7	three days later, another group was transported to the front-line area,

8 and you remained on the Dubrava Plateau for several days. But when you

9 returned to Capljina, if you returned on the 18th or 19th of July, the
10 people left on the 22nd of July, so for a few days you may have seen or
11 heard about the fact that civilians were there. Is that possible or
12 totally impossible?
13 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honours, it is quite possible.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

- I told you it was about four to five days when I and part of the command returned to Capljina, and we certainly did for one day in order to attend the burials of these members. But the fighting went on for I don't know exactly how many days, and when I returned with the unit to the barrack in Capljina, I did not find -- I didn't see anyone there.
- I know, and I repeat it, that there were arrests of military conscripts, but whether women and children were taken away, I really don't know because I was in the area where the conflict was going on and there were no women and children there. So in the town itself, I didn't see these trucks or these people who were taken -- transported to Doljani, because I know where Doljani, near Jablanica, is.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General, I know that you were

# Page 45898

- 1 born in Zenica, and you must have known that at the same time Croats were
- 3 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] I did know it.

detained in Zenica. Did you know about that?

- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] You knew it. Very well.
- Did you know that the rule was to exchange civilians from one side to the other? This was a common practice, and the international community knew this very well, that civilians were exchanged. Did you

know about this?

8

- 9 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honours, I know that war --
- 10 prisoners of war, both members of the HVO and the BH Army, were exchanged
- mutually. I do know that columns of refugees did came to Bosnia and
- Herzegovina because there were a lot of people I knew there. As I was
- telling you, the people from the Capljina area, the civilians, had moved
- 14 out, but how and when, I don't know because I didn't -- I wasn't there.
- But at the time when there were daily clashes and fighting
- 16 between the BH Army and the HVO, as one or the other side took over
- 17 control over a certain area, so as one side or the other took control
- 18 over a certain area, then the civilian population that was not from that
- ethnic group would move out and go to the territory that was under the
- 20 control of their army.
- 21 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General, earlier we saw a
- document where the civilians, women and children, were brought together,
- sometimes were abused; and in order for things to happen smoothly, people
- 24 were shooting over their heads. According to you, is this proper
- 25 military conduct?

- 1 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] No, that kind of treatment would
- 2 not be in compliance with military duty.
- 3 MR. LAWS:
- 4 Q. Last question about this topic, and then we're going to turn to
- 5 something else.
- 6 Do you know if Fatima Klepo managed to avoid being ill-treated

- 7 and taken away?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. You don't know, or she didn't manage to survive?
- 10 A. No, I don't know. I never met that person afterward.
- 11 Q. You told us that. But her son, who you say had asked you to
- 12 write this letter for reasons you're not fully aware of, he didn't ever
- 13 come to you and say that there'd been a problem with his mother?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. It's the sort of thing that a commanding officer learns from his
- 16 soldiers, isn't it?
- 17 A. I never learned that from him.
- 18 MR. LAWS: No. Thank you.
- 19 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General, overnight I thought of
- 20 a question for you, and the Prosecutor is giving me an opportunity for
- 21 this: Could you tell me whether Senad Klepo stayed in your unit
- throughout the entire time?
- 23 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] No, Your Honours. Senad Klepo and
- 24 his brother left. And as far as I know, they left -- they went abroad.
- 25 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Did they leave right after

- 1  $\,$  making this request, soon after making this request, or a long time after
- 2 that? Maybe they left because, after having seen that their mother was
- 3 not protected, they lost trust in your unit and left. Could you tell us
- 4 when they left?
- 5 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honours, I don't know. This

- 6 can be verified through the records, the unit records.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. One last question,
- 8 another question I thought of overnight: Could you tell us how many men
- 9 were in your unit?
- 10 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honours, the manpower -- the
- 11 unit manpower would vary anywhere between 192 members, and that's the
- number of us that came to Herzegovina, up until the end when there were
- 13 up to 350 members. At this time, I was no longer a commander. But all
- 14 the unit members were not registered immediately, nor were contracts
- 15 drawn up with them, so this is something that we would have to check, to
- look at the map of the unit structure.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Last question: During your
- period of command, could you tell us how many of your men were killed?
- 19 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] In total, the unit numbered -- the
- 20 unit had 34 men -- lost 34 men, 34 were killed. And while I was in
- 21 command, I think there were 21 dead.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you.
- Mr. Laws.
- MR. LAWS: Thank you, Mr. President.
- 25 Q. Very well, let's start a new topic. Shall we look, please,

- 1 together at the Ludvig Pavlovic Battalion, and start with a document in
- your binder, P02632. And this appears to be a document written by you on
- 3 the 4th of June of 1993. Can you confirm that for us?
- 4 A. I am not the author of this document. You can see at the bottom

- 5 that it said "for Ferdo Herceg."
- Q. It has, at the bottom, your name typed, does it not?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. On Ludvig Pavlovic-headed letter paper, is it not?
- 9 A. Yes, Mr. Prosecutor. But you can see, next to my name --
- 10 Q. Just a moment. And it has a stamp at the bottom does it
- 11 not? through the name?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you were going to tell us someone else has signed it on your
- 14 behalf?
- 15 A. Yes, but I can explain this document. However, I did not sign
- 16 it. Ferdo Herceg, my deputy, signed it, and you can see that on the
- 17 document. It says there are four --
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Ms. Pinter.
- MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] Counsel, I would just like to point
- 20 out a matter -- an issue with interpretation, and this is why the
- 21 Prosecutor suggested to the witness that he had signed it. The
- 22 translation of the document does not contain the word "for," which can be
- 23 found in the original.
- 24 MR. LAWS: I said that he was the author of it, not that he
- 25 signed it.

- MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] Well, nevertheless, the translation
- 2 is not in compliance -- is not -- does not accord with the original,
- 3 because in the original it says that this was signed "for" the unit

- 4 commander and not "by" the unit commander. So that is my remark or note
- 5 that has to do with the translation.
- 6 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] It's noted on the transcript,
- 7 this document was not signed by this person, but by someone else.
- 8 Mr. Laws.
- 9 MR. LAWS: Thank you.
- 10 Q. Would you read with me, please, the paragraph below the word
- "receipt" in the middle of the page. Would you just read the first
- paragraph aloud for us so we can be clear about it?
- 13 A. "Receipt confirming that Mr. Zlatko Brajkovic, son of Drago, born
- on 8th January, 1954, in Zvirovici, Capljina municipality, residing in
- 15 Capljina, is a member of this unit which ..."
- Do you want me to go on?
- 17 Q. Yes, please.
- 18 A. "... which has the status of an independent unit with -- pursuant
- 19 to an order by the minister of defence, Mr. Gojko Susak, as stipulated in
- 20 Article 47, paragraph 5, of the Law on Defence (Official Gazette 49/91,
- 21 53A ..."
- 22 Q. Thank you very much.
- 23 A. "The receipt was issued upon the request of the aforementioned
- for the purpose of regulating rights and duties."
- 25 Q. The words that you seem to have left out, according to my

- 1 translation, after "independent unit" are "independent unit within the
- Croatian Army." Is that not in the original?

- 3 A. I did read it out.
- 4 Q. Well, it may well be just there's an error in translation. But
- 5 this document is saying that Mr. Brajkovic is a member of the
- 6 Ludvig Pavlovic Special-Purpose Unit, which has the status of an
- 7 independent unit within the Croatian Army, according to an order of
- 8 Mr. Susak. That's what the document's saying, isn't it?
- 9 A. Yes, Mr. Prosecutor.
- 10 Q. Do you agree with that assessment of the standing of your unit?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. You don't?
- 13 A. Well, there are two units. We're talking about two units.
- 14 Q. Well, you better tell us about the two units. What do you mean
- 15 by that?
- 16 A. When we arrived in Herzegovina from the 1st Volunteer Regiment,
- 17 Kralj Tomislav, 92 members had a contract with the Croatian Army; whereas
- 18 the other members who joined our unit when we arrived in Bosnia and
- 19 Herzegovina had the status of members -- or as members of the
- 20 Croatian Defence Council.
- 21 Those of us who had the status as members of the Croatian Army
- 22 did not wish to relinquish that status because of the salaries that we
- $\,$  were getting and also health insurance and other types of insurance that
- 24 we were getting as benefits.
- 25 Q. So you remained a unit of the Croatian Army, for whatever reason?

1 A. No. General Daidza, who was our commander of the Kralj Tomislav

- 2 unit, he set up a new unit, which had its headquarters in Vrgorac, so
- 3 that the status-related matters could be resolved, the status-related
- 4 matters of members of the one-time Kralj Tomislav unit. But this did not
- 5 apply to those members who were not members of the Croatian Army, as we
- 6 were.
- 7 Q. Yes, all right. If we accept for a moment that only some of you
- 8 were members of the Croatian Army, and we try to look at what you're
- 9 describing, you had a contract with the Croatian Army. You've just told
- 10 us that you didn't want to relinquish that because of the salary, the
- 11 health insurance, and other types of insurance that you were getting as
- 12 benefits. You're describing a unit that remained part of the
- 13 Croatian Army, aren't you?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Well, how are you not a member of the Croatian Army if you're
- 16 being -- you have a contract with them, you're paid by them, and they
- 17 give you health and other benefits? In what way are you not a member of
- 18 the Croatian Army?
- 19 A. I did say that I was a member of the Croatian Army, but you were
- 20 asking about the unit.
- 21 Q. Well, you were the commander.
- 22 A. Those of us individuals -- I was the commander of the
- 23 Ludvig Pavlovic unit.
- Q. Yes. And this gentleman that we're talking about on this
- 25 document, Zlatko Brajkovic, he -- does he have the same arrangements as

- 1 you, contract with the Croatian Army, paid by the Croatian Army,
- 2 et cetera; is that right?
- 3 A. Mr. Zlatko Brajkovic is currently a disabled veteran in Croatia.
- 4 Q. Forgive me, Mr. Curcic, but you couldn't try to make up an answer
- 5 less relevant to the question that I've just asked you than that. To
- 6 tell us what he's doing now, you know that that's not attempting to be
- 7 helpful.
- 8 Would you look at the question. I'm -- is it right that you
- 9 actually speak quite good English as well?
- 10 A. No, it isn't right.
- 11 Q. Forgive me for that. But, look, the question that I've asked you
- is quite a straightforward one. Zlatko Brajkovic, does he have the same
- 13 arrangement with you, a contract with the Croatian Army, paid by the
- 14 Croatian Army, et cetera; is that right? At this time, not now.
- 15 A. He did have a contract with the Croatian Army, and he did have --
- 16 he did receive pay from the HV, and this is further confirmed by his
- 17 present status, where he has the status of a disabled veteran of the Army
- 18 of Croatia.
- 19 Q. What part of this document, then, that you say correctly isn't
- signed by you, what part of it don't you agree with?
- 21 A. I don't understand your question.
- Q. Well, I asked you a little while ago whether you agreed with the
- 23 contents of this document, and you told us that you did not, and that's
- 24 why I've spent some time looking at its details to try to see whether
- 25 it's right or not, and I'm asking you again. The document, with your

- 1 name on your unit's note paper, accurately reflects the position, doesn't
- 2 it?
- 3 A. Mr. Prosecutor, first of all, we said that I was not the one who
- 4 drafted the document and that this document was signed by my deputy, but
- 5 I am prepared to explain everything as if I were the author.
- As far as I'm concerned, this receipt is quite in order because a
- 7 member of the unit is asking for something for the purpose of regulating
- 8 his status-related matters. So he is the one who is submitting this
- 9 request.
- 10 Q. And it's quite in order because it describes him as being a
- 11 member of the unit which has the status of an independent unit within the
- 12 Croatian Army. That part of it is also right, is it not?
- 13 A. Yes, but I've explained that this unit was a unit of the
- 14 Croatian Army earlier and not this one here that was signed.
- 15 Q. It must be my fault. You've lost me there. This is dated the
- 16 4th of June of 1993, this document. Are you saying that the arrangement
- that it describes is no longer in force in June of 1993?
- 18 A. Mr. Prosecutor, when the Kralj Tomislav unit, under the command
- 19 of General Daidza, when it was disbanded, when it fell apart and came to
- 20 Bosnia and Herzegovina, its members -- we set up a new unit,
- 21 Ludvig Pavlovic; whereas in Konjic, a Nihad -- a unit called
- 22 Nihad Konjevic was established.
- 23 All the members who had had a contract with the Croatian Army had
- 24 this contract through the unit of General Sarlija, Daidza. And it was

25 through this unit that they were able to enjoy all the rights that they

- 1 had in the Republic of Croatia, because Daidza, General Mate Sarlija,
- 2 organised the military post in Vrgorac through which it was possible to
- 3 regulate one status-related matters.
- 4 Q. Yes, thank you. Are you saying that the arrangement that this
- 5 document describes is no longer in force in June of 1993 or not?
- 6 A. Which arrangement?
- 7 Q. The arrangement under which the Ludvig Pavlovic unit, or, if you
- 8 like, some of its members, were an independent unit within the
- 9 Croatian Army. Was that the position in June of 1993 or not?
- 10 A. In June 1993, Mr. Zlatko Brajkovic was a member of the
- 11 Croatian Army, and he was serving in the Ludvig Pavlovic unit in
- 12 Capljina.
- 13 Q. Thank you very much.
- 14 A. And he was requesting here to have his status resolved.
- 15 Q. I think that is perfectly satisfactory for my purposes.
- 16 Shall we turn on, please, to another document dealing with the
- 17 Ludvig Pavlovic, P08107.
- 18 Mr. Kovacic.
- 19 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Kovacic.
- 20 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] [Previous translation continues]...
- 21 my colleague. I apologise, but I was waiting for another topic to come
- 22 up.
- 23 I've just discovered, in view of the reiterated reply by the

- 24 witness, that there was an error in the transcript on page 17, line 20.
- 25 Your Honour, you asked him then what the number -- the manpower

- 1 was in the Ludvig Pavlovic unit, and in the transcript, on page 17,
- 2 line 20, it says "between 192, at the least, in the beginning," and to me
- 3 I thought even then that the witness had said "92," but I wasn't sure.
- 4 However, a few moments ago, on page 20, line 25, the witness again
- 5 repeated this number, and this time he said that there were 92 men who
- 6 came from Vrgorac to Bosnia and Herzegovina as part of this unit.
- 7 So maybe we should clear this up, whether the figure was actually
- 8 192 or 92.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Witness, when I asked you what
- 11 the manpower was in the unit, it was complete manpower. I didn't make a
- 12 difference between those who had a contract with the HV and those who
- didn't. Now, you answered by saying at least 192 and up to -- all the
- 14 way to 350. Does this -- is this valid for the entire unit, all
- 15 categories included?
- 16 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] It is not 190, it is 92. But as
- 17 time went on, the number increased, and at one point it numbered even 350
- men.
- 19 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. Ninety-two was the
- figure you started with, and the unit ended with 350 men?
- 21 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] That's right.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Good, that's very accurate.

- MR. LAWS: Thank you.
- Q. Could you turn with me, please, to P08107 [Realtime transcript
- 25 read in error "PO8178"] you have it already, I think. Good.

- 1 Is this a document that you have written and signed, Mr. Curcic?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Thank you. It's dated the 23rd of March of 1994, and it's
- 4 addressed to the Chief of the Main Staff of the Ministry of Defence of
- 5 the Republic of Croatia, General Bobetko, personally; is that right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And on the first two lines of this letter:
- 8 "We are referring to you, as our direct commander, with a request
- 9 for assistance to solve payment of personal wages to members of our
- 10 battalion."
- 11 Is that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And it goes on to talk about problems that have arisen with you
- 14 being paid, and comparing Croatian payments to HVO payments. But the
- phrase I want to look at with you, please, is this:
- 16 "We are referring to you as our direct commander."
- Was General Bobetko your direct commander?
- 18 A. Mr. Prosecutor, my direct commander -- or, rather, direct
- 19 commander Janko Bobetko was the commander of Sarlija, and through him
- down to us for the resolution of issues of status.
- 21 Q. Is it possible to answer that question "yes" or "no"? Was

- 22 Mr. Bobetko, General Bobetko, your direct commander?
- A. Mr. Prosecutor, I can give you a more extensive answer with
- 24 respect to this document, which was compiled in Vrgorac, which is a town
- 25 in the Republic of Croatia.

- 1 Q. Yes, I'm sure and I'm certain that you could do that. But I've
- 2 asked you the question whether it's possible for you to say whether
- 3 Mr. Bobetko was your direct commander, as you address him in this
- document. Was he your direct commander, Mr. Curcic?
- 5 A. Never -- Mr. Bobetko never issued any orders to us.
- 6 Q. That's a question quite different from the one that I've asked
- 7 you. Was General Bobetko your direct commander, yes or no?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Why, then, do you address him as being your direct commander? It
- 10 would strike wouldn't it? most objective readers as rather odd, to
- write someone a letter, calling them something which they know they're
- 12 not. Do you agree with me?
- 13 A. I agree with you.
- Q. So why on earth do you call him something that he isn't? Did you
- get a letter back saying, Why are you calling me your direct commander;
- what's going on down there?
- 17 A. It's like this: If you look at the subject matter, it refers to
- 18 paying out salaries to the men who came from Croatia.
- Q. We've seen that, but that doesn't alter how you address him, does
- 20 it?

- 21 A. I would kneel before him, if necessary, for me to get the
- 22 salaries for my men. But you have to know that this document was
- 23 compiled with General Daidza, who at the time was stronger than
- General Bobetko. He was more respected, more highly respected.
- 25 Q. Why are you telling us about your willingness to kneel before

- 1 him? Are you saying that this is in some way you praising him or
- 2 attempting to get into his good books by calling him your direct
- 3 commander; is that what you're saying?
- 4 A. I tried to get the salaries for my men.
- 5 Q. Why did it help you, to get your salaries, to call him by a title
- 6 that isn't his? Just help me with that. Why make up a title for him
- 7 that doesn't belong to him in order to try to get salaries? It's quite a
- 8 confusing thing to try to understand, Mr. Curcic.
- 9 A. Mr. Prosecutor, the 1st Volunteer Regiment was put up in Vrgorac.
- 10 When we went to Bosnia-Herzegovina, General Mate Sarlija stayed on in
- 11 Vrgorac. It was through General Mate Sarlija that we resolved all our
- issues of status with respect to the Croatian Army. And as you can see
- in this particular document, it was compiled in Vrgorac on the
- 14 23rd of March, not in Capljina, with the clear objective of trying to
- 15 ensure enough monies for our men. But Mr. Bobetko never commanded us.
- Q. Very well. We have your answer on that.
- 17 Would you look with me --
- 18 JUDGE TRECHSEL: Excuse me, Mr. Laws. It's just for the -- about
- 19 the record.

20 On page 26, line 2, the number of this document is indicated as 21 P08178. In my bundle, at least, it has the number P08107. 22 MR. LAWS: That is its correct number. Thank you. 23 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] Since we've already interrupted the 24 proceedings, a correction to the transcript. I don't know if it was a 25 mistake or not, but I think the witness gave the full date. I'm Page 45912 1 referring to page 28, line 16. It's still on our screens. The witness 2 said that the document was compiled in Vrgorac on the 23rd of March, I 3 believe. And I think he added "1994," but that follows from the document. So it's a good idea to have the full date there with the year, 4 5 not only the day of the month, and that's what he said. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] In any case, I was going to put 6 7 a question to you which should settle this issue. 8 This letter is addressed to General Bobetko, personally, and dated the 23rd of March, 1994. I haven't looked at the English; I only 9 10 looked at the B/C/S version. Clearly, this is a document which leaves the ministry post, 3280, which is in the purview of the Croatian Army, so 11 12 this document stems from the Croatian Army. And you explain in this 13 letter that there are problems regarding the payment of the soldiers. You even say that you have been told that from February onwards they 14 15 would have 1.200.000 dinars per soldier, which is 700.000 less than what 16 the soldiers receive in the Croatian Army. So there is a problem regarding the payment of the salaries of 17

the Croatian soldiers. And this document comes from the Croatian Army.

18

19	And we know that you are attached to the HVO. And you suggest that this
20	be paid by the district in Split or by the battle-field in the south. So
21	you were confronted with the payment of the salaries of the soldiers.
22	That said, in your mind, did this mean that the Croatian Army was
23	deployed in the Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina, or did this mean that
24	volunteers in the Croatian Army had gone to the Republic of

Bosnia and Herzegovina to serve in the HVO? What was the situation

exactly, as far as you are concerned?

THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] When talking about us, we all left as individuals to the Croatian Defence Council, 92 of us, the first lot, and then we were joined by many others in Herzegovina. We wanted, at all costs, to retain our status in the Croatian Army because of salaries and other benefits. And everything that we had to deal with, we dealt with our -- with our immediate commander, because he was the sole person responsible to deal with our needs with respect to personnel matters and financial matters. And our commander was Mate Sarlija, Daidza, our immediate commander.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General, you personally, if someone had told you that you were part of the HVO Army and you will be paid by the HVO, and your contract with the Croatian Army is terminated, would you have then gone over to the HVO or not?

THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] When we moved to the HVO, it wasn't something that was a big question. The salaries weren't that large. But in the ensuing period, it was important to retain your status in the

18 Croatian Army. But, yes, I would certainly have stayed on in the HVO to 19 fight, even were things different. 20 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I was about to interrupt you, but you have said that if someone had told you that you would be paid by 21 the HVO, "I would nonetheless have joined the HVO." That is what you're 22 23 telling us, is it? 24 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] I apologise, Your Honour, but this 25 is what I said and thought: If I had to choose either to receive a Page 45914 1 salary from Croatia, if I left the HVO, or to stay on in the HVO while 2 the fighting was going on, I would have stayed on in the HVO. 3 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Yes. JUDGE TRECHSEL: Witness, you have stated that you all went to 4 5 Herceg-Bosna voluntarily as individuals. I find it difficult to 6 reconcile this with the fact that you have here a letterhead printed, I 7 suppose, where the top line reads -- there's an abbreviation that I cannot identify, but "Samas Dama Vojna HV [phoen]." 8 9 That is not 92 individuals or something like that, that is 10 Ludvig Pavlovic, a unit. How do you reconcile? You say, We are all 11 individuals, and then you write on a letterhead of a unit, which the 12 letterhead said it's a unit of the Croatian Army. 13 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honour, this military post in 14 Vrgorac, registered by General Mate Sarlija, Daidza, and the name of the 15 unit dealt with us Croats and the Bosniaks who were with us in the 16 Kralj Tomislav unit and who made requests for having their status in

- 17 Croatia resolved.
- JUDGE TRECHSEL: Please, Mr. Laws.
- MR. LAWS: Thank you, Your Honour.
- 20 Q. Would you look with us, please, at a document that you were given
- 21 yesterday in this separate binder, which is headed "4D Documents,
- 22 Witness Dragan Curcic." Do you remember getting that? It's a bundle of
- documents you were given before I gave you my bundle. It's not that one.
- Does that say "4D" on the inside cover?
- 25 A. "4D." What number, 4D --

- 1 Q. 4D00618. And this was the organisational chart that you were
- 2 looking at yesterday, and you identified the Ludvig Pavlovic Battalion on
- 3 the left-hand side, with a dotted line leading to the Main Staff, under a
- 4 heading "Chart of the HVO Structure." Can you see that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Is this fair? To be more accurate, this chart should have a line
- 7 connecting the Ludvig Pavlovic Battalion to General Mate Sarlija?
- 8 A. Military post, military post Vrgorac, linking this, whereas this
- 9 is the Ludvig Pavlovic unit, made up of men who had come from the
- 10 Croatian Army, but also of those who joined us up and had nothing to do
- 11 with the Croatian Army.
- 12 Q. So the Ludvig Pavlovic Battalion should be subdivided, so that
- 13 the chart is clearer, with one part having a line connecting it to
- General Mate Sarlija. Would that be more accurate?
- 15 A. Mr. Prosecutor, this chart shows the special units of the

- 16 Croatian Defence Council, special-purpose units, which is where
- 17 Ludvig Pavlovic belongs, too. Now, the military post, 3280 Vrgorac, was
- 18 registered in Vrgorac in order to resolve status issues for members of
- 19 the HV from the Ludvig Pavlovic unit.
- 20 Q. Yes, thank you. The question that I'm asking you is whether it
- 21 would be more accurate for this chart to show part of the
- 22 Ludvig Pavlovic Battalion with a dotted line leading to
- 23 General Mate Sarlija, or General Bobetko, or another figure, if you like,
- 24 within the Croatian Army. That would show us wouldn't it? that the
- 25 full structure that related to the Ludvig Pavlovic Battalion?

- 1 A. No, no, it wouldn't show that. This chart shows us who can, in
- 2 operational terms, deploy the Ludvig Pavlovic unit, who can use it; and
- 3 the military post in Vrgorac serves to resolve the issues of status of
- 4 its members.
- 5 Q. All right. You were on loan from Croatia; that's what it comes
- 6 down to, isn't it? You were on loan from Croatia, being funded by
- 7 Croatia, and you were there in Herceg-Bosna to assist the HVO; that's the
- 8 long and the short of it, isn't it?
- 9 A. That's an ugly expression, "loan." All of us -- well, not all of
- 10 us, but 90 per cent of us were born in Bosnia-Herzegovina, we originated
- from there, and we went to the Republic of Croatia Voluntarily, to fight
- 12 as volunteers, both Croats and Bosniaks.
- Now, when the war began in Bosnia-Herzegovina, we felt the need
- to return, and that's what we did. We went back, and we made a large

- 15 contribution, we Croats and the Bosniaks, first of all together, jointly,
- 16 and then individually in the defence of Bosnia-Herzegovina, because it
- 17 was from our Bosniaks that the best units of the BH Army were formed.
- 18 And some members were able to resolve their status in the RH.
- 19 Q. The Ludvig Pavlovic were some of the best soldiers that the HVO
- 20 had at their disposal, weren't they? I don't mean to flatter you, but
- 21 that was the simple position, wasn't it; well trained, well armed, good
- 22 fighters?
- 23 A. Well, I do believe that that was the case, yes.
- Q. And you were a significant asset of the HVO Main Staff, were you
- 25 not?

- 1 A. We were a unit which could be deployed speedily and to effect.
- 2 Q. Yes. And you were sometimes deployed to lead an attack?
- 3 Sometimes you were deployed to lead an attack in a particular area?
- 4 A. Wherever we took part in attack operations, we were the first.
- 5 Q. Yes. And sometimes you were deployed in response to difficulties
- 6 that had arisen. Where the enemy had gained ground or made advances,
- 7 they'd call in the Ludvig Pavlovic to fill the gap, wouldn't they?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Sometimes reinforcing other HVO units that had not found it easy
- 10 to hold their own or had met unexpected opposition; that's the position,
- 11 isn't it?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. All right. I want to turn, then, to consider Mostar and your

- unit's involvement in Mostar in May of 1993. Can you just help us with
- 15 the day that you were first deployed to Mostar? Was it on Sunday,
- the 9th of May, or Monday, the 10th of May?
- 17 A. I don't know whether it was Sunday. Well, I think it was
- 18 the 9th, and all the documents indicate that it was the 9th.
- 19 Q. The Vranica building fell on the 10th, and you were there when
- that happened, weren't you?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So had you been deployed the day before that and spent the night
- 23 in Mostar?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So you'd gone there on the 9th, and what had you done on the

- 1 9th of May? What action had the Ludvig Pavlovic Brigade been involved
- 2 in?
- 3 A. Combat action.
- 4 Q. Combat action in Mostar. Whereabouts?
- A. Around the Vranica building, the School of Economics, around the
- 6 Rondo.
- 7 Q. And you were to be engaged in combat around the Vranica building
- 8 the following day as well, weren't you?
- 9 A. The combat action went on continuously until the Vranica building
- 10 was taken control of.
- 11 Q. Yes. And the Vranica building was the headquarters of the
- 12 4th Corps, and, in particular, the basement of that building was theirs,

- 13 wasn't it?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. It was not was it? a building of otherwise strategic
- 16 importance. It didn't command a significant view over Mostar, unlike,
- for example, the hills around Mostar; would that be right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Thank you. And that's where you were deployed -- the best troops
- 20 were deployed to attack the Army of the Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina in
- 21 its headquarters in order to take it; that's the position, isn't it?
- 22 A. I arrived down there not to attack, but to prevent their attack.
- 23 Q. Well, their attack, I'm going to suggest to you, was nothing but
- 24 a response to yours. And you were attacking, largely, a building in
- 25 which the basement was the most heavily occupied part. That's the

- position, isn't it?
- 2 A. Are you suggesting that or claiming that?
- 3 Q. Well, that's what you were doing. You were attacking the Vranica
- 4 building. The forces of the ABiH were concentrated in the basement,
- 5 weren't they?
- 6 A. My first clash started at the approach to the Vranica building,
- 7 and the conflict with Vranica started when they started shooting at us
- 8 from the Vranica building. At the entrance to the command, there were
- 9 two bunkers, and fire was opened from there. And we already faced each
- 10 other with a group that was infiltrated along the Rondo area.
- 11 Q. As to who had started the fighting that day, you told the

- 12 Trial Chamber, in the case in which you gave evidence previously, that
- 13 you didn't know because you had arrived too late to see for yourself but
- 14 could only say what you'd been told; is that right?
- 15 A. That's right.
- Q. And you said to us yesterday that you thought you had arrived at
- 17 about 6.30. It may matter not very much at all, but I want to just be
- 18 clear. You said, in the last -- on the last occasion on which you
- 19 testified, that you arrived between 7.00 and as late as 8.00 a.m. that
- 20 morning.
- MR. LAWS: And the reference is page 13.104 of the transcript,
- 22 which is available in a separate binder, but it may be the witness knows
- 23 that that's what he said. Perhaps he's had a chance to look at that
- 24 transcript.
- 25 Q. As late as 8.00 that morning was the time that you gave. Do you

- 1 remember that?
- 2 A. Yes, I do remember my statement on that occasion.
- 3 Q. It's up to an hour and a half later than what you told us
- 4 yesterday, isn't it?
- 5 A. Your Honours, might I be allowed to explain? If a planned -- if
- 6 it's a planned operation, then there is a plan of attack, and then I
- 7 would certainly be able to remember all that. But this way, it is
- 8 obvious that I intervened, and that is why I cannot say with any
- 9 certainty what the exact time was. That's why there might be some
- 10 deviations there.

```
11
         Q. Well, we appreciate --
12
              MS. TOMANOVIC: [Interpretation] Just a moment, please. I'm going
13
      to have to correct the transcript once again to avoid some
14
      misunderstanding between the Prosecutor and the witness.
               On page 37, line 6, the witness said, "If the operation had been
15
      planned, I would certainly be able to remember the time."
16
17
               And in the record, it says:
18
               "... to remember all that."
               So just to remember the time. The witness can say if I'm right
19
     or not.
20
               JUDGE TRECHSEL: Witness, have you listened to what Ms. Tomanovic
21
22
      has just said? She was asking you to confirm what you have said exactly,
23
      whether you said "remember all that" or whether you said "remember the
      time."
24
               THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honour, I said "the time."
25
                                      Page 45921
 1
              JUDGE TRECHSEL: Thank you.
 2
               JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Let's have the break now,
      because it is 10.30.
 3
               I would like to tell Mr. Laws that he has 29 minutes left.
 5
                             --- Recess taken at 10.29 a.m.
 6
                             --- On resuming at 10.50 a.m.
 7
               JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Court is back in session.
               Mr. Registrar, you have the floor. I believe you have a couple
 8
 9
      of IC numbers for us.
```

- 10 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you, Your Honour.
- 11 The Praljak Defence has submitted its objections to documents
- 12 tendered by the Prosecution through Witness Vlado Sakic. This list shall
- be given Exhibit IC1079. And the Prosecution has also submitted its
- 14 objections to documents tendered by the Praljak Defence through the same
- 15 witness. This list shall be given Exhibit IC1080. Thank you,
- 16 Your Honours.
- 17 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you, Registrar.
- Mr. Laws, you have the floor.
- 19 MR. LAWS: Thank you, Mr. President.
- 20 Q. Mr. Curcic, would you turn, please, to P02240. It's the sixth
- 21 document in from the beginning of the bundle. It's a document we've
- 22 already looked at in a different context earlier this morning. Do you
- have that document?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Signed by Miljenko Lasic. It's an order dated the

- 9th of May of 1993, which says that given the developments in the town of
- 2 Mostar, an order is being given for a unit of the HVO 4th Stjepan
- 3 Radic Brigade from Ljubuski should get up at 5.30 on the 10th of May of
- 4 1993. Is that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And: "Commanders from the Ludvig Pavlovic unit should deploy the
- 7 unit at 600 hours."
- 8 And then, number 3, that:

- 9 "A unit from the 4th Brigade is to be subordinated to" -- is that
- 10 you? "Commander Dragan from the Ludvig Pavlovic unit."
- 11 It looks like it is you.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you're going to be held responsible for implementing the
- order. So on the 9th of May, the Ludvig Pavlovic units were certainly
- part of the battle plan for the city of Mostar; do you agree with me?
- 16 A. Mr. Prosecutor, you said here "in the city of Mostar and pursuant
- 17 to an action for the defence of the city." Already on the 9th or as
- early as the 9th, I was already deployed for the -- to defend the city.
- 19 Q. Yes, that's right, and plans are being made for further units to
- 20 be subordinated to you on the following day, aren't they? That's what
- 21 this order is all about?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Thank you. Reinforcements are being called in, more troops are
- 24 being called in, in order to win the battle. That's what it comes down
- 25 to, isn't it?

- 1 A. Well, it is obvious that the Croatian Defence Council troops were
- 2 not enough to defend Mostar, and that is why they were calling for other
- 3 units to assist.
- 4 Q. And whether it's enough troops to defend Mostar or enough troops
- 5 to successfully attack and capture Mostar, other people will have to
- 6 decide. But your deployment, if you were deployed early on the morning
- 7 of the 9th of May, that would be completely consistent with other

- 8 occasions when the Ludvig Pavlovic unit had been deployed to shore up an
- 9 offensive to make good an HVO operation that had been started, wouldn't
- 10 it? That had happened to you several times in the conflict?
- 11 A. No, Mr. Prosecutor. The -- an example that would be most like
- this one would be on the 13th of July, when, in early-morning hours,
- again we were called upon to go and prevent this attack. In -- during an
- 14 attack, my unit would have preparations, as in this operation, which
- 15 would take a bit longer because you would have to conduct reconnaissance,
- organise, and so on.
- Q. Very well. You were fighting alongside the Convicts Battalion,
- 18 were you not --
- 19 A. No.
- Q. -- in Mostar on the 9th and 10th of May?
- 21 A. We were not fighting alongside or we were not fighting together.
- Q. And the Bruno Busic Battalion were also deployed, were they not?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- Q. All right. Let's look at PO4 --
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General, I have a question for

- 1 you from this document, and I thank Mr. Laws for having put a question to
- you on this document because it's essential for me.
- 3 This document is written by Miljenko Lasic. It's dated May 9th.
- We don't know at what time, but during the day of May 9. And in this
- 5 document, he's asking for you to deploy your unit at 6.00 a.m. The
- 6 4th Stjepan Radic Brigade will be subordinated to your unit -- to you.

7 And this brigade is supposed to be there as of 5.30 a.m. on May 10; not

on May 9, but the next day, on May 10. Please look at the reference on

this document. There is a number, "03-0403-1/93." Do you see this

10 reference?

11 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Yes, Your Honour.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Let's assume, and we're assuming, that the HVO did prepare an attack. Preparations must have started on May 8th, maybe even May 7th or May 6th. According to you, do you think that in the log-book, with this reference number 402, 401, 399, 398, don't you think that these documents would refer to an attack order, militarily-wise? Here we have an order 403, but before that, in the log-book, there must be 402, 401, and so forth. So if Lasic is actually preparing this attack, shouldn't we be able to trace the order of attack in the log-book?

THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, I don't know, Your Honour, how the administrative markings worked, but in the military sense it was important whether this was an order or a decision and what it states in the preamble. But as for the numbering and the records, anything I would say about that would be speculation on my part.

# Page 45925

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. You are saying that there's always an explanation to an order that you can find in an order, and we do have this explanation in this order that we have here on the screen. There were developments in the town of Mostar in accordance with the action to defend the town, "I issue the following order." I did note

- 6 this. And when Mr. Laws was putting the question to you, I was wondering
- 7 the following: I was wondering whether this order was an order following
- 8 an attack order. But if this had been the case, it should have said, In
- 9 accordance with the order to attack, I ask you to do this or that.
- 10 Shouldn't it be mentioned?
- 11 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honours, at the beginning of
- 12 this order, we see that it says:
- 13 "Given the developments in the town of Mostar and in accordance
- with the action to defend the town."
- Nowhere does it say an action to attack. It says here "to defend
- 16 the town," literally.
- 17 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Laws.
- 18 MR. LAWS:
- 19 Q. It all depends what you mean by "defending," doesn't it? Those
- 20 words don't mean that the HVO is resisting an ABiH attack in the
- 21 slightest, do they? The HVO regarded Mostar as its capital, didn't it?
- 22 Didn't it?
- 23 A. Well, I've read what's written here, "In accordance with the
- 24 action to defend the town," so I can't really speculate about that.
- 25 Q. Now, I asked you a moment ago about whether you fought side by

- 1 side with the Convicts Battalion. You said you didn't. But they were
- 2 involved in the fighting, and you saw Tuta that day, didn't you?
- 3 A. Yes, they were involved in the fighting.
- 4 Q. And the second part of the question: You saw Tuta that day,

- 5 didn't you?
- A. I'm not sure whether that was on the 9th or the 10th when I saw
- 7 him.
- 8 Q. Very well. In the course of those two days, you saw Tuta, and he
- 9 was part of the fighting in Mostar? Are we agreed on that?
- 10 A. No, I did not see him in combat. I saw him outside the ministry
- 11 building.
- 12 Q. Well, do you agree with me that one of the topics that you came
- 13 to testify about for him, when called in his defence, was that he was not
- 14 commanding troops in Mostar on the 9th/10th of May?
- 15 A. Well, you didn't put that question to me, whether he was
- 16 commanding. You said that he was fighting.
- 17 Q. I didn't put that question to you, and I asked whether you
- 18 recalled that that was a topic that you testified about that, the topic
- that his Defence wanted to try to establish through you; namely, that
- 20 Tuta was not a commander. Do you remember that you gave evidence to that
- 21 effect?
- 22 A. I do remember that, Mr. Prosecutor, but, in fact, the question
- 23 that you put to me was whether Tuta fought, and I told you that he
- 24 didn't, that I saw him outside the ministry building. Now, if you ask me
- 25 some other questions, I'll gladly answer them.

- 1 Q. Thank you. I've asked you two separate questions: one about
- 2 whether you saw Tuta fighting; one whether it's right that you gave
- 3 evidence on behalf of him that he was not a commander of troops. And

- 4 have you taken the trouble to read what the Trial Chamber made of that
- 5 assertion, that Tuta was not a commander? Have you had a look at the
- 6 judgement to see whether they thought you were right about that?
- 7 A. No, I didn't, Mr. Prosecutor.
- 8 Q. Well, I can help you. It's at paragraph 146 of the judgement,
- 9 and they reject your testimony that Naletilic did not command any units
- 10 involved in the attack on Mostar on the 9th and 10th of May, 1993, as
- 11 this evidence is inconsistent with the testimonies of the numerous other
- 12 witnesses. All right? In other words, they do not accept what you said
- 13 to them; they reject it. Do you follow me?
- 14 MR. KHAN: Your Honour, with the greatest of respect --
- THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Yes.
- MR. KHAN: -- these comments, in relation to my friend's last
- 17 question, is quite appropriate, quite appropriate and permissible at the
- 18 stage of closing speeches or written submissions; but as far as
- 19 cross-examination is concerned, it's my respectful submission that it's
- 20 inappropriate to put to the witness what the view of his testimony was by
- 21 another Trial Chamber.
- 22 Of course, what's important is not for this Trial Chamber to
- 23 abdicate its fact-finding responsibilities to that of another
- 24 Trial Chamber, but it falls for Your Honours to make an independent
- 25 determination as to the evidence this witness gives, what's in all

- 1 credibility or otherwise. So in that respect, it's my submission that
- 2 the question put forward by my learned friend is not relevant in the

- 3 present context.
- 4 MR. LAWS: May I reply to that?
- 5 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] You will reply in a minute,
- 6 Mr. Laws, but personally I want to say something.
- 7 The conclusions drawn by another Trial Chamber do not commit us.
- 8 It's not because another Trial Chamber has drawn a conclusion that this
- 9 Chamber will draw the same conclusion.
- 10 What is important, Witness, is the following: The Prosecutor is
- 11 telling you that you said that the commander of the Convicts Battalion
- 12 was Andabak, but that the Naletilic Trial Chamber did not admit your
- version of the facts. And the Prosecutor is thus asking you, by quoting
- 14 the judgement, whether you maintain your position or not. That's it, in
- a nutshell. So what's your take on this?
- 16 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honours, I still -- I remain
- 17 at the same position. I --
- 18 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Laws, you wanted to reply?
- 19 You have the floor.
- MR. LAWS: Only to say that when a Trial Chamber has assessed a
- 21 witness's evidence on a particular topic, measured against the other
- 22 available evidence, and given a very clear conclusion, that carries some
- 23 weight and is an entirely appropriate matter to use to impeach a
- 24 witness's credit. What weight it has is for the Trial Chamber to
- determine, but to say that it has no weight and can't be asked is, in my

- 2 So let's move on.
- 3 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. I agree with you.
- 4 Please continue.
- 5 MR. LAWS: Thank you.
- 6 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] I do have a reply to my learned
- 7 colleague.
- 8 It is my view that a judgement cannot be used as evidence, that
- 9 it cannot be presented as another evidence. At least that is what the
- 10 translation was referring to. It says that the Prosecutor, in order to
- impeach a witness, may use a judgement. But a judgement of this Tribunal
- 12 may not be used as evidence in cross-examination or when attempting to
- impeach a witness and undermine their credibility.
- 14 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] The Trial Chamber will
- 15 deliberate on this -- on these matters. I believe that it's not up to us
- 16 to rule on this right now. We have heard everything, and we will now
- 17 continue.
- 18 MR. LAWS:
- 19 Q. The reality of the position is this: that you were prepared to
- 20 come and give evidence for Tuta because you were loyal to him. You were
- 21 loyal to him, having fought with him, and owing him, in your view, that
- loyalty borne of combat. That's the position, isn't it?
- 23 A. Mr. Prosecutor, if you knew things, you would know that
- Mr. Tuta Naletilic and I are neither friends we certainly aren't good
- 25 friends nor am I loyal to him, nor has he ever ordered anything to me.

- 1 But the main fact is that we are not friends, and I do not owe him any
- loyalty. And this is something that you can easily verify.
- 3 Q. You have remained loyal to what I'm going to call the
- 4 Herceg-Bosna project for many years after the end of the conflict,
- 5 haven't you?
- 6 A. No, Mr. Prosecutor. I have remained loyal to the Croatian
- 7 people. Everything that is in the interests of the Croatian people, I'm
- 8 ready to be loyal to, and I am.
- 9 Q. And you became, as you told us yesterday, the senior HVO
- 10 representative in the federation army; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. It was not a post that went particularly smoothly for you, was
- 13 it?
- 14 A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. All right. Well, let's look at a few of the highlights of the
- 16 years in which you occupied that post.
- Would you turn, please, to P11061. It's six documents in from
- 18 the back of the binder. From -- no, from the back. 11061.
- 19 This is an extract from a report by -- the whole of a report from
- 20 the BBC, dated the 29th of July of 1996. It cites its source as
- 21 Croat Radio Herceg-Bosna in Mostar, and it's an excerpt from a report by
- 22 Bosnian Croat Radio on the 26th of July. And you can read for yourself
- 23 what it says, Mr. Curcic.
- 24 A. I've read it.
- 25 Q. Does the article have it right that new recruits swore an oath of

- 1 allegiance to Herceg-Bosna in 1996 with you present?
- 2 A. I really don't know, Mr. Prosecutor. I was present.
- 3 Q. Well, try and help us. Did they swear an oath of allegiance at
- 4 the military base in Capljina, as it says in the second paragraph,
- 5 promising to carry out the Croat soldiers' duties with honour, defend the
- 6 country, their Croat people, and all citizens of the self-proclaimed
- 7 Croat Republic of Herceg-Bosna? Did they take an oath of that kind?
- 8 A. Well, I cannot tell you with certainty. I was present. But if
- 9 they swore allegiance, I don't see anything wrong with that, if that is
- 10 the case, as they claim here. But I really can't remember what that oath
- of allegiance -- what the wording was at the time. And if you have the
- 12 text of the oath with you, perhaps it would be a good idea to see it, the
- oath of allegiance of 1996.
- 14 Q. You don't need the text of the oath of allegiance to be able to
- tell us whether that paragraph has it broadly right. Not the exact
- words, but is it broadly right that they, for example, pledged to defend
- the country, the Croat people, and all citizens of the Croat Republic Of
- 18 Herceg-Bosna? That's all I'm asking you. Is that the sort of thing that
- 19 happened in 1996?
- 20 A. Mr. Prosecutor, it is certain that there must be at least five
- 21 different versions of the text of the oath of allegiance. I don't know
- 22 which one would have been used here. If this was the one that was used,
- 23 I agree, but I really don't know, and I cannot confirm this. But we can
- 24 easily get a copy from some organs, but I really cannot know exactly

25 whether it was the Croatian Republic of Herceg-Bosna, or Herceg-Bosna, or

- 1 whatever it said in the text.
- 2 Q. In any of the five versions that you are familiar with, was such
- 3 an oath included? Is that a yes-or-no answer?
- 4 A. No, Mr. Prosecutor. There was a Croatian Community of
- 5 Herceg-Bosna; the Croatian Republic of Herceg-Bosna; there was the
- 6 federation army, that was a separate oath; and now we have the
- 7 Armed Forces of Bosnia and Herzegovina.
- 8 Q. Would you turn over, please, two documents to P11063.
- 9 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Witness, the date of
- July 29, 1996, well, I'm trying to place this in relation to the
- Washington Agreements. In July 1996, was the conflict over?
- 12 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Yes, Your Honour.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] The conflict is over. Why is
- 14 it that in the attendance list there are no representative of the
- Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina? They're not mentioned. Why is that?
- 16 There is mention of the Republic of Herceg-Bosna, of HVO, of the
- 17 Croatian Army, of municipalities, of the parents, relatives, but there's
- 18 no official representative from the Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina. Is
- 19 it because the journalist forgot to mention it, or, as far as you
- 20 recollect, were they not invited, or maybe they declined to come? They
- 21 did come, I don't know.
- 22 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honours, they did not come.
- 23 At that time and up until 2000 something, whenever there were

- celebrations of the Croatian Defence Council, there were representatives
- from the ministry, Croats; but when there were some celebrations of the

- 1 BH Army, there were only representatives from the ministry, Bosniak
- 2 representatives. And only after 2001 or 2002 did it come about that both
- 3 the sides would attend the events of either partner. And today, all
- 4 three sides actually attend, because the Serb part also became part of
- 5 the federation. But at this time, immediately following the war, this
- 6 was not the case.
- 7 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I will ask you a difficult
- 8 question. You might not remember. But regarding this ceremony for new
- 9 soldiers, did you invite the BH Army, and they would have declined to
- 10 come, but did you invite them; yes or no?
- 11 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] We did not invite them; they did
- not invite us. We did not attend their events; they did not attend ours.
- 13 This only came about after joint institutions were set up.
- 14 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you.
- MR. LAWS: Thank you.
- 16 Q. Would you turn, from the document you've been looking at just
- 17 now, 11061, another page to 11062. We'll look at that one first. It's
- 18 another document in time. It's another report from the BBC, and it
- 19 apparently comes from a news agency in Zagreb. And it's another short
- 20 report under the heading "USA Reportedly Wants Sacking of Croat
- Opponents." Just have a read of those two paragraphs.
- 22 A. I am reading document 11063?

- Q. No, 062. It's good that you've read that, we're coming to that
- 24 as well, but 062.
- 25 A. [In English] Okay. [Interpretation] I've read it.

- 1 Q. Thank you. It concerns the delays that had occurred in
- 2 establishing the federation army. Is the report right, that the Muslims
- 3 wanted integrated units and that the HVO did not?
- 4 A. It is true in the sense where it's not explained that they wanted
- 5 to have integrated units, but in which they would be the dominant
- 6 partner.
- 7 Q. Very well. Was the United States government right to blame you
- 8 and others for these delays?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Thank you. Then turn the page, please, to 11063. That's --
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Witness, you're answering very
- quickly, but the US **government or any** -- when the US government or any
- government says something, they don't say it lightly. They usually have
- 14 first-hand information. And here you are being challenged directly.
- In this document, it says that you are being -- that you're
- against the creation of this federal army. You are being personally
- 17 challenged. According to you, are the Americans wrong? Were they poorly
- informed? How can you explain that the US government is blaming you
- 19 directly, but today you're saying that it's not true? How can you
- 20 explain this?
- 21 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honour, there are many

documents and decisions where my work is applauded because I participated
in the programme called "Train and Exercise," and for the work in the

Federation; but these events came about at the time when the Croatian

self-determination was attempted, so a large number of Croat

- 1 representatives were sacked, also the Croatian member of the Presidency,
- 2 as well as some other things that occurred then. And at that time, I
- 3 left the army, but Mr. Prce, and I participated in a very constructive
- 4 manner in the programme "Equip and Train" and in the establishment of a
- 5 joint force. As for this opinion that is put forward here, I really
- 6 can't say anything about it. I don't know what the reason for that is.
- 7 MR. LAWS:
- 8 Q. Well, let's look at the following year, then. If you turn the
- 9 page again to 11063, the document that I think you were reading a few
- 10 moments ago, in this document we learn that some 2.000 Bosnian Croat
- 11 soldiers had deserted the Bosnian Muslim Croat Federation in support of
- 12 Croat separatists. They paraded at a local stadium in Mostar in a new
- act of defiance, and that you reviewed the troops. They were unarmed,
- 14 but wore the uniforms, minus the insignia of the Muslim Croat Federation.
- 15 Does that accurately record the events of May the 11th of 2001, when you
- 16 reviewed those troops?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Thank you. If you come down the page, we have a paragraph which
- 19 says:
- "Most of the seven and a half thousand Croat troops serving with

- 21 the Muslim Croat Federations Army deserted in mid-March, siding with
- 22 Bosnian Croat nationalists who proclaimed temporary autonomy within
- 23 Bosnia."
- And then it goes on to say that over a quarter of them have since
- gone back.

- 1 You were allied to those who wanted to achieve autonomy within
- 2 Bosnia for Herceg-Bosna, weren't you?
- A. I was with the majority. As you can see here, it's the majority.
- 4 And throughout the time, we fought for the rights of the Croatian people.
- 5 And as for the other matters, that's a bit more general, and I can't
- 6 speak to that because I'm not a politician. But there was an attempt at
- 7 this time to abrogate -- to cut back on some rights of the Croatian
- 8 people, and of course --
- 9 THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter would appreciate the witness
- 10 repeating the last portion of his reply.
- 11 JUDGE PRANDLER: Mr. Curcic, the interpreters asked you to repeat
- 12 the second part of your answer. Please repeat it. Thank you.
- 13 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, as it says here, I sided with
- a huge majority that wanted to protect the Croatian people. And they
- 15 wanted the protection of the Croatian people within the Federation of
- 16 Bosnia-Herzegovina.
- MR. LAWS:
- 18 Q. And if you turn over to P11064, a report by AFP from the
- 19 15th of March of 2001, please take a moment to read that, Mr. Curcic.

- 20 A. I've read it.
- 21 Q. It quotes Ante Jelavic as having some comments to make about
- 22 Croat self-rule. He was an associate of yours, was he not?
- 23 A. Ante Jelavic was a member of the Presidency. He was the supreme
- 24 commander.
- Q. Did you ally yourself with him, politically?

- 1 A. No. I allied with the people. As you can see here, I offered my
- 2 resignation because I wanted to remain loyal to the Croatian people.
- 3 Q. You're right, that is recorded there, that you wanted to remain
- 4 loyal to the Croatian people. And in your view, did Mr. Jelavic want the
- 5 same as you, to remain loyal to the Croatian people?
- 6 A. Mr. Jelavic was tried in Sarajevo for these things, and you can
- 7 see the judgement.
- 8 Q. Well, that doesn't, with respect to you, quite answer the
- 9 question that I just asked. Did Mr. Jelavic want the same as you, to
- 10 remain loyal to the Croatian people?
- MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] I apologise.
- 12 Witness, perhaps you can ask him -- counsel, I apologise, but I
- 13 believe that this answer will lead to further unnecessary questions. But
- 14 the witness clearly said in Croatian that Jelavic -- or, I apologise.
- 15 That was on page 53, line 23, that Jelavic had been tried in Sarajevo and
- 16 acquitted, and this did not enter the transcript. So Jelavic was
- acquitted, and that is not something that was reflected in the
- 18 transcript.

- 19 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Well, there is a correction that I
- 20 have to make here. Jelavic was not acquitted, but he was tried.
- 21 MR. LAWS:
- 22 Q. What was the outcome of the Jelavic trial for him?
- 23 A. I don't know that. I believe Ms. Nozica would be better
- 24 acquainted with that because she was one of the participants in the
- 25 proceedings.

- 1 Q. It doesn't matter for our purposes at all what he was tried for
- and why, what the outcome was. What he was saying and doing is what I'm
- 3 interested in. And I want to know if you shared his views and shared his
- 4 aspirations for autonomy, for an autonomous entity in Bosnia. Is that
- 5 what you wanted in 2001, Mr. Curcic?
- 6 A. Mr. Prosecutor, I was always on the side of the Croatian people,
- 7 loyal to them. Mr. Jelavic was a politician. And as to his conduct, I
- 8 cannot have any final opinion about that myself.
- 9 Q. Now, had I asked you about his conduct, that would be an answer.
- Just -- I'll read it to you again. I want to know if you shared his
- 11 views and shared his aspirations for autonomy, for an autonomous entity
- in Bosnia. Is that what you wanted in 2001, Mr. Curcic, an autonomous
- 13 entity in Bosnia?
- 14 A. If there were the possibility of having a state for the Croatian
- 15 people, then that's what I would like best, but there isn't. And you
- 16 keep asking me the same thing, whereas in this interview I offered my
- 17 resignation -- I am answering. I said what I would like and to whom I

- $\,$  was loyal and am loyal, the Croatian people and their interests. And I
- 19 make no mention of any -- well, the kind of things that Mr. Jelavic is
- 20 talking about. I can say what my wishes are, and I wish the Croats all
- 21 the best, to have a state which would be the richest in the world, but it
- is impossible under the present conditions or under those conditions.
- 23 Q. And it was what you were fighting for in 1993 as well, wasn't it?
- A. For what?
- Q. You know what I mean. For an independent state within Bosnia for

- 1 Croats. That's what you were fighting for then, and that's what you've
- 2 told us you would still like now, if you could do it.
- 3 A. Mr. Prosecutor, had the objectives of the war been defined of any
- 4 of the ethnic groups in Bosnia-Herzegovina when the war began, that is,
- 5 then that war would not have been that bloody or that brutal. However,
- 6 the objectives, even if they existed, I did not know of them. But under
- 7 number 1, we had the protection of my nation, of which I am a member.
- 8 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Witness, you are not listening
- 9 to the questions that are being put to you. The Prosecutor is putting to
- 10 you a crucial question. He is telling you that you fought for an
- 11 independent state. You need to answer this question, say either yes or
- 12 no. And there is no answer for the time being.
- 13 Let me pick up on the Prosecutor's question. Did you fight for
- an independent state?
- THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honour, I fought for my own
- 16 people. For what state, the Croats, the Bosniaks, which?

17	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] You fought for your people.
18	Explain this to me, then. You resigned, and you told us why. When a
19	multi-ethnic army was being set up together with Serbs, Croats, and
20	Muslims, and you then resign. I find it difficult to understand why you
21	resigned.
22	THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honour, no. At the time,

THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honour, no. At the time, there were no Serbs there integrated into the joint armed forces, only the Bosniaks and Croats or, rather, component parts of the HVO and the BH Army. It was only in 2005, or whenever, was this integrated into the

# Page 45940

- armed forces of Bosnia-Herzegovina, where we had the members of the Army of Republika Srpska as well.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you for having specified
  that. But at the time you resigned, an army was being set up that
  comprised both the Croats and the Muslims, and we know, because we have
  heard evidence on this, sometimes you were fighting alongside the Serbs.

  This army is, therefore, being set up. Why do you leave? That is what
  the Prosecutor is trying to elicit from you. Why did you leave?

Did you leave because this didn't coincide with the idea you had of the political institutions that were about to be set up, because you wanted an independent state which you weren't getting, so that's why you resigned; or you left for another reason? The Prosecutor is trying to find out why, like the Bench is, for that matter, as well. We're all trying to understand why it is you left. And you are the person concerned here because you are the person who resigned.

16 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honour, I was one of the 17 people who established the army of the Federation from 1997 up until the time when I left Sarajevo, and I was certainly one of the most deserving 18 people in building up a joint army of the Federation during those four or 19 20 five years, which was the time I spent in Sarajevo. 21 Now, when the electoral laws were passed which were contrary to 22 the interests of the Croatian people, then all the structures and all the people, all the Croats in Bosnia-Herzegovina, stood up, arose, because by 23 24 those new electoral laws we would have lost our constituent character. 25 And that's when the whole Croatian entity and soul started -- was stirred Page 45941 1 up. 2 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] If I understand correctly, 3 because -- this new electoral law triggered your resignation. Why didn't 4 you say it right from the start? 5 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] I said that the electoral law was unfavorable, as far as the Croats were concerned. 6 7 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Laws, you have 3 minutes 8 left. 9 MR. LAWS: Thank you, Mr. President. 10 Q. Not all Croats shared your view, did they? 11 That's right. Α. You can't possibly claim to speak for all Croats. 12 Q. 13 Α. I didn't claim that, either. I'm speaking on behalf of the 14 majority, as it says here in this report.

- 15 Q. And your goal, as you've told us, was autonomy?
- 16 A. Our goal was to enable equal rights to the Croats as the other
- 17 two nations or ethnic groups in Bosnia-Herzegovina enjoyed.
- 18 Q. Well, you agreed that you expressed a preference for the solution
- 19 of an independent Croat entity a few moments ago. Do you want to change
- 20 your mind about that?
- 21 A. No. I said it in the sense of wishes, if wishes could be --
- 22 could come true, could be fulfilled.
- Q. And the end game, Mr. Curcic, wasn't just to have a part of
- 24 Bosnia-Herzegovina that was Croatian, but to join up with Croatia.
- 25 That's the bottom line here, isn't it? That's the truth, isn't it?

- 1 A. Well, I can't always understand you, in your efforts and
- 2 endeavours, to know what I'm thinking better than I do, myself.
- 3 Q. You've been heavily involved in a movement for many years, and
- 4 I'm putting to you what its final goal was. To join up with the
- 5 paymasters, the people who were paying your wages all the time you were
- 6 travelling around Bosnia, fighting against the legitimate army of that
- 7 country, that's the goal, isn't it?
- 8 A. Mr. Prosecutor, if there was the possibility, if the possibility
- 9 existed, then all Croats would like to see that, but there is no
- 10 realistic possibility for that within the frameworks of all the events
- 11 that were happening. So the reality is Bosnia-Herzegovina, with respect
- for all ethnic groups and giving all ethnic groups and nations the same
- 13 rights.

- Now, at that time it was the vital interests of the Croatian

  nation that were under threat. It's not only I who say that; the media

  wrote about it and talked about it. And just as these people are writing

  bad things about us, so also there were positive writings saying that

  some rights of the Croatian people should be fulfilled, and that's the
- Q. One of the obstacles in the way of you achieving that goal back
  in 1993 was the fact that the area that had proclaimed itself as

  Herceg-Bosna had a very substantial Muslim population. That was the

  problem back then, wasn't it?
- 24 A. I don't understand the problem.
  - Q. The problem with achieving your desired solution of breaking away

- from the republic and joining Croatia was that that was not a solution
- 2 that attracted the substantial Muslim population of Herceg-Bosna. That
- 3 was the problem, wasn't it?

truth of it.

19

25

- 4 A. No, Mr. Prosecutor. The problem -- the general problem in 1993
- 5 was that there were many enclaves, Croatian enclaves, in
- 6 Bosnia-Herzegovina; that is to say, the area controlled by the Croats was
- 7 separated, they didn't have any connection between them. And that was
- 8 the major problem. Now, as far as the Muslim population is concerned, I
- 9 told you how many remained in Mostar. I don't know the exact number, but
- 10 I do know that a large number did.
- 11 Q. And I'm going to suggest to you that the solution to that problem
- 12 that was adopted, for example, in Capljina was simply rounding them up at

13 gunpoint, putting them on buses, and shipping them out to areas that you 14 didn't claim. That was the solution that was adopted, and it was a 15 solution you knew full well about, as your letter about Fatima Klepo makes clear. That's the truth, isn't it, Mr. Curcic? 16 17 Α. I wanted to help Ms. Klepo. Now, what you say, you keep saying that the truth is that I know, I knew. I don't know. What I knew and 18 19 what I know is what I told you. 20 MR. LAWS: Thank you. I have no other questions. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So redirect. As far as the 21 Praljak Defence is concerned, do you have any redirect for this witness? 22 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] Thank you, Your Honour. 23 Yes, we do. I'm going to have more corrections to the 24 25 transcript. I didn't want to interrupt the cross-examination. So I have Page 45944 two questions, and Mr. Praljak also has some questions related to 1 military matters. 2 3 Re-examination by Ms. Pinter: [Interpretation] Now, General, I'd like to start off with the 4 Q. 5 following. While we still have the page on our screens, I'd like to ask you the following. The Prosecutor's question was formulated in the 6 7 following way. He said: You travelled around Bosnia and you fought against the legitimate 8 army of that country, and that was your goal, to fight against the 9 10 legitimate army of the country? 11 Is that true and correct?

- 12 A. I didn't hear that. The legitimate army, well, the legitimate
- army was the HVO which, according to all the laws in Bosnia-Herzegovina,
- 14 was confirmed, because the same rights were realised by members of the
- 15 HVO and the BH Army. But I didn't hear him ask the question that way.
- 16 Q. Well, perhaps not, but it was recorded on page 58,
- 17 lines 19 to 23.
- 18 Similarly, in yesterday's transcript your answer was recorded,
- 19 and as you were gesticulating while you were giving your answer, the
- 20 transcript isn't quite clear in that portion. So I'd like to focus on
- 21 page 37, lines 5 to 10, and it relates to a question that Ms. Alaburic
- put to you linked to documents 4D0618 and P7419.
- Now, my question to you, linked to those two documents, is the
- 24 following: The ATG Tvrtko, the ATG from Livno, and other ATGs, were they
- within the same structure as Ludvig Pavlovic?

- 1 A. The Tvrtko ATG was not, nor was Livno in the same structure.
- 2 Q. So what units, along with Ludvig Pavlovic, were in the same
- 3 structure?
- 4 A. In the same structure, you had Bruno Busic, the Vitezovi,
- 5 Ludvig Pavlovic, the Convicts Battalion of 92, and the Baja Kraljevic
- 6 ATG.
- 7 Q. Thank you. Then on page 100, line 1, of yesterday's transcript,
- 8 your answer was recorded. I think it was wrongly recorded, but let me
- 9 ask you the question because I don't want to suggest an answer to you.
- 10 Ludvig Pavlovic, your unit, had under its control a large

- territory of the HZ-HB or was active over a large territory of HZ-HB;
- 12 which?
- 13 A. My unit was of the manoeuvre type, and it acted over a large
- 14 territory. It did not control.
- 15 Q. Thank you. And once again a correction to today's transcript,
- your answer recorded on page 61, line 19 and 20. The Convicts Battalion
- 17 was within the structure of -- when?
- 18 A. The Convicts Battalion was in the structure in 1992.
- 19 Q. Thank you. Now, I'd like to ask you to look at --
- 20 MS. PINTER: Or perhaps the Usher can put P02240, the next
- 21 document, on the overhead projector.
- Q. We discussed the document several times today. It's an order
- 23 stating that a unit from the 4th HVO Brigade, on the 10th of May, should
- 24 be -- should get up and be awakened at 5.30. Does that mean that the
- 25 whole brigade was to arrive in Mostar, was to go to Mostar?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Did the unit reach Mostar on the 10th of May?
- 3 A. They did not report to me. Now, whether they arrived or not, I
- 4 don't know.
- 5 Q. That means you didn't do anything with them, you didn't deploy
- 6 them at all?
- 7 A. I did not deploy them according to this order because they didn't
- 8 report to me.
- 9 Q. Thank you. And another document discussed today. The Prosecutor

- showed you document P9847, and he referred to page 3 of that document.
- 11 And what was suggested to you was that in Mostar, in West Mostar, no
- 12 Muslims remained. Now, as we're dealing with redirect --
- MR. LAWS: That's simply not right. This document has nothing to
- do with Mostar. It's to do with Stolac-Capljina.
- 15 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] Well, I'm sorry, but page 3 refers
- 16 to Mostar.
- 17 MR. LAWS: I didn't put page 3 to the witness. That's all. I
- 18 put page 2, so far as it relates to the 13th of July, women and children.
- MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] On that same page, above that
- 20 paragraph beginning: "On the 13th of July ...," so on that same page,
- 21 above "the 13th of July," it says -- I beg your pardon. My mistake.
- 22 13th of June. I do apologise. I was looking at the wrong date, the
- 23 13th of June. Never mind. We'll skip that.
- 24 However, the Prosecutor, nevertheless, suggested to the witness
- 25 that the Muslims were expelled from West Mostar, because the witness's

- answer was that, to the best his recollections, there were a certain
- 2 number of Muslims who stayed on in Mostar.
- 3 MS. PINTER: So I'd like to see P5984, please, the next document.
- 4 It is -- did I give the wrong number there? I don't know which number
- 5 you mean. 5984, P5984. P -- P5984. Yes, that's right.
- 6 Q. Look at the document, please. Can you see it on your screens?
- 7 A. Yes, I can.
- 8 Q. According to this document, in Mostar, in October 1993, were

- 9 there any Muslims?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Can you tell us what the figure there is?
- 12 A. 8.100 -- I'm reading the total number. Is that what you want?
- 13 8.141.
- Q. And the table relates to whom?
- 15 A. This is -- these are parts of Mostar.
- Q. And what population there, which inhabitants?
- 17 A. Bosniaks, I assume.
- 18 Q. Retired? It said "retirees"?
- 19 A. Yes, on the 20th of October, 1993, there were that many of them.
- 20 MS. PINTER: Thank you. That completes my portion of the
- 21 cross-examination -- or re-examination, and --
- 22 MR. LAWS: I'm very sorry. Before we leave that document, it's
- 23 not a document that was used in the course of the cross-examination at
- 24 all, and -- I don't dispute that at all, but the witness has just been
- asked to confirm something. The document appears to give us columns, and

- 1 we appear to have in evidence that it shows there are 8.141 Muslims in
- 2 total. Perhaps that is what it says, but it isn't remotely clear to me;
- 3 and how it's clear to the witness, I don't know, either. It appears to
- 4 say different numbers as we go across, and the figure for Muslims looks
- 5 like it's 2.107. So I just want the record to be clear as to that.
- 6 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Correct.
- 7 MR. LAWS: Thank you.

- 8 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] The second column refers to
- 9 Muslim Bosniaks, retired persons.
- 10 Your Honours, with your permission, General Praljak would now
- 11 like to ask several questions.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, let me remind
- 13 you that in your redirect you must put questions that refer to questions
- 14 put by Mr. Laws in his cross-examination. Otherwise, Mr. Laws is going
- to raise objections all the time.
- So now you have the floor.
- 17 THE ACCUSED PRALJAK: [Interpretation] Thank you, Your Honours.
- 18 I'm quite sure that I won't move outside the frameworks of what the
- 19 Prosecutor asked, and I don't think he'll have any objections to make in
- 20 that regard.
- 21 Re-examination by Mr. Praljak:
- Q. [Interpretation] General Curcic, good morning to you once again.
- 23 A. Good morning, General Praljak.
- 24 Q. Now, Kralj Tomislav is a regiment which was established where?
- 25 A. Kralj Tomislav is a regiment founded in Croatia, in Dubrovnik and

- 1 then in Vrgorac.
- 2 Q. And you were the Croatian Army?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. That particular unit, how many Croats were there in it and how
- 5 many Muslims?
- 6 A. The unit had 60 per cent Croats and about 40 per cent Muslims.

- 7 Q. What percentage of people in that unit was born on the territory
- 8 of Bosnia-Herzegovina, and was citizens of the republic or, rather, the
- 9 Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina just like you are?
- 10 A. Over 90 per cent of the members were born in Bosnia-Herzegovina.
- 11 Q. Were you a military conscript of Bosnia-Herzegovina?
- 12 A. I was an active military person in Belgrade.
- 13 Q. Very well, we know that. But afterwards, you were a military
- 14 conscript of Bosnia-Herzegovina?
- 15 A. Had I stayed on in Bosnia-Herzegovina, I would have been.
- 16 Q. Correct. Now, the Prosecutor said the Croatian Army -- you were
- 17 on loan. He used the term "on loan." You said that was a strange term
- 18 to use. But isn't it correct to say that you were on loan from
- Bosnia-Herzegovina, both the Croats and Bosniaks, voluntarily to the
- 20 Croatian Army to defend the south of Croatia? Would that be a more
- 21 correct interpretation?
- 22 A. Yes. We first went to defend Croatia.
- 23 Q. General, Cepikuce, did you take part in the fighting for
- 24 Cepikuce?
- 25 A. Not me, General, but members of our unit, yes, led by the late

- 1 Bozan Simovic.
- 2 Q. Against whom were you fighting in Cepikuce?
- 3 A. They fought there against the Serb army, the JNA.
- 4 Q. General, it doesn't matter whether you were there, personally.
- 5 I'm talking about the unit. Now, had Cepikuce been lost at the time,

- 6 where would the Serb -- what area would the Serb army have reached and
- 7 what axis would it have taken?
- 8 A. Had Cepikuce fallen, automatically Dubrovnik would have fallen,
- 9 and they would have advanced to Split.
- 10 Q. Thank you. Now, your commander, you say that your commander was
- 11 General Daidza; right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. What was his full name, his real name?
- 14 A. We all knew him under the name of Mate Sarlija, nicknamed Daidza,
- but his real name was Nijaz Batlak.
- 16 Q. Was he a Muslim?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Now, please, after the truce that was signed, as far as Croatia
- is concerned, where did you have your training centres?
- 20 A. We had our training centres in Basko Polje and Vrgorac.
- 21 Q. That's in Croatia?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Who came from Bosnia-Herzegovina to be trained in your training
- 24 centres, to be trained and go back? Were they Croats, Bosniaks? Were
- there more Croats than Bosniaks or more Muslims than Croats?

- 1 A. The prevailing number was among the Bosniaks. There were more
- 2 Bosniaks.
- 3 Q. What was the name of the logistics man in your unit?
- 4 A. Kralj Tomislav logistics man was Nikica Raguz -- Nikica Raguz

- 5 and Marko Potrebica.
- Q. As far as you know, how many weapons were sent to Bosnia and
- 7 Herzegovina via Kralj Tomislav unit? That's the first question. And how
- 8 much of those -- how many of those weapons were sent to the Muslims and
- 9 how many to the Croats?
- 10 A. I wouldn't know that, General. There were large quantities, we
- 11 are talking about truckloads here, but most of those weapons went to the
- 12 Bosniaks.
- 13 Q. General, can we agree that Mr. Nijaz Batlak or Mate Sarlija was a
- 14 Croatian general, a general of the Croatian Army, and that he provided
- 15 weapons in truckloads that were sent to the army -- or, rather, to the
- 16 Muslims of the BH Army?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Did Zuka also come for training to you?
- 19 A. Zuka was in Basko Polje with us for a while.
- Q. We all know here who Zuka was, so we won't dwell on that any
- 21 longer.
- Now, my next question. Following this, what happened was an
- 23 aggression against Bosnia and Herzegovina; in other words, the JNA and
- the Serbian Army of Bosnia-Herzegovina.
- 25 Before we go on to that, could we please have document 3D00420.

- 1 3D00420.
- 2 As you can see, this is a document from the Republic of
- 3 Bosnia and Herzegovina, and it relates to a decision on the formation of

Territorial Defence units. And you can see, under 1, that the person who 4 5 signed this document, and as we will see, this will -- we will see in a moment that this was Alija Izetbegovic, well, where we see that he 6 7 proclaims Kralj Tomislav unit and General Mate Sarlija as a 8 Bosnian-Herzegovinian brigade. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, I have a 9 question from the Chamber. The document is interesting, but was this 10 11 mentioned in the cross-examination? THE ACCUSED PRALJAK: [Interpretation] Your Honour, the foundation 12 for the questioning was the status of the brigade Kralj Tomislav or, 13 14 rather, the regiment, where we see an order that Mate Sarlija, Daidza, is 15 the commander, and the basis of that was to show that the 16 Croatian Army -- that actually it was in Bosnia-Herzegovina under the orders of the Croatian Army. 17 18 But from this document, Your Honours, we can see that 19 Mr. Alija Izetbegovic considers that brigade to be a brigade of the 20 Territorial Defence of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina and that he considers Mate Sarlija, Daidza, a commander of his Army of 21 22 Bosnia and Herzegovina, and that he actually appoints him to that 23 position. In other words, he appoints him as commander. 24 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Laws. MR. LAWS: I may well stand to be corrected, but I don't think I 25 Page 45953

1 asked any questions about the Kralj Tomislav Brigade at all at any stage,

2 and I hope I've been quite patience, watching the transcript going by,

- 3 but we haven't been dealing with topics that arose in cross-examination
- 4 now for some few minutes.
- 5 MR. KOVACIC: I don't think that really a response is needed.
- 6 The transcript could easily show whether this brigade was discussed or
- 7 not.
- 8 And if I may add, since I'm on my feet, this is a classical
- 9 example how documents and which kind of documents should be used on
- 10 direct. Mr. Praljak precisely said what was inserted in the cross and
- 11 precisely said why this document has to be shown in redirect. This is
- more than a clear example.
- 13 Thank you, Your Honour.
- 14 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] What's sure is that
- General Daidza was a topic mentioned by the Prosecutor. It seems that
- 16 the real name of Daidza was Mate Sarlija and that we have a document
- 17 mentioning him within the army -- the BH Army.
- 18 Let's move on. Please put your question, General Praljak.
- 19 THE ACCUSED PRALJAK: [Interpretation]
- 20 Q. My question is very simple. Sir, are you aware -- do you know
- 21 that at this time you were, in fact, under the command both of
- 22 Alija Izetbegovic and the Croatian Army, as this document shows?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Thank you. At this point, there was a clash in the army, the
- conflict broke out; and this brigade, this regiment, Kralj Tomislav, in

1 view of its composition, crossed over to the territory of

- 2 Bosnia and Herzegovina in order to fight for the survival of
- 3 Bosnia and Herzegovina?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Is it true that it was split into two: A, Ludvig Pavlovic,
- 6 numbering 92 members; and another number of Muslims who went to Konjic to
- 7 create what?
- 8 A. To establish the regiment Nihad Kulenovic.
- 9 Q. Nihad Kulenovic was part of what?
- 10 A. Part of the BH Army.
- 11 Q. Of the original 92 members of the Ludvig Pavlovic Regiment, how
- many were Croats and how many Bosniaks?
- 13 A. I don't know exactly, but the ratio is about 70 to 30 per cent.
- 14 Q. Thank you. Is it true that these members, too, who had gone to
- join the Konjic Regiment, which was part of the BH Army, were they, too,
- 16 retained -- or did they, too, retain the status as Croatian soldiers when
- their benefits are concerned and their pay?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Is it true that the 92 of you also retained that status?
- 20 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Stop, General.
- The Prosecutor showed you a good number of documents earlier. We
- 22 spent a lot of time on this. But now I'm discovering that the soldiers
- who had left the Republic of Croatia to go to Bosnia-Herzegovina were
- 24 split up in two. Some joined your Ludvig Pavlovic unit, and the others
- go to Konjic to join a BH Army regiment, the Nihad Kulenovic Brigade.

- 1 Now, I'd like to know the following: The soldiers that go to the
- 2 BH Army, as far as you know, were they still paid by the Croatian Army?
- 3 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honour, even General Daidza,
- 4 in his case, he spent more time in Konjic than in Croatia or Herzegovina.
- 5 In other words, they retained their rights, just like the 92 of us did.
- 6 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] If I understand you correctly,
- 7 General Daidza came to see you and then would go and visit the Muslim
- 8 soldiers in the BH Army. He would go from one unit to the other; is that
- 9 it?
- 10 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honours, yes. It was only on
- 11 the 6th of June that the Ludvig Pavlovic unit was established. Up until
- 12 then, we were part of Kralj Tomislav, and I'm talking about 1992.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] In 1993, could you tell us
- 14 whether the Muslim soldiers in the BH Army who were stationed in Konjic
- 15 were still -- were they still paid by the Croatian Army?
- 16 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honours, I don't know because
- 17 at that time we had lost contact, we were not in contact.
- JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well.
- 19 THE ACCUSED PRALJAK: [Interpretation]
- 20 Q. General Curcic, do you recall that you addressed me as well
- 21 within the Ministry of the Republic of Croatia relating -- regarding the
- 22 status and service-related matters?
- 23 A. Well, for the most part, we addressed you.
- Q. Could you tell us -- so --
- 25 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreters request, could the question please

- 1 be repeated.
- 2 MS. TOMANOVIC: [Interpretation] Just a moment.
- 3 General, would you please slow down a bit. A portion of your
- 4 question, which is important, did not make it into the transcript. On
- 5 page 72, lines 7 to 9, the General said that both sides addressed him,
- 6 requesting assistance to resolve the status-related and service-related
- 7 issues, and I would really appreciate it if the general could repeat his
- 8 question because the transcript is unclear as it stands.
- 9 THE ACCUSED PRALJAK: [Interpretation] I will repeat my question.
- 10 Q. General, did you address me in the Ministry of the
- 11 Republic of Croatia to resolve the status and service-related issues of
- 12 the 92 of you?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And also the issues that related to the men who had joined the
- 15 regiment in Konjic?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Tell me, would I, perhaps, be the best source to provide an
- answer about the date up until when all the members of Kralj Tomislav who
- were members of the Croatian Army, regardless of whether later on they
- 20 joined the HVO or the BH Army, up until what date they actually enjoyed
- 21 the benefits of the Croatian Army?
- 22 A. I think so. I think that would be you.
- Q. We saw that Mr. Nijaz Batlak was appointed, and this was his real
- 24 name of Mato Sarlija, that he was appointed by Alija Izetbegovic?

25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Do you know that he received his pension, once he retired, from
- 2 the Croatian Army and that he was buried at Mirogoj as a member of -- as
- 3 a Croatian general?
- 4 A. Yes, I was at Mirogoj.
- 5 THE ACCUSED PRALJAK: [Interpretation] Could we now see 3D00560.
- 6 3D00560.
- 7 Q. Please read this document. I don't know if you have ever seen
- 8 the signature of Mr. Alija Izetbegovic, but I think you had occasion to
- 9 see it in Sarajevo.
- 10 My question is this: Do you know that Mr. Alija Izetbegovic
- 11 trusted, to a large extent, and had intimate cooperation with
- 12 General Daidza?
- 13 A. I never saw them personally together, but Mr. Izetbegovic's
- 14 envoys often came to see General Daidza in Vrgorac.
- 15 Q. Do you know, General, that Mr. Alija Izetbegovic wanted to
- 16 entrust the operation for the liberation of Sarajevo to General Daidza?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Was this operation carried out? And we won't discuss how
- 19 successfully.
- 20 A. Well, it was more an attempt at doing that.
- 21 Q. Did members of Kralj Tomislav participate in this attempt?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And the last question regarding this: When you were -- when you

- crossed over to the HVO, was it voluntary or did you ever receive an
- order to that effect?

- 1 A. Well, the easiest -- that's very easy to answer. We went back
- 2 home. It was voluntary.
- 3 Q. Mr. Curcic, other than Bozan Simovic, your commander, who was
- 4 killed in an operation, was there another commander of your battalion who
- 5 was killed?
- 6 A. Yes, Ante Primorac was killed Kupres during an operation to
- 7 liberate it.
- 8 Q. Well, let's clarify that issue. Did you -- let's talk about
- 9 Urina Ravan and those events, we were together at that hut. Were we
- 10 exposed? Could we have been killed because there was shooting going on
- 11 some 30 metres away from us?
- 12 A. Yes, I remember it.
- Q. Could you have been killed?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Tell us, is it true that the most important thing then was not
- 16 death, itself, but what would happen to the families; in other words, to
- 17 your mother, to your father, to your two sisters, what would happen to
- 18 them after you get killed?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. So Croatia was already a state. Was it important, very
- 21 important, to gain in any way possible a status so that the Croatian Army
- 22 would take care of your family and your loved ones if anything should

- 23 happen to you?
- 24 A. That was the most important question.
- 25 Q. My next question, Mostar. We've seen a document there. Could we

- 1 see it again, please? This is P02240.
- 2 While we are waiting for the document to come up, tell us, you
- 3 have graduated from the Military Academy in Belgrade; correct?
- 4 A. Yes, in Belgrade and Sarajevo.
- 5 Q. Tell me, please, when a military action of an offensive character
- is being prepared, tell the Trial Chamber, please, briefly, what has to
- 7 be done before an operation of that type.
- 8 A. General, the easiest way would be to describe it if we -- if
- 9 you -- if we tell you about an order for an operation for attack. The
- 10 first thing is an assessment. You have to assess the strength, the
- 11 combat disposition, the possibility of bringing in reserve forces, if
- 12 possible determining the firing position of the artillery, and so on and
- so forth. In other words, before any offensive operation is to be
- 14 conducted, you need to have very high-quality reconnaissance conducted.
- 15 MS. TOMANOVIC: [Interpretation] I really do apologise, but both
- 16 the generals should slow down. I think you're making it very hard for
- 17 the interpreters.
- Now, on page 75, line 22, the witness said "assessment of the
- 19 enemy." That word is missing there, because the interpreters either
- 20 didn't hear you or didn't have time to interpret you, but can you tell me
- 21 if I'm right? Did you say "assessment of the enemy"?

- 22 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Yes, "assessment of the enemy" is
- 23 what I said.
- THE ACCUSED PRALJAK: [Interpretation]
- Q. Is it true, General, sir, that maps were drawn up at the time or

- 1 maps were drawn up at that point, that there's a general plan of attack
- which is then elaborated down the line among the individual units, that
- 3 the start of an attack is elaborated, fire support to the artillery, the
- 4 system of communications and signals is looked at, and everything else,
- 5 what we call the wounded, where the wounded and casualties are to be
- 6 collected; so it requires a whole lot of organisation, the necessary
- 7 ammunition must be put in place and so on; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And is it also true and correct that in a large-scale
- 10 operation -- or let me ask you this first: The taking of Eastern Mostar,
- 11 and the line was established -- the front-line was along the
- 12 Bulevar and Santic Street, so taking a town like that defended by the
- 4th Corps of the BH Army is a major, large-scale operation, or is it a
- 14 small operation?
- 15 A. It was an enormous operation, too large. And I just want to say
- 16 that the most difficult form of combat that is studied at military
- 17 academies is combat in built-up areas, in settlements. So any logical
- soldier belonging to any side would try to avoid fighting in built-up
- 19 areas because you have special characteristics of warfare there. You
- 20 don't have a front; you don't have any neighbours. Every building is a

- bunker, and so on and so forth. And the history of warfare from
- 22 Stalingrad onwards have always shown that to be true. Then you have
- 23 Vukovar as another example, and so on and so forth.
- In Iraq, for example, the troops had problems when entering urban
- 25 environments; but when they had a clear-cut front in the desert, that was

- 1 another matter altogether.
- 2 Q. And one more thing. Every operation of this kind, does it need
- 3 reserves? Do you have to create reserves, because the front-line units
- 4 will suffer from fatigue and then you have to have reserve forces in
- 5 preparation to replace them; would that be correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Now let me ask you this: Would it then be possible for an elite
- 8 unit, of the type that the HVO Main Staff had at its disposal, was
- 9 asleep, as the Prosecutor says, on the night before a prepared attack
- 10 against the BH Army in Mostar? Is that something you could envisage at
- 11 all?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Secondly, once you arrive in Mostar, you say that the first
- engagement that you had, the first piece of firing with the BH Army, was
- 15 at the Rondo area. Now, tell Their Honours where Rondo is located in
- 16 relation to the Bulevar, which was the separation line already
- 17 established. So how far is it in to the western side? And take it
- 18 slowly, please.
- 19 A. Rondo is at a distance of 500 metres from that line, and from the

- 20 Vranica building itself, perhaps 700, thereabouts, and that conflict and
- 21 the engagement line was not at Rondo, itself, but halfway between Rondo
- 22 and the Vranica building.
- Q. Militarily viewed, was that an incursion by the BH Army towards
- 24 the HVO; that is to say, movements towards the Ministry of Defence and
- 25 movements towards Vranica, which is where the Command of the 4th Corps

- 1 was and the Command of the 1st Brigade of the BH Army, the
- 2 1st Mostar Brigade, in fact?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Now let's look at this next document, please. Well, we've
- 5 already looked at it, and we've seen many similar documents of that
- 6 nature. Is it possible for a good unit from Ljubuski, for example, does
- 7 not take part in the attack or was not a reserve force, if someone was
- 8 preparing an operation to take control of Mostar, would that be possible,
- 9 militarily speaking?
- 10 A. Well, it would be possible if they had enough forces in some of
- 11 the operations of attack, but if you had such a broad front, because the
- 12 town itself, as a town, it would be more probable that it would have been
- 13 prepared several days in advance and not to have the order issued today
- 14 for tomorrow.
- 15 Q. And do you know, General, that at the time in Mostar, at the
- northern part of Mostar, facing the Serbs, and the south of Mostar,
- 17 facing the Serbs, that two brigades -- two HVO brigades, the 2nd and
- 3rd HVO Brigades, were engaged, deployed; is that right?

- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Was it the 1st or 2nd? No, the 2nd and 3rd, the 2nd and 3rd,
- 21 that's the right number.
- Now, in view of the troops that the HVO brigade had, was it
- possible, with two brigades which also held the lines facing the Serbs,
- 24 was it possible to go into any relevant logical operation to take control
- of the town or, rather, the 4th Corps and the 1st -- let me repeat.

- 1 THE ACCUSED PRALJAK: [Interpretation] Yes, I will slow down, and
- I do apologise. The devil take me. I apologise to the interpreters. I
- 3 get carried away. I apologise to Your Honours, too.
- 4 Q. Now, is it possible, with two HVO brigades, with the number of
- 5 men that they had, of the strength they had, holding the lines
- 6 simultaneously facing the Army of Republika Srpska, and to the north of
- 7 Mostar, and to the south of Mostar, was it militarily possible, having
- 8 two brigades of this type in Mostar, an inhabited area, to attack the
- 9 4th BH Army Corps and reap any success? Is that possible? Are there any
- 10 realistic foundations for anything like that or is that something just a
- 11 madman could think up?
- 12 A. I agree.
- 13 Q. Well, we're done with that. I just have a few more points.
- 14 General, do you know that the Croatian people, of their own free
- will, at a referendum in the spring of 1993, of their own free will, I
- 16 repeat, voted for an independent, autonomous, integral
- 17 Bosnia-Herzegovina? In 1992, I meant to say, in 1992. Do you know about

- 18 that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Let's leave wishes aside and fantasy aside. But you, as a
- commander in a mixed unit in Croatia and Bosnia, did you fight for,
- 22 number 1, protecting Bosnia-Herzegovina against an attack by the JNA and
- the Army of Republika Srpska?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. As I claim, after an attack by the BH Army in the spring of 1993

- 1 and then later, were you mostly on the defensive or almost exclusively on
- the defensive, compared to the attacks Neretva 93, Bugojno, et cetera?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Tell me, please, General, in the military sense, and in the
- 5 general sense, popular sense, did you ever, you, personally, or did you
- 6 hear from someone, from me or from anybody else, that you wanted in
- 7 Bosnia-Herzegovina, for the Croatian people, anything more than equality,
- 8 the kind of equality that a sovereign constituent people merit along with
- 9 other ethnic groups inhabiting the area?
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 Q. Did you want anything other than that, anything else, anything
- more than that?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. Did you ever receive any instructions or orders from anyone, or
- any considerations, which would indicate something other than the defence
- 16 of Bosnia-Herzegovina and equality for the Croatian people, complete

- equality, full equality of the Croatian people within Bosnia-Herzegovina,
- along with the other constituent ethnic groups?
- 19 A. No, I never received an order of that kind or any instructions to
- that effect.
- 21 THE ACCUSED PRALJAK: [Interpretation] Thank you, Your Honours. I
- 22 have no further questions.
- General, sir, thank you for your answers.
- 24 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Witness, your testimony has
- 25 just come to an end. I will, therefore, ask the Usher to escort you out

- of the courtroom. I wish you a safe journey home.
- THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Thank you, Your Honour.
- 3 [The witness withdrew]
- 4 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Now, as far as our further
- 5 hearings are concerned, the Trial Chamber has issued a decision
- 6 concerning the expert witness. I encourage you and invite you to read
- 7 this decision. The Trial Chamber has decided that the Defence teams will
- 8 have four hours for the examination-in-chief, the other Defence teams
- 9 will have two hours for their cross-examination, and the Prosecutor will
- 10 have six hours. This is what I wish to tell you, the time it takes to
- 11 have this translated in your working language. Our decision was recorded
- 12 yesterday afternoon.
- Ms. Alaburic, this witness will be ready for Monday, the
- 14 26th of October, from 4.00 onwards. It's 4.00 p.m., because prior to
- that we will shall proceed with the elections of the President of this

- 16 Tribunal.
- MS. ALABURIC: [Interpretation] Your Honour, yes, the witness is

  due to come in that week, and he will be ready to appear in court on the
- 19 26th.

Now, according to our schedule, we said that we would have an introductory section of about an hour and a half, and I wanted to ask you the following, and this is important for the organisation of the first day: This introductory presentation will be prepared following examples and guide-lines given by what Judge Trechsel said, that it should show

#### Page 45966

1 Defence  $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$  topics of the Defence are, and that this should be elaborated

the Trial Chamber, in the basic forms, of what the topic of the

- 2 with key documents and the subject matter that witnesses will be dealing
- 3 with. So we're prepared to have an introductory presentation of that
- 4 kind.

25

- 5 Now, if the Trial Chamber has any questions to ask after that
- 6 introductory presentation has been delivered which you think will speed
- 7 up our presentation of evidence and examination of witnesses, I will be
- 8 ready and willing to respond to those questions. And in that case, the
- 9 following scenario could be put in place: that we begin proceedings at
- 10 4.00 in the afternoon with an introductory presentation, which will take
- 11 up about an hour and a half. After that, we'll have a 20-minute break,
- which means that we would continue proceedings at around 6.00 p.m.
- 13 Now, if the Trial Chamber can predict certain questions, I think
- 14 it would be rational not to introduce the witness into the courtroom late

15 on Monday evening, but to start with the witness on Tuesday morning. 16 But, of course, I don't mind having the witness ready and waiting to come into the courtroom at 6.00 p.m. if you feel that would be opportune. 17 That's what I wanted to say. Thank you. 18 19 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Ms. Alaburic, if I have understood you correctly, you had rather present your introduction for an 20 21 hour and a half in the absence of the witness. MS. ALABURIC: [Interpretation] Yes, that's right. 22 23 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So when you have finished with 24 that, we shall have the break, and around 6.00 p.m. we can ask the witness to take the solemn declaration and start with a few questions. 25 Page 45967 MS. ALABURIC: [Interpretation] Yes, we'll do that, Your Honour. 1 2 Fine. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] That satisfies the Chamber. 3 The most important point, in our eyes, was that of the expert witness 4 5 whom we would like to hear. 6 Yes, Mr. Scott. 7 MR. SCOTT: Good afternoon, Your Honour. Just to be absolutely clear, because sometimes there is confusion 8 9 based on different practices, when Ms. Alaburic says "introductory 10 presentation," we're talking about an opening statement, the sort that the Prosecution gave and the sort that other counsel have given. We're 11 12 talking about an opening statement, not evidence; correct? 13 MS. ALABURIC: [Interpretation] Yes, Your Honour, it is indeed an

14	opening statement, and in future I'm going to use English terms when
15	referring to something that is important to us. So the opening statement
16	will take an hour and a half, and that opening statement will be
17	delivered by me, as lead counsel for General Petkovic.
18	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] On a personal note,
19	Ms. Alaburic, it is of interest, because with your opening statement we
20	will be able to get an insight in those questions you will put to the
21	witness and assess the witness's report, so this is a very good idea. We
22	will see, in practice, how this works on Monday, the 26th.
23	In the meantime, I hope to see you on the 26th, the Monday
24	afternoon, and we can all read and examine the witness's report.
25	The court stands adjourned.
	Page 45968
1	Page 45968  Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 12.36 p.m.,
1 2	
	Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 12.36 p.m.,
2	Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 12.36 p.m., to be reconvened on Monday, the 26th day of
2	Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 12.36 p.m., to be reconvened on Monday, the 26th day of
2 3 4	Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 12.36 p.m., to be reconvened on Monday, the 26th day of